

2200 Powell Street, Suite 725
Emeryville, CA 94608
Tel: 1 510 452 8000
Fax: 1 510 452 8001
http://www.scscertified.com
SCS contact: Aaron Maiziish
Tel: 510 452 8005

Scientific Certification Systems - Forest Conservation Program

Forest Stewardship Council Group Chain of Custody Certification Report

for:

Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Division of Forestry

SCS-COC-002041 SCS-CW-002041

Type of Audit: Annual Surveillance (Evaluation, Surveillance, Expansion, Re-Evaluation)

Year of Audit: 2010

Date Report Finalized: June 15, 2010

Date of Certificate Issuance: January 13, 2009

Chain of Custody Admin.: Carl Hauser

Company Street Address: 402 W. Washington, Room W296

Zip or Postal Code: 46204

City, State, Country: Indianapolis, Indiana USA

Phone: 317-232-4114 Fax: 317-233-3863

Email: chauser@dnr.in.gov Website: www.in.gov/dnr/forestry

Name that should appear on the certificate and in the FSC database.

			·	11
	D. 4. C4-2	Last Modified;	Pagell	Approved by:
Doc Name:	Date Created:			AM
SCS Group Report V1.2	March 16, 2009	October 7, 2009	<u> </u>	(



Table of Contents

SECTION 1: GROUP INFORMATION

Certificate Specifications Overview of Group and Members

SECTION 2: CONFORMANCE TO GROUP CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

Terms, Concepts and Applicability
Group Requirements and Responsibilities

SECTION 3: EVALUATION PROCESS AND CONCLUSIONS

Audit Details Conclusions Certification Decision

SUPPLEMENTALS (check all that apply)

X Site Supplements
x Controlled Wood Certification (40-005)
Verification of Reclaimed Material Certification (40-007)

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS (submitted separately)

x Group Entity Procedures
x List of group members
Product group lists of members * (This is the same for all Group members and included in At. 2.)

SECTION 1 – Group Information

	PART 1A:	Certific	ate Specifications
Number of members certificate: Complete Site Supplement attach a complete list of all	al for each member, and	membe	wo, see At. 3. (At the time of this audit, there were 41 rs, one of which has been dropped; and three not on have been added; SCS has been notified.)
Description of the type of businesses included within the scope of the evaluation (FSC-STD-20-011, 9.1)	*Click all that apply Trading Only: Brokering: Wholesale: Retail: Manufacturing: x Primary: Secondary: Printing:	Distribute Sells to e Company Company	product without physical possession s product with physical possession nd-user with physical possession v uses round wood (logs) as inputs or pulp or paper milling v does not use round wood as inputs nor does pulp or paper milling ry manufacturing of Printed Material
Summary of FSC Products sold under scope of Group Certificate:	Ref. At. 2, Group me Product Grou chart. Attach list of product gi	p Chart.	ocedures with Product Group template: Appendix B-2, All Group Members employ the same product group
	Standards Used in	Assessr	nent Check all that apply
Required: Additional: Attach supplemental reports.	x FSC-POL-40-0 x FSC-STD-40-0 FSC-STD-40-0	04 v2 05 v2-1	Group Policy (Group Entity only) Chain of Custody Standard (each participating member plus Group Entity if applicable) N/A to Group Entity Controlled Wood Sourcing of Reclaimed Material
Group AAF Class: Total cumulative annual sales of all products containing wood fiber of all group members in US Dollars.	III: \$1,000 x IV: \$5,000 V: \$25,00	000 to \$1 0,000 to \$ 0,000 to \$	55,000,000 625,000,000 \$100,000,000
Scope of Certificate: As it should appear on the certificate.	The Indiana Division Group Entity for log	n of Natu gers, hau	ral Resources, Division of Forestry to serve as the llers, and primary processors of FSC timber.

Doc Name:	Date Created:	Last Modified:	Page 3	Approved by:
SCS Group Report V1.2	March 16, 2009	October 7, 2009		

PART 1B: Overview of Group and Members

The Group Entity is State of Indiana, Department of Natural Resources, Division of Forestry (DOF). Within Indiana, there are 180,000 acres of FSC certified Indiana State Forests (state owned). Additionally, there are approximately 500,000 acres of privately owned "Classified" forests which are now (March 2010) FSC FM/COC certified, by SCS.

The DOF has determined that to supply the market for the FSC certified timber from these forests, a network of FSC CoC loggers/haulers and small sawmills must be established as FSC CoC certified. These companies harvest and perform the primary processing on most of the timber coming from the FSC certified forestlands. Since the bulk of all these entities employ fifteen or fewer employees, the State requested SCS to develop a proposal to establish a Group Certificate for these smaller firms, with the Indiana DOF serving as the Group Entity. SCS did prepare a proposal, which had been accepted in the form of a two-year State of Indiana contract with SCS to certify this group of small loggers/haulers and wood processors.

Description of the Group including how the chain of custody is controlled and the division of responsibilities between the Group Entity and Group members: FSC-STD-20-011, 9.3

The loggers, haulers, veneer mills, or sawmills must bid on DOF timber sales. It is designated in Indiana DoF state contracts that all timber in the sale is FSC certified. The Indiana State DoF contract includes the state FSC FM/CoC code and FSC claim. Timber is harvested according to the terms of the contract. Loggers and haulers deliver the FSC logs to receiving sawmills, concentration yards, veneer mills, and other customers. When delivering to FSC CoC customers, all shipping and invoice documentation must be compliant with this standard and the firm's FSC CoC procedures-as prepared and audited by the Group Entity.

The small sawmills receive all FSC logs according to the established Group Member procedures. All logs are clearly identified (tagged) and/or segregated from all other non-certified logs. Volume, processing, and conversion documentation for all FSC production is recorded as specified in the Group Member procedures. Logs are sawn into lumber or sliced into veneer. All shipments and sales are documented with compliant transportation and invoicing documentation.

Since the initial evaluation in 2008, the Group Entity has applied for and received FSC certification from SCS for FSC Controlled Wood. Several of the Group members

have included FSC Controlled Wood within the scope of their FSC certification. The Indiana DoF has conducted two annual audits of all group members since the initial certification. Also, with the addition of the FSC FM/CoC certification of Indiana "Classified" forestlands, the Group members will also be harvesting and/or processing FSC timber from other than the Indiana State owned forests. Within the FM/CoC procedures for sale of FSC timber from this new FSC FM/CoC certification, the IN DoF has established sales and shipping templates to conform to FSC FM/CoC standards. (These FM/CoC procedures, though not the subject of the audit, have also been prepared by the IN DoF, the Group Entity. And as such, the IN DoF has established compliant procedures for this additional State of Indiana FM/CoC certification that will meet requirement of this Group certification.) Some Group members use outsourced contractors for the specific purpose of harvesting timber, kiln-drying lumber, surfacing lumber, or veneer slicing. This is covered by Outsourcing Agreements, as specified in the Group Member procedures. Group Members are not onproduct labeling. Group entity has an up-to-date list of all the members covered by the certificate with at least the following information, per member (40-002, 2.5.1 x Yes No and 20-011 9.2): Name and contact details. Findings: Ref. At. 4, Group members list at the time of this audit. Date of entry into group, Date of and reason for leaving the group (where applicable). (List of members attached) Assigned sub-code/identifier, d. Scope of CoC certification (activity, products, system and product claims), Annual turnover and AAF class, Number of employees List of members audited by SCS in this audit cycle (or indicate this on the list of members): Wertz Timber & Veneer, LLC Kennedy Veneer Tri-State Timber, LLC Davison, H.Q.S., Inc. Every group member must comply Sugar Ridge Timber, Inc. Werner Specialty Hardwoods, Inc. with the FSC requirements of CoC certification as specified in the FSC Williams Bros. Logging Behan Bros. Cattle CoC standards (40-002, 2.3.1, 2.5.1 iv.) **Davis Morgan Logging** Patterson Specialty Hardwoods Louisville Veneer, Co. Kinser Timber Products, Inc. Jasper Veneer Millis, Inc. Reports for each group member audited submitted with report.

					T
	Doc Name:	Date Created:	Last Modified:	Page 15	Approved by:
	CCC Crown Dancet VI 2	March 16, 2009	October 7, 2009		AM
L.	SCS Group Report V1.2	THURST IN MANY			

If Group Entity is a membership organization, are there other members of the organization that are	No (all members included) Yes X N/A
not included on the certificate? If yes, indicate whether company understands and acknowledges that certificate may not be used for material handled by uncertified members. (See also 40-002, 1.3.3)	Remarks: There is no other initial membership requirement for each Group member other than commitment to joining the Group and being located in the State of Indiana.

Section 2: Conformance to Group Certification Requirements

	and applicability
Part 2A: Terms, concepts	
	Describe the division of responsibilities between the group entity and the group members:
1.3.1 The group entity is the entity that applies for group certification, and holds any group certificate that is issued. The group entity is responsible to the certification body for ensuring that the requirements of all relevant FSC guidelines are met by the businesses covered by the certificate. The group entity may be an individual person, a cooperative body, an association, or other similar legal entity.	Ref. At. 1, IN DNR DoF Group Entity Procedures. The IN DoF has overall responsibility for implementing and managing the Group. Refer to the pages of DoF Group Entity Responsibilities, page 3,4, and 5 of At. 1, Part II, 2.1 through 2.3. The IN DoF is responsible to SCS, ref. At. 1, II, 2.1. The IN DoF is the IN State division in charge of all aspects of forest management within the state of Indiana.
1.3.2 The group entity is responsible for: i. Communication with the certification body and with group members; ii. Implementing the administrative and management requirements of the group certification; iii. Implementing monitoring responsibilities at the group level; and iv. Submitting requests for authorization for logo use to the certification body.	Is there evidence of authorization for logo use being requested by the group entity on behalf of group members? x Yes No Findings: Email approvals are on file in the Group Entity office. The IN DoF has responsibility for all overall administration of the Group; for (i, ii, iii) refer to At. 1, part II, 2.1 Group Entity Authority; for (iv) refer to At. 1, 1.1 Eligibility-last line item.
	Does the Group Entity have records of the formal written agreement they have with each Group Member?
1.3.5 All members of a group shall have a formal written agreement with the group entity and be subject to continuous monitoring by the group entity. Any violation that occurs at the member level will be the legal responsibility of the group entity.	Findings: The Group Entity has on file in the IN DoF office the written agreements with all the group members. The template for this agreement is found as Appendix A., of At. 1. All Group members audited by SCS also had current copies of this agreement on file.

Doc Name:	Date Created:	Last Modified:	Page 7	Approved by:
CCC Grown Dancet VI 2	March 16, 2009	October 7, 2009		AM
SCS Croup Report V1.2	116000111112			

1.4 Small enterprises eligible for evaluation under these guidelines Do all group members meet the eligibility requirements? 1.4.3 To be eligible for assessment using these guidelines, group members shall conform to the following x Yes eligibility criteria: Findings: All group members have fifteen or i. Have no more than 15 employees (including full time, part fewer at the time that all supplemental audits time, and seasonal staff), OR ii. Have no more than 25 employees and an annual turnover were conducted. Ref. At. 1, Part I, Introduction, 1.2, Membership. All Group of US\$1,000,000. Turnover here is defined as total annual revenue from goods and services. members must not have more than fifteen iii. All group members shall be located in the same single employees. country as the group entity. All group members must be located within the state of Indiana.

Part 2B: Group Requirements and Responsibilities 2.1 Group entity requirements Group entity authority Is the Group Entity carrying out its 2.1.2. The group entity shall be contractually responsible responsibilities (2.1.2 - 2.1.6)? to the certification body for ensuring that the requirements of all relevant FSC guidelines are fully implemented by all members of the group. Findings: 2.1.2. The In DoF has complied 2.1.3. The group entity shall be responsible for ensuring with all responsibilities, except as noted in 3B that any conditions on which certification is dependent CARs, as listed during the course of the first and any corrective action requests issued by the audit year and has a signed a valid contract certification body thereafter are fully implemented. with SCS. It has ensured that Group Members have implemented FSC 2.1.4. The group entity shall have the authority to remove requirements. members from the scope of the group certificate if the requirements of group membership, or any corrective 2.1.3 Ref. At. 1, 1.1 Eligibility. It has the action requests issued by the certification body or the authority to issue corrective action requests group entity are not complied with. and enforce CARs issued by the Group Entity of SCS. 2.1.5. The group entity should be responsible for collecting any fees due to FSC certification from the 2.1.4 Ref. At. 1, 2.1 Group Authority. It has the authority to remove Group members. members. 2.1.5 Ref At. 1, 2.1 The Group Entity collects all fees due for FSC certification from the Group members. (The Group Entity pays all fees to SCS; group members pay the Group 2.1.6. The group entity shall be responsible for the Entity the AAF only.) annual monitoring of each and every member. Monitoring procedures must be in line with the FSC CoC 2.1.6 Ref. At. 1, 2.2.4, Initial Inspection, and Certification Requirements and the guidelines in 2.2.5 Annual Monitoring; the Group Entity Sections 3 and 4 of FSC-POL-40-002. conducts an initial inspection of all new members and an annual audit of all group members with any FSC sales. See 3B CARs relating to Controlled Wood. Group entity system and documentation requirements

Doe Name: Date Created: Last Modified: 10 8 8 10 1 Application.	- 1
SCS Group Report V1.2 March 16, 2009 October 7, 2009 AM	

Does the Group Entity have implemented procedures for managing the CoC program for the group including the requirements of 2.1.7 - 2.1.8 and 2.1.11-2.1.13?
(attach or submit procedures with report)
x Yes No
Findings: 2.1.7 Compliant procedures for the managing by the Group Entity are provided in At. 1, IN DNR DoF Group Entity FSC Procedures. Procedures for new members are clearly defined in 1.1, Eligibility of these procedures.
2.1.8 The IN DoF is required to provide initial and annual FSC training, Ref. At. 1, 2.1 DoF Responsibilities. Training is provided individually, at IN DoF training seminars, and through newsletters published by the IN DoF. The IN DoF also maintains a website.
2.1.11 During the internal audit process, the IN DoF does verify the FSC Claim used by group members. It also ensures that the Group members apply for SCS approval through the Group Entity for all FSC logo and trademark use, ref. At. 1, 1.1 Eligibility.
2.1.13 Ref. At. 2, Group Member Procedures provided by the Group Entity.
Have initial and annual inspections been conducted of all members?
x Yes
Findings: 2.1.9 IN DoF has carried out initial audits/inspections of all Group

Doe Name: Date Created: Last instanted.					
SCS Group Report V (, Z Printer N, 2007	CCC Crayer Papart 3/1/2	Date Created: March 16, 2009	Last Modified: October 7, 2009	Page 9	Approved by:

members and has those records on file in the IN DoF offices. It also has provided those records to this auditor on a CD. 2.1.10 Ref. At. 1, 2.2.5 Annual Monitoring. The IN DoF has conducted annual audits as required by this policy and by their procedures. The IN DoF has a dedicated staff individual to ensure that 2.1.14. The group entity shall have clear documented Group members are informed of procedures for new members to join the certified group after the certificate has been awarded. The group entity requirements and corrective action must carry out an initial inspection of the business requests. It has not included written installations and their documented systems before they audits for Controlled Wood; see Part 3B are eligible to enter into the certified group, and hence be CARs. permitted to use the FSC trademark. 2.1.14 Ref. At. 1, Introduction 1.1 Eligibility and 1.2, Membership. Also ref. At. 1, 2.2.4 Initial Inspection. The IN DoF has thorough written procedures for new members joining this Group including an initial inspection of the applicable site(s) and business systems. Does the Group Entity have implemented procedures for informing SCS of new and terminated Group members? Yes Х No 2.1.15. If a group member joins or leaves the group certification scheme, the group entity shall inform the Findings: Ref. At. 1, 2.1 DoF certification body in writing within one month. Responsibilities, 2.1. The DoF is tasked with notifying SCS within one month of any additions or deletions to the Group membership. During the course of the first year of certification, the IN DoF has notified SCS of all additions and deletions to the Group within one month.

2.4 Informed consent of group members	
	Does the Group Entity's template Consent Form include these provisions?
2.4.1 A 'consent form' or its equivalent must be signed by each group member or the member's representative who voluntarily wishes to join the certification scheme. The consent form: i. acknowledges and agrees to the requirements and responsibilities of group membership; ii. agrees to membership of the scheme for the full period of	Yes X No Ref. At. 1, Appendix A. The Group Membership Application Form was previously accepted without specific agreement to i, and iv. However, it does contain sufficient wording to assure acceptance of Group and FSC requirements by individual Group members. Group members are given the option of
validity of the group certificate; iii. acknowledges their compliance with the chain of custody guidelines; and iv. authorizes the group entity to apply for certification on the member's behalf.	leaving the Group on 30 days notice to the Group Entity. See Part 3B Observations. Does the Group Entity have signed consent forms from each member?
NOTE: If provision of such written documentation and consent is considered inappropriate for any reason, then the reason must be documented, together with an explanation of another means by which the group members have been fully informed as to their obligations as group members, and their consent has been obtained. These means should include meetings and explanations given to individual members	X Yes No
	Findings: Signed consent forms from all group members were on file at the IN DoF offices and are also on file at all audits conducted of supplemental sites-Group members.
2.4.2 The group entity must provide each group member with documentation, or access to documentation, specifying the relevant terms and conditions of group membership. The documentation shall include: i. a copy of the Chain of Custody certification standard to	Has the Group Entity provided all required documents to Group Members?
which the group is committed;	I NO
ii. explanation of the certification process; iii. explanation of the certification body's, and FSC's, rights to access the group members' documentation and installations for the purposes of evaluation and monitoring;	Findings: All Group members audited as supplemental annual audits did have in their
iv. explanation of the certification body's and FSC's requirements with respect to public information;	possession the FSC-CoC- 40-004 V2 Standard, Group Member Procedures, and
v. explanation of any obligations with respect to group membership, such as:	Group Entity Procedures. Group members also including Controlled Wood did have in
a) maintenance of information for monitoring purposes;	their possession FSC-CoC-40-005 V2-1. All
b) use of systems for tracking and tracing of forest products; c) requirement to conform with conditions or corrective	references: i, ii, iii, iv, v (a-g), and vi; are addressed in the Group Member and Group Entity Procedures.
actions issued by the certification body;	

Doc Name:	Date Created:	Last Modified:	Page 11	Approved by:
SCS Group Report_V1.2	March 16, 2009	October 7, 2009		AM

d) any special requirements related to marketing or sales of products covered by the certificate;	
e) use of the FSC trademarks and product claims	
f) proper use of CoC certificate number and sub code; and	
g) other obligations of group membership.	
vi. explanation of any costs associated with group membership.	
NOTE: In some groups, it may be sufficient to provide individual members with a summary of these items, provided that full documentation is readily available on request at the group offices.	
2.5 Group records	
	Does the Group Entity have all required records and are they up-to-date?
2.5.1 The group entity shall be responsible for maintaining the following records up to date at all times:	
 i. List of names and addresses of group members, together with date of entry into group CoC certification scheme and sub code assigned; 	x Yes No
 ii. Evidence of consent of all group members, preferably in the form of a signed 'consent form' (see paragraph 2.4, above); 	Findings: The IN DoF lists all Group
iii. Relevant documentation and records regarding the scope of Chain of Custody certification for each group member.	members on an Excel spreadsheet. It lists all information required in 2.5.1, i; dates of audits
iv. Records demonstrating the implementation of any internal control or monitoring systems (see paragraphs 2.1.2 - 2.1.5, above). Such records shall include records of internal inspections, non-compliance identified in such inspections, actions taken to correct any such non-compliance;	and training, date admitted to the Group, CARs, quantities of FSC wood purchased and sold. The IN DoF has paper files for each Group member contain the signed consent form and other hard copy records of audits,
v. Relevant documentation regarding production and sales;	sales and purchases, CARs, and other relevant information. Records reviewed by
vi. The date of leaving of any group members, and an explanation of the reason why the member left the group; and	this auditor have been kept up to date. The IN DoF does require that all members establish with the DoF that they do not exceed the
vii. Relevant documentation showing that they meet the definition of a "small enterprise"	fifteen-employee limit. The number of employees for each group member is included in the annual audit conducted the Group Entity.
	Does the Group Entity maintain records for at least 5 years?
2.5.2 Documentation shall be archived for at least 5 years.	x Yes No

,

Doc Name:	Date Created:	Last Modified:	Page 12	Approved by:
SCS Group Report V1.2	March 16, 2009	October 7, 2009		AM

SECTION 3: Evaluation Process and Conclusions

Г	
Į	
ļ	PART 3A: Audit Details
l	

Auditor name(s) and qualification(s)

Franklin S. Judd, Lead Auditor Scientific Certification Systems BS Forestry/Wood Technology & MS Forest Resources/Forest Products Marketing, Penn State University; Commissioned US Army Officer, Military Intelligence. Six years experience in FSC Chain of Custody market development, auditing, and product management; over thirty years of management in forest products manufacturing, procurement, marketing, and process development.

This auditor met with Carl Hauser in the Indiana Division of Forestry offices in State of Indiana administrative building in downtown Indianapolis. We reviewed all current procedures, with special emphasis on those concerning FSC Controlled Wood and the Group Entity procedures.

I then randomly selected files for the individual Group Members. Each file contained the signed Group member agreement and a copy of the annual audit, as well as other notes and supporting information: invoices, shipping documents, etc. Also checked were the approvals by SCS of the use of the FSC logo or trademark.

State Forester. I informed Carl and Jack that, in general, their procedures and records seemed in order. However, until I concluded the supplemental Group member audits, I indicated that I could not determine the extent of the CARs, if any, that would be issued. I expressed that I did have a concern of the implementation of FSC Controlled Wood, as determined by the general District of Origin, instead of the specific Forest Management Unit for FSC Controlled Wood. This, I stated, could likely be a Corrective Action Request. That concluded the primary audit of the Indiana DoF Group in Indianapolis.

Concluding the audit, I additionally met with Jack Seifert, the Indiana

Description of the evaluation

Including pre-evaluation, and whether Desk or Member Audit

The following three and one half days I traveled with Carl Hauser while conducting supplemental audits of Group members. At the conclusion of these audits, I noted to Carl that training and training records at each Group member were not adequately established. Also, I indicated that after reviewing all the information available, it appeared likely that the Forest Management Unit of origin was not sufficiently well documented through either Group procedures or actual Group member tracking practices. Also noted as a potential CAR was the inconsistency among some Group members of the proper use of the FSC CoC code and FSC claim on documentation.

I later noted to Carl that specific audits for FSC Controlled Wood by the Group Entity had not been documented, even though the applicable Group members were starting to implement, or had already had implemented, the assessment and sale of Controlled Wood. I indicated this would also be treated as a CAR. That concluded the audit of the IIN DoF Group Entity.

Doc Name:	Date Created:	Last Modified:	Page 14	Approved by:	
SCS Group Report V1.2	March 16, 2009	October 7, 2009		AM	

Date and Duration of Audit

March 8, 2010, Four hours. Also, traveling with the IN DoF Group Entity CoC Administrator, Carl Hauser for an additional three and one half days, April 8-11, 2010, while conducting supplemental audits of Group members. An additional meeting with both Carl Hauser and Jack Seifert was held on April 28th for one and one-half hours.

PART 3B: Conclusions				
	Does Group Entity have the capacity to manage the current size of the group? (explain)			
2.2. The group entity shall have sufficient legal and management authority and technical support to implement the requirements and responsibilities specified in 2.1.1-	Yes, as a Division of the Department of Natural Resources of the State of Indiana, the Division of Forestry has ample resources of fully qualified individuals to manage the current and anticipated expansion of this Group.			
2.1.15 and to support the size of group proposed for certification.	Auditor's suggested maximum annual increase of number of Group Members:			
	Fifteen new members a year.			
	N/A, evaluation audit			
Evaluation of any corrective actions from previous audit: Discuss each thoroughly and annex evidence where appropriate	CAR 2008.1 The Indiana DOF State timber sale Bid Proposal, At. 5, and Timber Sale Contract, At. 6, do not contain sufficient reference of FSC indicators for FSC CoC integrity. Corrective Action: Include within the body of these documents, or as referenced attachments, a statement qualifying the timber as FSC Certified and the FSC Claim. (The FSC Code Number is included as part of the basic document header, but should also be located with the FSC Claim for clarity.) Deadline: Present to the SCS Compliance Office NLT than 30 days from the date of this evaluation, compliant copies of the Bid Proposal and Timber contracts. Ref. STD-COC-40-004 V2 6.1.1. Closed: The Indiana State contract for timber sales now includes their FSC CoC code and the FSC Pure claim.			
Corrective Action Requests: Specify if CAR is for Group Entity or which member.	Minor CAR 2010.1. Training records of staff at individual Group member's sites are not maintained by those Group members where needed (when there is more than one employee). Records of training done by the IN DoF for Group members are available at the IN DoF offices. Corrective Action: Implement training and required record keeping by IN DoF Group members.			

Doc Name:	Date Created:	Last Modified:	Page 15	Approved by:
SCS Group Report V1.2	March 16, 2009	October 7, 2009		AM

<u>Deadline</u>: For review at the next annual audit. Ref. STD 40-004 V2, 1, 1.3 Training, 1.3.2.

MAJOR CW CAR 2010.2. As determined during supplemental audits of Group Members, the origin of wood assessed as Controlled Wood is not consistently tracked to the Forest Management Unit of origin.

Corrective Action: Implement procedures to ensure that wood assessed by Group members as FSC Controlled Wood does meet traceability requirements to the Forest Management Unit.

<u>Deadline</u>: Within 90 days from the date of this audit report (September 16, 2010), provide compliant documentation to SCS.

Ref. STD 40-005-V2-1, 8 Controlled Wood inputs from non-FSC certified suppliers, 8.1 b).

MAJOR CAR 2010.3: Audits (and/or records of those audits) for verifying the authenticity of FSC Controlled Wood, as required in At. 1, Group Entity Procedures, have not been preformed.

Corrective Action: Conduct and establish compliant records of internal Group member audits performed by the Group Entity for determination of the FMU of origin of FSC Controlled Wood. For Group members that have implemented the purchase, assessment, and/or sale of FSC Controlled Wood.

<u>Deadline</u>: Within 90 days from the date of this audit report (September 16, 2010), provide compliant documentation to SCS.

Ref. STD 40-005-V2-1, 8 FSC Controlled Wood inputs from non-FSC certified suppliers, 8.1 c).

MAJOR CAR 2010.4 There is inconsistency in the use of the correct FSC CoC code and FSC claim among Group members. Not all members document the scale ticket consistently and/or include the FSC claim on transportation or delivery documents.

Corrective Action: Implement a system to ensure the use of the FSC Claim and the FSC CoC code on all relevant documentation to specifically include scale tickets issued by the purchasing customer on delivery by the Group member or its hauler. (This is frequently the only document identifying the sale and subsequent purchase of the FSC logs and/or FSC lumber!)

<u>Deadline</u>: Within 90 days from the date of this audit report (September 16, 2010), provide compliant documentation to SCS.

Ref. FSC STD-40-004 V2, 6 Sales and delivery, 6,1, 6.1.1 and 6.1.2.

1	Doc Name:	Date Created:	Last Modified:	Page 16	Approved by:
	SCS Group Report V1.2	March 16, 2009	October 7, 2009	· ·	AM

Minor CW CAR 2010.5: Lists of all suppliers of wood assessed as FSC Controlled were not available at audits of all Group members that are including FSC Controlled Wood in their FSC chain of custody.

<u>Corrective Action</u>: Obtain complete listing of all wood suppliers form all Group members that are including FSC Controlled Wood in their FSC CoC.

<u>Deadline</u>. For review at the next annual audit. Re. FSC STD-40-005 V2-1, 5.2.

Minor CAR 2010.6 Signed outsourcing agreements had not been executed at all sites with relevant contractors at the time of this audit.

<u>Corrective Action</u>: Obtain signed agreements with these contractors.

<u>Deadline:</u> For review at the next annual audit by the Group Entity and at the individual sites.

Ref. FSC STD 40-004 V2, 12 Outsourcing, 12.1 Preconditions for outsourcing 12.1.1 c).

Minor CAR 2010.7 Complete contact details of outsourced contractors for all sites were not available at the time of this site audit.

<u>Corrective Action</u>; Provide contact details of all outsourced contractors.

<u>Deadline</u>: For review at the next annual audit by the Group Entity and at the individual sites.

Ref. FSC STD 40-004 V2, 12 Outsourcing, 12.3 Records, 12.3.1.

Major CW CAR 2010.8 -

website referred to FSC Controlled Wood.

Corrective Action; Remove all references to FSC

Controlled Wood from the FSC website.

Deadline: September 15, 2010.

Ref. FSC STD 40-005, Annex 4, 1.1.

Status: CLOSED. The IN DNR DoF has directed on May 3, 2010, to remove FSC Controlled Wood from their website. Report reviewer confirmed that the infraction has been removed.

Minor CAR 2010.9 — The Product Chart does not include the Mixed Credit claim or the correct Control System used.

Corrective Action: Correct the Product Chart.

<u>Deadline</u>: For review at the next annual audit.

<u>Ref. FSC STD 40-04 V2 2 Scope of the FSC chain of custody system, 2.1 Product groups.</u>

ſ	Doc Name:	Date Created:	Last Modified:	Page 17	Approved by:	
	SCS Group Report V1.2	March 16, 2009	October 7, 2009		AM	

Minor CAR 2010.10 A list of verified FSC log suppliers was not available during the site audits. Corrective Action: Provide a verified supplier list. Deadline: For review at the next annual audit. Ref. FSC STD 40-004 V2, 3 Material Sourcing, 3.2 Supplier validation, 3.2.1. Minor CAR 2010.11: The Group Member procedures do not address the use of the Credit System for lumber products or logs. Corrective Action: The Group Entity is to expand the Group Member procedures (especially the spreadsheet example) to include lumber and logs. Deadline: Provide clear procedures to all Group members using the Credit System with Controlled Wood. For review at the next annual audit. Ref. FSC STD 40-04 V2 9 Credit System, 9.3, 9.4, 9.5. Recommendation 2010.1 Expand the Group member consent form to specifically include the requirements in Part 2.4.1. Recommendation 2010.2 Consolidate a summary of all Group members that are including FSC Controlled Wood in their FSC CoC; review with these members the requirements of the Controlled Wood standard; review the list of all suppliers for ability to provide the FMU (Forest Management Unit) of origin for all wood; and establish and reinforce procedures to exclude any non-controlled wood. Observations: Note: Recommendations are reviewed in the next annual audit, and may be elevated to a CAR. Recommendation 2010.3: The Group Entity, the Indiana DoF should review the Group Members procedure for the Credit System and Controlled Wood to ensure full compliance with both standards. Recommendation 2010.4: Procedures have not been established for the operation of the yet to be constructed sawmill for FSC CoC. Prior to this facility starting FSC production, procedures should be revised and staff trained. A sample of the total number of active members (members that Surveillance schedule: have purchased and/or sold FSC products since the previous Please note any members or requirements audit) will be audited each year based on risk factors following requiring attention at surveillance audits SCS procedures.

Doc Name:	Date Created:	Last Modified:	Page 18	Approved by:	ı
SCS Group Report VI 2	March 16, 2009	October 7, 2009	1	AM	Ī

	PART	3C: Certification Decision	
Auditor's recommendation for initial or continued certification based on conformity with FSC-POL-40-002:		The Group Entity, The Indiana State Department of Natur Resources, Division of Forestry, does comply with FSC-POL-40-002, FSC STD-40-004 V2, and FSC STD-40-005 V2-1 with the exception of the Corrective Action Requests listed in Part 3B Conclusions. It is the recommendation of this auditor that the IN DNR DoF continue to be awarded FSC certification as the Group Entity; and that its Group members continue to retain their FSC chain of custody certification as Group members.	
To be completed by Certification Decision- Making Entity	SCS Certification Decision:	Indiana DoF Group Entity has three open Major CARs, one closed Major CAR, and an additional Major Desk CAR. There are also eight Minor CARs, including one Desk CAR. Continued certification is approved for this group entity for FSC standards 40-004 v2-0 and 40-005 v2-1.	
	Maximum allowable annual increase in number of Group Members: Additional members could only be added following a new evaluation audit.	Fifteen	
se comple	SCS certification decision- making entity:	Vanessa Hayward	
Tol	Date of Certification Decision:	June 16, 2010	

Acknowledgement and agreement

I, Franklin S. Judd, representing Scientific Certification Systems, have executed the above evaluation and believe it to be accurate.

Franklin.	<u>S.</u>	Judd	April 11.	2010
Signature	•		date	

I, Carl Hauser, of the Indiana State Department of Natural Resources, Division of Forestry, have read the preceding report and find it to be accurate. I also agree to fulfill the conditions for certification according to issued decision and to requirements as stated in Annex 1.

Signature	***************************************	Date			
Doc Name: SCS Group Report_V1.2	Date Created; March 16, 2009	Last Modified: October 7, 2009	P a g e 19	Approved by: AM	

Annex 1

Additional general requirements

The company has been advised and hereby agrees to notify Scientific Certification Systems of any of the following material changes to the certification:

- Fulfillment of Corrective Action Requests (CARS) / Conditions
- Change in company name
- Change in ownership and or management
- Change in contact name(s) and address(es)
- Change to the scope of certificate, as it appears on the certificate and FSC database
- Addition of new facility(ies) and/or termination of facility(ies) covered by the certificate
- Circumstances and/or resource conditions that could materially impact the continued validity of the certification
- Addition of new FSC Product Groups
- Material Changes to existing FSC Product Groups, such as commencement of new accounting systems or modification of product claims
- FSC and/or SCS logo use, per logo approval agreement
- Incident report of incorrect claim or deviation from FSC regulations
- FSC logo misuse by suppliers
- Date of production start of certified products if initial audit was conducted during absence of certified material
- New outsourcing agreements
- Agreements with vendors or other certificate holders for on-product labeling using company certificate number
- Material change to documented control system.
- Termination in whole or in part
- Any other circumstances where the product may no longer comply with the requirements of the certification system

Attachments:

- **Group Entity Procedures**
- 2. Group Member Procedures with Controlled Wood
- **Group Member List**
- Controlled Wood checklist Controlled Wood Risk Assessment, Eastern US
- Controlled Wood Risk Assessment, Western US 6.
- IN DoF Complaints Procedures
- 8. IN DoF Policy

Doc Name:	Date Created:	Last Modified:	Page 20	Approved by:
SCS Group Report V1.2	March 16, 2009	October 7, 2009		AM