In the Matter Of:

INDIANA HORSE RACING COMMISSION MEETING

IHRC Meeting

April 16, 2015

```
Page 3
                                                                Page 1
                                                                                AGENDA

I. Call to Order

II. Approval of minutes of March 10, 2015 meeting.

III. Agenda
 1
                                                                             2
 2
                                                                             3
 3
                                                                                         Consideration of the Administrative Law
                                                                             5
 4
                                                                                Judge's Recommended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of
 5
                                                                                Law. Ultimate Findings of Fact and Order on the
                                                                                Captain Jack Racing Stable, LLC's Motion to Intervene
 6
     INDIANA HORSE RACING COMMISSION
                                                                                in The Matter of Richard Estvanko and Anthony Granitz
                    MEETING
                                                                                (IHRC Ruling Nos. 14694 and 14695.)
 7
                                                                             8
                                                                                         Indiana's licensing program and the case of
 8
                                                                                Roman Chapa.
 9
                                                                           10
                                                                                     3. Review of commission rulings -- January 1,
                                                                                2015 - March 31, 2015.
10
                                                                           11
11
                                                                                4. Approval of additional cobalt split sample laboratory for 2015 and beyond pursuant to 71 IAC 8-4-3 and 8.5-3-3.
                                                                           12
                    Held on
12
                April 16, 2015
                                                                           13
                   9:05 a.m.
                                                                                     5. Approval of emergency rule regarding human
                                                                           14
                                                                                drug testing:
13
                                                                                     71 IAC 8-10-2
71 IAC 8-10-3
                                                                                                                    71 IAC 8.5-10-2
14
                                                                                                                    71 IAC 8.5-10-3
                                                                           15
                                                                                     71 IAC 8-10-4
                                                                                                                    71 IAC 8.5-10-4
15
                                                                           16
                                                                                     71 IAC 8-10-5
                                                                                                                    71 IAC 8.5-10-5
            Indiana State Library
16
                                                                                     71 IAC 8-10-6
                                                                                                                    71 IAC 8.5-10-6
            315 West Ohio Street
                                                                           17
                                                                                     6.
                                                                                         Approval of emergency rules regarding
                   Room 203
                                                                           18
                                                                                administrative procedures prior to split sample
            Indianapolis, Indiana
                                                                                testing:
                                                                                     71 IAC 8-4-3
                                                                           19
                                                                                                                    71 IAC 8.5-3-3
                                                                                7. Approval of emergency rules regarding the approval of contracts:
                                                                           2.0
19
                                                                           21
                                                                                     71 IAC 11-1-12
                                                                                                                    71 IAC 11-1-16
20
                   Taken by
                                                                                     71 IAC 12-1-15
                                                                                                                    71 IAC 12-1-19
21
        Michele K. Gustafson, CRR-RPR
                                                                           22
                                                                                     8. Consideration of the Petition of
22
                 Notary Public
                                                                                Centaur Holdings, LLC, New Centaur, LLC and
Hoosier Park, LLC In re: The Petition of Centaur
Holdings, LLC, New Centaur, LLC and Hoosier Park, LLC
                                                                           2.3
23
2.4
                                                                                to enter into a lease with Food Service Max, d/b/a
25
                                                                           25
                                                                                TJ's Pizza Cafe/Track Side (Tommy J's), LLC.
                                                                Page 2
                                                                                                                                            Page 4
1
                              APPEARANCES
                                                                             1
                                                                                     9. Consideration of the Petition of
                                                                                Centaur Holdings, LLC, New Centaur, LLC and
 2
                                                                                Hoosier Park, LLC In re: The Petition of Centaur
Holdings, LLC, New Centaur, LLC and Hoosier Park, LLC
    Tom Weatherwax, Chairman
                                                                                to enter into a lease with Food Service with
 3 Greg Schenkel
                                                                                Saucy Dog, LLC, d/b/a Dog N Suds of Anderson.
                                                                             4
    Susie Lightle
                                                                                     10.
                                                                                          Consideration of the Petition of
 4 George Pillow
                                                                                Centaur Holdings, LLC, New Centaur, LLC and
                                                                                Hoosier Park, LLC In re: The Petition of Centaur
 5 Joseph Gorajec, Executive Director
                                                                             6
                                                                                Holdings, LLC, New Centaur, LLC and Hoosier Park, LLC
 6 Lea Ellingwood, Esq.
                                                                                to enter into a Franchise Agreement with VooDoo BBQ
                                                                                Franchising, LLC (VooDoo).

11. Consideration of the Petition of
    Holly Newell, Esq.
 7
                                                                                Centaur Holdings, LLC, New Centaur, LLC, (New Centaur)
                                                                             9
                                                                                and Centaur Acquisition, LLC (Indiana Grand), for
 8
                                                                                Approval of Quarter Horse barn construction plans
 9
                                                                           10
                                                                                contract.
                                                                           11
                                                                                     12. Request of Centaur Acquisition, LLC to Enter
10
                                                                                into Construction Contract to Improve the Ventilation
11
                                                                                in Barns 10, 11, 12 and 14 at Indiana Grand Racecourse
                                                                           12
                                                                                 (Construction Contract).
12
                                                                           13
13
                                                                                          Consideration of the 2015 Agreement Between
                                                                                Hoosier Park, LLC ad the Indiana Standardbred
                                                                           14
14
                                                                                Association (ISA).
15
                                                                           15
                                                                                14.\, Consideration of the 2015 Agreement Between Centaur Acquisition, LLC d/b/a Indiana Grand Racing
16
                                                                           16
17
                                                                                and Casino and the Indiana Horsemen's Benevolent and
                                                                           17
                                                                                Protective Association, Inc. (IHBPA).
18
                                                                                     15. Consideration of the 2015 Agreement Between
                                                                           18
                                                                                Indiana Grand Racing and Casino and the Quarter Horse
Racing Association of Indiana (QHRAI) Contract.
16. Consideration of the 2015 Contract Between
19
                                                                           19
20
                                                                           20
                                                                                Centaur Acquisition, LLC d/b/a Indiana Grand Racing
21
                                                                                and Casino and the Jockeys' Guild, Inc.
22
                                                                                     17. Consideration of Request by Centaur Holdings,
                                                                           22
                                                                                LLC, New Centaur, LLC and Hoosier Park, LLC to
23
                                                                                Temporarily Relocate Self Service Wagering Machines.
18. Approval of Indiana Grand's racing official
24
                                                                                list pursuant to 71 IAC 3.5-1-3.
25
                                                                           25
```

			Pages 58
1	Page 5 IV. Old Business	1	Page 7 Just to give you a brief procedural
2	V. New Business	2	background. Trainers Estvanko and Granitz trained
3	VI. Adjournment	3	a horse named Tam Tuff, who finished second in a
4	vi. Adjournment	4	race at Indiana Grand on September 19, 2014.
5		5	Captain Jack Stables owns the horse Tam Tuff.
6		6	
7		7	After holding a hearing, the stewards determined that the trainers had violated the Commission's
'			
8		8	administrative rules and pursuant to 71 IAC 7.5-7-4
9		9	ordered the purse that had been distributed to
10		10	Captain Jack's purse account be redistributed to
11		11	the appropriate winners.
12		12	The trainers have appealed the stewards
13		13	ruling, and that appeal of the ruling is currently
14		14	before Administrative Law Judge Buddy Pylitt.
15		15	Captain Jack has filed a motion with Judge Pylitt
16		16	asking to intervene in the trainers' appeal, saying
17		17	that essentially Captain Jack has been aggrieved or
18		18	adversely affected by the stewards rulings.
19		19	ALJ Pylitt issued an order denying Captain Jack's
20		20	motion to intervene.
21		21	Captain Jack filed objections to
22		22	Judge Pylitt's order. The ALJ's order,
23		23	Captain Jack's objections, and briefs subsequently
24		24	filed by both Captain Jack and Commission Staff
25		25	have been forwarded to you, along with a copy of an
1	Page 6 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: If I could have your	1	Page 8 informal legal opinion I issued on the matter at
2	attention, please. We now have our Commissioners	2	the request of the Chairman.
3	here, and I'd like to welcome everybody. Thank you	3	The Commission now must decide whether to
4	for being here, my fellow Commissioners. If I	4	adopt, amend, or dissolve the ALJ's order denying
5	could at this point, Michele, please raise your	5	Captain Jack's motion to intervene. We're going to
6	hand.	6	begin with oral arguments. Captain Jack will go
7	(Court reporter was sworn in at this time)	7	first. Each party has ten minutes to present their
8	CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Thank you for being	8	case. I'm going to do a countdown so you know how
9	here.	9	close you're getting. Then each party will be
10	First order on the agenda is approval of the	10	given three minutes for rebuttal. Captain Jack
		11	
11	minutes. I'm sure each of you had a chance to		will start, Commission Staff will go next,
12	review those under your Tab 1. Are there any	12	Captain Jack will have three minutes for rebuttal,
13	changes, corrections?	13	and then Commission Staff will finish up.
14	MR. SCHENKEL: I'll move approval.	14	After that's over, the hearing will conclude,
15	CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Move to approve.	15	and you'll be able to deliberate and ask me
16	MR. PILLOW: Second.	16	questions if you'd like to. Unless you ask a
17	MS. LIGHTLE: Second.	17	question, nobody else will be able to testify.
18	CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: All those in favor, say	18	CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: So is this more of a
19	aye.	19	proceeding legally than charges against the
20	THE COMMISSION: "Aye".	20	trainers, which we're not looking at today?
21	CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Thank you.	21	MS. ELLINGWOOD: At this point you're not
22	First item, Lea, you're going to explain this	22	looking at the underlying substantive matter.
23	to our Commission, but it deals with the basically	23	You're only deciding whether or not you want to
24	legal issue and the ruling. So please proceed.	24	adopt, amend, or dissolve the administrative law
25	MS. ELLINGWOOD: Sure. Thank you, Chairman.	25	judge's order saying that Captain Jack cannot

intervene. The appeal is currently before the
administrative law judge and is currently scheduled
for July -- and Counsel, please feel free to
correct me if I'm wrong about that -- so that
underlying matter will come before the Commission
at a later date. Right now you're just deciding
whether or not Captain Jack can intervene.

I'm going to start giving you a countdown at three so you know where you are.

MR. TERPSTRA: Thank you for hosting us this morning. I really appreciate it. My name is John Terpstra. I'm with a law firm known as Hinshaw & Culbertson. We're based out of Chicago, but we have an office in Schererville, Indiana.

Lea, it's very nice to meet you in person. We've exchanged e-mails. Nice to see you.

The summary was adequate. What is before the Commission this morning is not anything substantive with respect to the accusations against the trainer and assistant trainer. It's rather an issue of whether or not Captain Jack Stables, LLC, will be allowed to participate in the appeal that has been filed by the trainers.

As was stated, on October 1 of 2014, the Indiana Grand Racecourse conducted a hearing

Page 10

regarding allegations that Tam Tuff, who is owned by Captain Jack Stables, received an illegal injection prior to the September 19 race in which he placed second.

Dr. Russell was Tam Tuff's veterinarian. He was notified of, was present for, and testified at that hearing. Anthony Granitz, Tam Tuff's trainer, was notified of, was present for, and testified at that hearing. Assistant trainer Richard Estvanko likewise was notified, was present for, and testified at that hearing. Captain Jack Stables, however, was never notified, could not have participated in, and was not present to defend its interests in that hearing.

From that hearing the stewards issued Rulings 14694 and 14695. Ruling 14695 ordered Captain Jack to return the purse money received by Tam Tuff's second-place finish in the September 19 race. Rather than requesting a return of the purse money, the Indiana Grand Racecourse horsemen's bookkeeper unilaterally reversed Captain Jack's September 19 winnings in their entirety, inclusive of winnings earned from unrelated business.

The stewards never notified Captain Jack of the allegations, nor of the proceedings, the

Page 11 hearing, the rulings, or the recaptured purse

2 money. Captain Jack was left 100 percent in the

3 dark. Granitz and Estvanko appealed the rulings,

4 and Captain Jack now seeks to intervene. Intervene 5 is just a legal term for a request to participate

6 in the proceedings going forward.

The staff agrees that the legal standard to intervene in this case is IC 4-21.5-3-21(a)(2)(B). Which states that an ALJ shall -- and that's mandatory language -- grant a petition to intervene if a petition is filed, which Captain Jack has done, and states facts demonstrating that the petitioner here, Captain Jack, is aggrieved or adversely affected by the actions of another or by a court ruling.

Captain Jack has done that in this case. To be aggrieved, Captain Jack may only show that its personal, pecuniary, or property rights have been adversely affected by another person's actions or by a court's decree or judgment. It is not a high standard.

First, Captain Jack's personal and pecuniary rights were certainly affected by other person's actions. Jamie Knowles, the Indiana Grand employee who accused the trainer and assistant trainer of

Page 12

1 Tam Tuff of cheating by means of doping Tam Tuff 2 through its trainers and veterinarian, was present 3 for and testified during the proceedings before the 4 stewards.

Richard Estvanko, the assistant trainer, is accused of participating in the doping;
Anthony Granitz, Tam Tuff's trainer, is also accused of participating in the doping; and Dr. Ross Russell is also accused of participating in this act. It is clear, then, that the actions of other persons adversely affected Captain Jack by means of the assistant trainer, the trainer, and the veterinarian, being construed potentially as agents of Captain Jack, the owner of Tam Tuff.

Jamie Knowles brought to light allegations that the actions of three individuals violated the rules of horse racing in Indiana, which activities amount to cheating, if true, and deprived Captain Jack of its opportunity to retain the purse money.

Cheating is a very serious allegation, one that is potentially crippling to an owner organization. For the ALJ to recommend a ruling that the alleged actions of Dr. Russell, Granitz, and Estvanko here have no potential to adversely

Page 14

affect Captain Jack's personal or pecuniary legal
interests cuts against logic and is beyond my level
of understanding. To say that it makes no
difference here because Captain Jack is not
specifically accused by the stewards or named in
the proceedings directly is disingenuous. This has
a direct impact on Captain Jack Stables.

Moreover, the ALJ action and the administrative proceedings adversely affect Captain Jack. The legal standard of aggrieved includes examination of the actions of other parties and the rulings of a court. Here the stewards entered a ruling in direct conflict with the legal interests of Captain Jack. Namely, that Captain Jack by its agents, who are the trainer and the assistant trainer, cheated and is deprived of its claim or expectancy of purse money. How rulings of such magnitude have no personal, pecuniary, or property effect on Captain Jack is, again, beyond by level of understanding.

22 Captain Jack of cheating without Captain Jack's 23 participation and labeled it as a cheating entity. 24 How is this not a significant adverse personal 25 detriment to Captain Jack's goodwill and

By the ruling the stewards convicted

reputation?

By its rulings the stewards deprived Captain Jack of its expectancy interest in purse money from Tam Tuff's second-place finish without Captain Jack's opportunity to appear and defend itself in the matter. How is that not a significant interest detriment to its reasonable legal interests, property rights, and a violation of due process?

By its rulings the stewards destroyed Captain Jack's ability to protect its name and unilaterally created a risk to race organizers in allowing Tam Tuff to enter races in the future, thereby depriving Captain Jack of potential future earnings. How is this not an adverse effect on Captain Jack's pecuniary rights to free trade in the industry?

All of this points directly to a right of Captain Jack to intervene and participate in the proceedings going forward. Captain Jack has presented facts that it is aggrieved or personally affected by actions of other persons and by the stewards' rulings, also by the ALJ's recommended ruling. It has been deprived of its expectancy of purse money for Tam Tuff's victory.

Page 15

It has been denied the opportunity to appear and carry its burden of proving Tam Tuff was not doping. It suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its reputation in the industry. It is a detriment to enter future races with the label unilaterally surrounded by the stewards and the ALJ's rulings.

These denials, detriments, and deprivations of personal, pecuniary, and property interests are exactly that type of legal interest adversely affected to which Indiana courts have held intervention is appropriate, and, in fact, under the language of the Administrative Code, mandatory.

You're going to hear a lot of arguments from opposing counsel regarding property interest and how because Captain Jack allegedly does not have the right to retain the purse money that it does not have a property interest here that is adversely affected. Let's be clear that this case is not only about purse money or reputation or future earnings. This case is one of due process.

Under the administrative rules governing horse racing, the owners are held ultimately responsible for violations. Yet by these rulings and the ALJ's recommendation, we have created a system whereby

Page 16

the ultimately responsible party is deprived of any right to appear and defend itself. The real party to a trial is locked out of the courtroom.

This is the American judicial system, one

This is the American judicial system, one built on the vision of openness, access to courts, notice, the right to be heard and the right to confront your accusers. Not one of unilateral decisions, sneak attacks, surprise, or deprivation of opportunity. That is exactly what the ALJ's recommended ruling creates.

You have the opportunity here to preserve integrity. You have the opportunity here not to subscribe to a system that could potentially be broken. The laws applied to the facts of this matter create an undeniable right to intervene. For that reason the ALJ's recommended ruling should be rejected by the Commission and the Commission should allow Captain Jack to intervene and participate in the matter going forward.

Thanks for your time, and I'll see you again in a couple minutes.

MR. BABBITT: My name is Robin Babbitt. I'm acting with Holly on behalf of the Commission Staff in this matter. We are the attorneys who are scheduled to try the disciplinary matter. I think

Page 17

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that Lea said that it was in mid-July, and I believe it's in mid-June. So we're moving forward to that end.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

20

24

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I beg to differ with Counsel. I've spoke to you before, and I typically have a different opinion. In this case it's no different.

This is a very important case for this Commission, and I would submit to you that the system will be broken if and only if you reverse the administrative law judge's order and allow intervention in this case by an owner. Let me try to explain that to you, and I'll be happy to answer any questions.

14 The case that we're working on is a disciplinary case. As you know, there is a full 15 set of disciplinary rules in Article 10 of the 16 horse racing rules that applies to disciplinary 17 cases. In this particular case there is a claim that the trainer, who's responsible for the horse, 19 and the assistant trainer, who we believe was actually involved in this incident, should be 21 22 disciplined, etc. As a result, the rules say if 23 there's an underlying action, then the stewards have the right to redistribute the purse. That's exactly what happened here. 25

Page 18

Now, if you listen to Mr. Terpstra, he said many things that I disagree with. That the owner was accused of cheating, that the owner has effectively been convicted of cheating. We flatly dispute that. There has been no charge against the owner at all. If the owner was charged with a disciplinary violation, then the owner would have all of the due process rights in Article 10. But the owner was not charged with a disciplinary action.

We've cited the Seventh Circuit case that was decided under different state laws in Illinois in the 1970s that says when the owner isn't specifically charged, the owner doesn't have an interest in protecting reputation. Because, trust me, there are 3,600 owners licenses, at least in 2013, out of over 10,000 licenses that the Commission gave, and the reason that the rules are directed towards the trainers are because the trainers have ultimate responsibility for the horse, not the owners.

So if the owner's horse is subject to an illegal medication, unless the owner was actually involved and has knowledge, in which case there will be a disciplinary action, but if they're not,

they're still subject to having the purse 1 redistributed because there's been a violation of 3 the racing rules and they're, therefore, not

entitled to the purse. Nobody's saying they did anything wrong. The things that were done wrong were done at a different level. In this case it's no different. So what we've got is we have an owner who is not charged with anything, who has a purse distribution which is entirely appropriate under the Indiana rules, and the owner comes in and says, you know, I'd really like to be involved in this disciplinary case even though I'm not named in the disciplinary case. And that would be a huge problem for the day-to-day operations of the Indiana Horse Racing Commission.

When is a purse earned? You have to kind of look at that. When is a purse earned? Well, is it earned when the horse crosses the finish line? That's what we like to think. However, there are all sorts of things that can happen, not only during the race, but medication violations. There are all sorts of other rules that can be violated. So the rules provide that the right to claim the

Page 20

conditions of the race have been met. And if there 1 2 is a violation, then the owner's not got a property 3 interest in that purse. 4 Mr. Terpstra was right. We are interested in

purse isn't final until all of the underlying

the whether there's a property interest or not. The aggrieved and adversely affected standard is a standard which is in the rules, which, quite frankly, Holly raised on behalf of the Commission Staff in response to the motion to intervene. It says you have to have a legal interest in property or you have to have a personal right before you're aggrieved and adversely

Mr. Terpstra suggested that it's a low bar. I don't know in the regulations where it says it's a low bar. It says the person who is asking to intervene under the Administrative Orders and Procedures Act has the obligation to carry the burden that they're aggrieved and adversely affected. The administrative law judge didn't think they met that burden, and I agree that they had not met that burden.

If they had met the burden -- and they haven't under all of the cases that they've cited -- there is a condition there's a claimed underlying

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 violation. We're still in the process of working 2 that out. It's been vigorously contested.

Nobody's sitting around saying . . . In fact, 3

Mr. Terpstra's explanation didn't cover all of the

witnesses that testified in the underlying suit 5 here. Very hotly contested.

6 7 8

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

Having said that, that doesn't give the owner a legal interest in the purse. There's no present deprivation of the legal interest until that purse is earned, and that purse is not earned until all the conditions have been met. That won't be known until the underlying case is over. Wasn't a legal interest at the time that the stewards acted, it's not a legal interest now.

There's no reputational interest to protect. That's clear in the Edelberg case. There's no property interest.

If you want to find a property interest, you have to go somewhere to state statute. We've been before the Seventh Circuit on another owner's case where they claimed a right to file an appeal after a placement decision was made. Seventh Circuit said you don't have a right to an appeal. That's the closest case we've ever had in Indiana to this particular case, but it didn't give the owner the

Page 22

right to file an action when his horse was sat down and he claimed a loss of money.

The Seventh Circuit in Illinois case said you have no reputational interest. In fact, until all the conditions of the race are met, you don't have a property interest in the purse.

The purse is what they're talking about. There's no fine that's been suggested. There is no suggestion that they should be tarnished by a suspension or any of those things. They can't make those arguments. So you have to look as to whether there is a current property interest, and there wasn't. The ALJ is absolutely right.

Now, let me tell you what the risk is. If you say -- and it's easy the say -- well, it's just one case, no big deal, we'll let this guy intervene, what you do is you start opening a door where there's no legal right to participate in this proceeding. You've set a precedent now that will be pointed to for owners who want to come in. Let's say there's a driving crash, somebody's bumped during a race, the horse is disqualified, and now the stewards do what stewards do. They've already done the final placement. Horse crossed the finish line first.

Page 23

Page 24

They bring a disciplinary action against the driver, the jockey, because the jockey violated the rules. What you've done is given now rise to the argument of every owner to come in and say, oh, well, you know, they could say my jockey cheated. I'll be tarnished. I have a property right in the purse which wasn't earned because my horse crossed the finish line first. We say, well, no, all the conditions weren't met. They turn to us and say, yeah, but the Commission let that happen in the Estvanko and Granitz case where the owner hadn't met all of the conditions of the purse.

So it raises a procedural problem for the stewards if you don't affirm the ALJ, and now all of the sudden you've got twelve-hour notice, you got the jockey there but the steward's in New York or California, but the steward has due process rights. Which they don't have, by the way, under any statute or regulation in the state of Indiana. They would have pointed you to one if there was one. They're just saying kind of generally under due process rights. You have to have that property interest. They don't have it. They're not entitled to intervene. It's not a disciplinary action, and you will be setting a very dangerous

1 precedents.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I would respectfully request that you affirm Judge Pylitt's decision and confirm the denial of the motion to intervene. I'll take any questions at any time. Thank you.

MS. ELLINGWOOD: Mr. Terpstra, you've got three minutes. You can take it, not take it, whatever you'd like.

MR. TERPSTRA: I'll take it.

MS. ELLINGWOOD: All right. You're up.

MR. TERPSTRA: It's not surprising that we 11 12 disagree.

There's a suggestion made by my opposing counsel that if you do not accept the recommended ruling by the ALJ, you're going to open the flood gates to all this litigation. That is simply inaccurate. In fact, I would argue the inverse is true. If you reject the ALJ's recommendation and allow Captain Jack to intervene in this case, it will close the gate to further and much more protractive litigation than what you're seeing now.

Opposing counsel has cited the Edelberg case, which is a State of Illinois case. That case determined whether or not due process rights were violated when purse money was recaptured from an

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1 owner of a horse that was accused of doping. In that case the pivotal issue for the Court to rule 3 to show that due process had been complied with and that it wasn't an unlawful taking of property was that the owner was involved and actively participated in all the underlying proceedings.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Captain Jack is not standing here today asking you to return purse money. We're not doing that. We're asking to be allowed to participate in proving that the conditions to earn the purse money have been met.

What is being suggested by opposing counsel and has been recommended by the ALJ in this case is likened to a participator in the Indiana Lottery who has a winning lottery ticket, he has the numbers on his card, but the Indiana Lottery does not allow him to show up to the door and show his card to prove that he's earned it. Much the same. The purse of the lottery player is not held and not earned until he can show the conditions of having the actual numbers are met.

Same case here. Captain Jack has a right to purse money as long as the conditions are proven. What the ALJ is recommending here is that the owner sit aside while disciplinary action, which is not

Page 26 separate, it is not removed, it is not foreign to the earning of Captain Jack. In fact, it's directly related to whether or not Captain Jack gets the money. So why would they not? It goes against logic of why they would not be allowed to participate in proving conditions that is in their best interest to prove.

My point in saying that is if they are not allowed to intervene, if they are not allowed to participate, the purse money's taken away and there's evidence that shows to the contrary that they were not allowed to contradict, they're going to file next in Circuit Court. That's the next level. Exhaust your administrative rulings, then the owner goes to the Circuit Court. That is much more laborious, much more protracted than what you see here today. In fact, the flood gates will open in that regard if the ALJ's recommendation is accepted.

Therefore, I respectfully ask that this Commission reject the ALJ's recommendation and allow Captain Jack to participate in the appeals process going forward. I also will take questions. I know it's been raised. If I have any answers, I'll give them.

Page 27 MS. ELLINGWOOD: You may want to wait until

Commission Staff's had the opportunity. They get three minutes for rebuttal. 3

4 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Thank you.

5 MR. TERPSTRA: Thank you for your generous time this morning. I appreciate it. 6

7 MS. ELLINGWOOD: You don't have to take it 8 either.

MR. BABBITT: I won't take the full three minutes, but I'll take a little bit of time.

The threat of protractive litigation is something I would submit to the Commission that I've probably got more experience of than anyone in the room. I've been involved in disciplinary cases since 1994 when the tracks opened, and there is almost always a claim that there's a violation of due process. It's an easy allegation to make. I can tell you up to this point, even though we've been up to the Seventh Circuit and I've argued there, those claims have never stuck.

You can't sit there and you can't make policy on the fact that someone might file a claim because anybody can file a claim about anything. I would submit to you that it would create bad policy because you wouldn't have one lawsuit but you'd

Page 28

have now many challenges and potential lawsuits 1 2 because you've opened the door to owners 3 participating in disciplinary cases they're not a party to. They're not being disciplined. It's just the rules. Those are the rules of Indiana. 5 6 So in any event, I think you have to be very

careful about this. The issue goes to the legal interest, and you can couch it and say it's not about the purse, it's about our right to be heard on the purse. That's, I think, what I heard. The fact of the matter is you have to have a protectable legal interest to be aggrieved and adversely affected. That's what I said. You don't have that till all the conditions are met. Accordingly, you don't have standing.

Judge Pylitt got it right, and I would submit to you that you should affirm his decision. Or we're going to have a situation where the stewards are going to be burdened by giving notice to owners in cases that they don't have otherwise any legal standing on any of the regulations and any of the statutes of the State of Indiana. They haven't pointed out to them, didn't in rebuttal. The legislature makes those rules, and they don't have it. So if you grant it to them otherwise, you are

Page 29

1 creating a morass for the stewards in terms of giving notice to people who have no real interest 2 3 in a disciplinary proceeding.

4 Thank you so much. I'll take any questions as 5 well.

6 MR. TERPSTRA: Are there any questions? 7 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Let me ask my

8 Commissioners if they have a specific question.

Commission Schenkel? 9

16

17

18

1

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

10 MR. SCHENKEL: I'm not a lawyer, but I heard the term reason and logic thrown around a couple 11 12 times by both of you. The statutes and the rulings and everything confuse me when it comes to reason 13 and logic. So excuse my questions. I have respect 14 15 for you lawyers.

I guess Mr. Terpstra. Were the trainers who were allegedly in violation and subject to disciplinary action employees of Captain Jack's?

19 MR. TERPSTRA: It is my understanding that they were employees of Captain Jack, or at least 20 agents of. They may have been employees or 21 22 contractors.

23 MR. SCHENKEL: They weren't free agents out there acting on their own? Captain Jack was the 24

25 owner of the horse, but these folks were

responsible for it?

2 MR. TERPSTRA: They had the authority and 3 their treatment of Tam Tuff was consented to by Captain Jack. 4

MR. SCHENKEL: Now my reason and logic kicks in then. I guess I wonder -- and this probably doesn't have an answer, it's more of a rhetorical question -- when they were subject to disciplinary hearings and possible action why they were not in touch with their boss.

MR. TERPSTRA: That is a great question.

MR. SCHENKEL: That baffles me, and why you said Captain Jack were unaware of this just escapes reason and logic in my mind.

The other thing is that I think it's clear that your example of a lottery winner doesn't quite sit with me because the lottery ticketholder, we're talking here about a possible violation that's under investigation. If I buy a lottery ticket and it's in question, it's usually not because I wrote down the numbers. Those come out of a machine. So it's a much different situation.

23 It just strikes me that if there was an error 24 in this, it was internally in the Captain Jack 25 operation personally. That's my personal feeling.

Not based on anything legal but just in the way 1

that this process has moved forward. I'm not sure

3 that there's any reason to doubt what the staff has

4 done.

8

9

10

5 MR. TERPSTRA: If you may be generous and 6 allow me to reply?

7 MR. SCHENKEL: Sure.

MR. TERPSTRA: Your point is well taken. Why wasn't the trainer and the assistant trainer in touch with Captain Jack during the proceeding. I

don't have an answer for you on that. However, 11

12 based on what's been argued today, wouldn't have made a bit of difference. Even if they were

notified, wouldn't have mattered because the 14

stewards, the staff, the ALJ would have disallowed 15

their participation anyway. So they could have 16

17 been notified by their trainers and pleaded to come

into court and pleaded to come in and participate

19 and be a party to it, but it wouldn't have been

20 allowed.

21 MR. SCHENKEL: But your contention is that 22 they had that right even though the purse was never 23 officially awarded to them?

24 MR. TERPSTRA: My contention is that there is 25 a personal interest here, there's a pecuniary

Page 30

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Page 32 interest here, and there is an expectancy interest 1 in purse money by which that is a legal interest 3 that is provided for protection.

MR. SCHENKEL: As you said earlier, reasonable 4 5 people disagree.

MR. TERPSTRA: That's right.

7 Anything else?

CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Any other questions? 8

Commissioner Pillow? 9

MR. PILLOW: Robin, this is a question for you. If the owner, Captain Jack, had been at that race and was standing at the finish line when the stewards came up and said, hey, there's a problem here, would he have been allowed to at that point --

MR. BABBITT: (Shaking head)

17 MR. PILLOW: So it was strictly his agents.

18 MR. BABBITT: And, again, I'm not positive 19 about this, but I think that the trainer was an 20 independent contractor. I don't think it was an 21 employee of Captain Jack.

22 The fact of the matter is, unless the owner 23 was involved in some way in a rule violation -- and 24 the owner would then be subject to suspension and

25 fine, which this owner wasn't -- that owner could

Page 33

be at the race and say, hey, I disagree. There was a foul. I disagree. I want to participate. Let's say they're available at the track. They still would not be allowed to participate because there's no basis that they have to participate in the disciplinary matter. Their matter's non-disciplinary.

You can say it's not the interest in the purse, it's the interest in the expectancy of the purse. It's the interest in the purse. I mean, that's what they're really looking at.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Again, there is no reputational. Seventh Circuit took that out. We've not said the owners were bad guys, owners had anything to do with this. They've kind of offered that to you. That's nothing that the Commission Staff has done in any way or said or charged.

18 MR. SCHENKEL: Sorry. That raises another 19 question. Then since there's no disciplinary 20 action leveled against the owner, being aggrieved, 21 I guess, Mr. Terpstra, is the term you used, is 22 more by the perception rather than actual action by 23 the Commission. Is that a fair statement?

24 MR. TERPSTRA: There's a reputational interest

25 here, which is disputed by opposing counsel.

Page 34

However, it does exist. There's a personal
interest here as far as how they are treated going
forward in entering races. They may be more highly
scrutinized going forward, so there's a future
earnings interest here.

Let's not forget this does come down to a

right to purse money. And when it comes to a right to something, shouldn't the person whose right that is to claim be afforded the opportunity to defend itself or show the conditions have been met to earn that?

MR. SCHENKEL: But Captain Jack was not penalized or charged or in any way adversely affected officially in terms of any kind of ruling or adjudication?

MR. TERPSTRA: Under the rules it flows upward, so any violation in the conditions below the purse money takes away from the owner. So in that regard they have been adversely affected by the actions of other persons. That's the language of the administrative rule allowing intervention, if you are adversely affected by the actions of other persons. Other people here allegedly acted badly. Thereby, Captain Jack has been adversely

affected and is aggrieved by the actions of other

persons.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

MR. SCHENKEL: I guess that's where, again, my reason and logic comes into bearing. As a businessperson, which Captain Jack is, they're responsible for their people. If their people did something incorrect, then they potentially suffer consequences. So, okay, thank you.

Lea, do you have any closing comments?

MS. ELLINGWOOD: No. Unless the Commissioners have any questions about the opinion I gave to you or any other questions that I can answer. I don't

CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Other questions?

or any other questions that I can answer. I don't have any specific comments.

Just a reminder that at this point the next thing the Commission will need to do is to decide whether you want to adopt the ALJ's order denying

Captain Jack's motion to intervene, if you want to amend that order, or if you want to dissolve it and

19 essentially defer it back to the ALJ.

20 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Thank you, and thank you 21 both for your testimony. We've learned a lot 22 today. A lot of it deals with legal proceedings.

23 A lot of it deals with the steps you go through

24 where there is a grievance or a violation and a due

25 process.

6

14

21

Page 36

1 We can't really talk, I guess, about the real 2 proof of the pudding, so to speak, about the 3 violation. That'll come before us at a later date. 4 And probably these trainers will be here at a later 5 date?

MS. ELLINGWOOD: Yes.

7 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: So we're not talking 8 about that. We're talking about letting this due 9 process work. 10 So what is the wish of the Commission?

MS. LIGHTLE: Make a motion to affirm.

CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Make a motion to affirm

the decision.

MR. SCHENKEL: Second.

15 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: All those in favor, say 16 aye.

17 THE COMMISSION: "Aye".

18 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: We thank you.

19 Very good. Now, the second item deals with 20 the licensing program and the case of Roman Chapa.

MR. GORAJEC: Yes.

22 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: I have to admit that 23 this was very revealing and very sad to think that 24 this is going on. Tell us what this really

25 describes.

Page 37 1 MR. GORAJEC: There's a very interesting 2 current event in the racing industry with 3 heightened interest and discussion about the use of a machine or a battery by jockeys on a horse, and 4 the interest was heightened by this particular case 5 and these photographs that I've put in the 6 7 Commissioners' packet. I thought it would be a 8 good time to talk about the practice, and I think 9 this is a good time to take this particular case 10 and discuss our licensing program and how our licensing program functions. 11 12 It's a great photograph, don't you think? 13 MS. LIGHTLE: Yeah. CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Sad. 14 15 16

MR. GORAJEC: This has been picked up not only by all of the racing press nationally, but it's been picked up by the general press, including, you can read in the ESPN article, by the New York Times writing a piece on this. As you can see in the two photographs, you can see the jockey with something in his hand, and you can see it magnified. This is something that happens a lot more frequently than people think.

24 Included in your packet, I provided an article 25 from a publication called Turf & Sport Digest.

Page 38

This is a magazine that I had sitting on my shelf 1 at home. The magazine article's dated April 1970, 2 3 45 years ago, where they were talking about riders riding with machines, and they have photographs of 4 the machine, they have photographs of finding one 5 6 in the dirt. 7 I brought along some show and tell because I have a machine here (indicating). This machine was 8 found in the dirt on the racetrack at 9

10 Indiana Grand. Just so you know -- and this is important -- the batteries are dead. 11 12

(Laughing)

(Laughing)

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GORAJEC: So if you press it, you will not 13 14 be shocked.

MR. SCHENKEL: Thank you.

MR. GORAJEC: That's what it is. It is extremely difficult to detect when a jockey is using a machine. The shock value of that is abhorrent. We had a case several years ago, a machine case, in front of Judge Pylitt, who after hearing testimony of the shock value from an expert during a break touched a machine, but it wasn't a dead machine, it was a live machine. It was a great experience to watch.

Page 40

Page 39 We've had a couple battery issues, the racing 1 Commission has. Our first one was about 3 fifteen years ago. We had a jockey that we

4 suspected riding with a machine. Security

5 approached him after the races to do a search. He ran. He ran into the jock's room, and by the time 6

7 security caught up with him, he was pulling his

hand out of the trash can in the jock's quarters.

They emptied the trash can, and lo and behold they found the machine.

11 That person was suspended for ten years. 12 After five years we made a deal with him. 13 settlement agreement. We lifted the suspension in 14 exchange for him never to apply for a license in Indiana. So here's an instance where first-time 15 use of a machine in Indiana ended up resulting in a 16 permanent lifetime ban. We've had another one 17 that, I believe, resulted in a five-year suspension 18 19 by a jockey. So it's something that we take

21 What I think is the most interesting thing 22 about this particular instant as it relates to 23 Indiana is the article that was written by Bill 24 Finley that was published on the espn.com website. 25 If you'll allow me, I'd just like to read a few

1 excerpts from that.

seriously.

9

10

20

2

3

4

5

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"There's something to be said for second or even third chances, but not when someone commits an act that is cruel to the horse, fleeces the bettors and damages the sport's reputation. Jockey Roman Chapa did just that not once but twice, yet a sport that is notoriously lenient on cheats and miscreants kept letting him come back. And apparently he never learned any lessons nor did he reform as he is being charged with carrying a battery. This time it happened January 17 in a race at Sam Houston.

Shocking a horse with an electrical device is revolting and easily falls within the definition of animal cruelty. It's sick."

Going on further, it says, "According to the Daily Racing Form, Chapa was first caught in 1994 when he was suspended nine months in Texas for allegedly using a nail to stab a horse to try to make it run faster. The Form is also reporting that in 2007 he was banned for five years by the New Mexico Racing Commission for using an electrical device on a Quarter Horse at Sunland Park.

25 There's more. Though unrelated to horse

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 racing, in 2002 Chapa once again showed just what

2 kind of person he is. According to the

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

16

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

Paulick Report, he served 10 days in jail after a 3

plea agreement that reduced felony charges of

5 cruelty to animals to a misdemeanor. He was

arrested after a December 14, 2001, incident in

which police say 'he knowingly tortures an animal,

namely a dog, by beating it with a strap.'"

Yet none of this was enough for the sport to keep him out. Apparently, regulators were so feckless that they even let him back early. Chapa

12 was supposed to begin a five-year suspension in

13 2007 yet returned to riding in 2011, only

four years later. So you have someone who used a

15 nail on a horse, was caught using a battery on

another and knowingly tortured his dogs. Through a

17 combination of weakness, incompetence and apathy, a

person who has absolutely no business participating 18

19 in this sport was welcomed back to the saddle in

20 2011. And it's just not racing commissions that

should be coming under fire. Racetracks had the

21

22 right to ban Chapa, yet Sam Houston and parent

company Penn National welcomed him back to their 23

24 riding colony despite his record."

"Maybe this time racing will get it right and

Page 42

get rid of him for good."

"Roman Chapa should have been thrown out a long time ago, but wasn't. That he was given chance after chance is inexcusable. Sadly, it's also typical."

I agree with the assessment in Finley's column, especially the last part that giving a person chance after chance is inexcusable but sadly it's also typical. Roman Chapa, after all of his violations, except the last one this past year, he was licensed in Louisiana, Oklahoma, Iowa, New Mexico, and Texas.

The reason I bring this up and bring it up in discussion with regard to our licensing program is because in 2012 Roman Chapa came to Indiana to race, okay. We reviewed his license application, like we review all license applications, and we refused him a license. There's a copy of the refusal here in the packet. You'll often hear me say or others that Indiana does things differently, and this is one of the things that we do differently.

23 A lot of jurisdictions -- not all, but a lot 24 of racing commissions -- have the unofficial policy 25 that if someone applies for a license and they're

Page 43 currently not suspended, they get a license. In

Indiana if you apply for a license, we review your

record prior to issuing a license. We look to see 3

4 what we've put on with regard to arrests.

5 More importantly, if you're a standardbred, we go to the USTA, United States Trotting Association, 6 we print out your history of fines and suspensions. If you're a thoroughbred and quarter horse, we go 9 to the RCI, Association of Racing Commissioners 10 International database, print out your history of

fines and suspensions, and we review them. 11

There are a handful of people whose history are egregious enough for us to refuse them a license. We don't refuse a lot of licenses. In a given year on an average, we refuse maybe 20, 25 licenses. We issue 10,000. So the number of people who get refused are relatively small, but those are the people that are more likely going to cause a question on the integrity of your racing program.

Just the fact that a person with this background, Roman Chapa, even if he didn't violate any of our regulations if he raced in Indiana, would be a cloud on our racing program to allow someone with that background to race. Even more

Page 44

important than that, we have found time after time 1 2 that a person who has integrity violation on their

3 record is much more likely than the Average Joe to

4 get another integrity-related violation on his

5 record. So that's why we scrutinize those so

6 closely. Of the 20 to 25 refusals that we issue

annually, I would say that half or more are people 7

like Roman Chapa who are racing in other

jurisdictions.

given them a license.

So we've had a lot of conversation over the last year about drug testing and how important drug testing is, and drug testing is extremely important. I would maintain that of all of our programs that we have, I say our licensing program is really the backbone of our regulatory efforts. It's when people apply for a license that we get the opportunity to weed out the worst ones whose just presence on the track would call the integrity of our product into question, and more importantly, just the fact that they've had violations that are serious or so many violations that they're more likely to cause continued problems for us had we

24 So like I said, this was a good way of taking 25 a current event and turning it into a presentation

Page 45 1 on licensing. I hope that this particular case and 2 this particular article and several like it will 3 cause racing commissions across the country to look 4 closer and scrutinize individuals that come on 5 their doorstep before they issue a license. CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Very good, Joe. 6 7 Commissioners? 8 MS. LIGHTLE: I would like to give a fifteen-minute oratory on this mess. I couldn't 9 10 believe what I was reading here, that this person was allowed to race anyplace. As far as I'm 11 12 concerned, I mean, doping is horrible and I'm against doping horses, but this guy's a mess and 13 what he is capable of doing on a racetrack is 14 absolutely horrible. Why in the world would 15 anybody, would any commission, let this guy over 16 17 and over and over again be on a racetrack? 18

As I was reading through this and I got to the last page where it says we didn't give him a chance to race here, I was thrilled with that. So I'm appalled by this, and hopefully maybe some of the other commissions will take a good look at stuff

23 like this. This guy not only can ruin his own horse and hurt himself, he can hurt a lot of other 24

25 people and a lot of other horses. That's just

Page 46

6

7

8

9

10

15

17

18

19

20

21

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ridiculous that he's ever allowed on a racetrack. 1 Should never be allowed to touch another horse. 2 3 It's disgusting.

MR. GORAJEC: The nail was enough. Didn't 4 5 expect that.

MS. LIGHTLE: This man is cruel to animals. He shouldn't be on a track. He shouldn't be allowed to have a horse, in my opinion.

Thank you. I'm delighted to find out that we're this tough on licensing, that we take a moment to go back and look at who we're putting on our racetracks. I think it's important, and I would think that any horseman, anybody that has anything to do with horses or the tracks would feel the same way.

MR. PILLOW: Lea or Joe, was this a informational piece that was just added?

18 MR. GORAJEC: Yes.

19 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Follow-up. You said the 20 last time you ever knew of a case in Indiana was 21

fifteen years ago?

19

20

21 22

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

22 MR. GORAJEC: No. We've had one more recent.

23 Our first case was about fifteen years ago. 24

MS. ELLINGWOOD: 2012.

MR. GORAJEC: The last one, 2012.

1 MS. ELLINGWOOD: He was suspended for 2 ten years.

3 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: So the real penalty is 4 immediate suspension for ten years? Or does that 5 vary upon what the charge is?

MS. ELLINGWOOD: He went through the disciplinary process. He was suspended. We had a hearing, and then the decision was brought to the Commission for approval just like you normally have happen.

11 MS. LIGHTLE: One more question. You say as 12 you went through this talk about it's very, very 13 difficult to find this, to know the guy's got this. Is there anything going on, any kind of work going 14 towards trying to make it easier for these guys to be detected before? 16

MR. GORAJEC: Oh, absolutely. In fact, we just met on that particular topic just this week. Two things.

One way is to search the jock's quarters, and we picked a day just last year -- in fact, 22 Terry Richwine, our security director, under his

23 watch -- he and his security team of investigators picked a quarter horse day, an all quarter horse 24

25 day. I don't want to pick on the quarter horses.

Page 48

You can see Roman Chapa used a machine both on both 1 2 thoroughbreds and quarter horses. I think most

3 people in the business would tell you that if a

4 machine's going to be used, it would be more likely

5 to be used in a quarter horse race than a

6 thoroughbred race. I'm sure I'll get people

7 telling me otherwise after the meeting.

So we picked a quarter horse day, kept it on 9 the QT, and they did a very, very thorough search 10 of the jock's quarters. Turned the jock's lockers upside down. Did it in a very professional, very 12 respectful way. I was there. It was done very 13 professionally. We didn't find any machines.

One thing that we have done, we've searched jockeys before. We've searched them coming out of the jock's quarters. We've searched them by actually dismounting at the gate prior to a race. One of the things that we did do is we purchased a wand, a metal detector, and we talked about upping our game by, because we have the wand, to do it more often and do it at different locales at

different times and you could wand the jock down. 22

23 If it's metal, then, you know, it'll beep.

24 So we do our best, but it ain't easy to catch. 25 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: All right. Any other

Page 49 Page 51 of listing LGC as a split sample lab for cobalt 1 comments? Well, thank you, Joe, for putting this 1 2 before us. testing, we are listing University of Kentucky. 3 Next, Holly. 3 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Okay. Maybe I didn't MS. NEWELL: Yes. The Commission has before 4 4 read the final script here. 5 5 it a ruling log which spans January, February, and MR. GORAJEC: There's no handout. It's just March. Again, not to the heart of the season yet, 6 6 that one simple change. 7 so there aren't a whole lot of rulings. To the 7 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Well, do we need to vote 8 extent you have any questions on any of them, we're 8 on that? 9 9 happy to address those. MS. ELLINGWOOD: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: So these were mainly 10 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Repeat your suggestion from last year? one more time so we can vote on it. 11 11 12 MS. NEWELL: Yes. A lot of this is carrying 12 MR. GORAJEC: The Commission has already 13 over from last year or finishing up some rulings 13 approved the University of Kentucky to receive and that were outstanding or late in the meet. Then I do split sample testing on cobalt, but the way the 14 14 think the only one that's live from this race meet presentation was made before you, those samples 15 15 16 is the very last one. 16 were going to be running through LGC to the 17 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Okay. 17 University of Kentucky. We've discovered a change MR. SCHENKEL: Chairman, ask one question in the process since the last meeting. Those 18 18 samples are not going to be going to LGC for 19 here. 19 20 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Yes, Mr. Schenkel. 20 cobalt, they're going to be going right to 21 MR. SCHENKEL: Probably easy explanation for 21 University of Kentucky. 22 this. I see on here three cobalt violations, just 22 We'd just like to acknowledge that and have 23 as an example, and each one has a different 23 that on the record. penalty. Well, two of them have different 24 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: So the samples are going 24 25 penalties, the third one doesn't have a penalty. 25 to go to the University of Kentucky? Page 50 Page 52 MS. NEWELL: That would have to do with the 1 1 MS. ELLINGWOOD: Correct. 2 level of cobalt that was detected. 2 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: So do I hear a motion on MR. SCHENKEL: I assumed that that was the 3 3 that? case. Okay. 4 MR. SCHENKEL: Move approval. 4 5 MS. NEWELL: I believe one of these was a 5 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Second? second violation, so . . . 6 MS. LIGHTLE: Second. 6 MR. SCHENKEL: One is, yes. Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: All those in favor can 7 8 MS. NEWELL: Anything else? say aye. CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: No. Do we have to vote 9 9 THE COMMISSION: "Aye". 10 on this or is this just informational? 10 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Okay, Joe. The record will reflect that change in the new process of MS. NEWELL: Just informational. 11 11 12 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Thank you, Holly. 12 cobalt. Next I see, Joe, you're going to be talking 13 No. 5 on the agenda is emergency rules 13 14 about the cobalt split sample labs for this year. 14 regarding human drug testing. 15 MR. GORAJEC: Yes. At the last Commission 15 MR. GORAJEC: This is the type of rule that I really like bringing to the Commission because it's meeting, the Commission approved all the split 16 16 17 sample labs for 2015 and beyond. When we made our 17 one of those rule amendments that I think is a 18 presentation to you, we were assuming that the 18 win/win for everybody. Everybody benefits by this cobalt that were going to be going to the 19 proposed change. 19 20 University of Kentucky were going to be flowing 20 In the past all of the testing done on 21 through LGC, the laboratory in Kentucky. That's 21 licensees or prospective licensees for drugs has not going to be the case. They're going to be 22 been through the medium of urine. Now there's 22 23 going to the University of Kentucky directly. 23 technology that we're comfortable with -- and more 24 So I would just like to have the Commission 24 importantly, our laboratory is comfortable with --25 approve and acknowledge that change; that instead using an oral swab. The accuracy of those are

Page 53

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

consistent with the accuracy of the urine.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

So we're proposing adding the saliva to a medium to be tested, and that way, if approved, we'll be utilizing possibly urine but predominantly using the oral swabs, which I think everybody in the room would agree is a much less invasive-type collection procedure.

Nobody, none of the licensees, prospective licensees, and probably the jockeys -- and I'll say the jockeys because as a category they probably get tested more than any other category, for a number of reasons -- we don't like to ask them to give urine. They don't like to give it. I can tell you without any hesitation that our investigators don't like it.

So I think going to the oral swab is a win/win/win. Everybody benefits. The integrity is protected. I would ask the Commission to approve these rule changes that puts the oral swabs in our arsenal for human drug testing and do everybody in the industry a favor.

CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Okay. Understand 22 23 clearly.

24 Any questions from the Commission? 25 MR. SCHENKEL: I notice it's an emergency

Page 54

rule. So by doing this, this will get it into effect as soon as possible for this racing season?

MS. ELLINGWOOD: Right. It falls under our policy for emergency approval because this is a timeliness issue. Yes, definitely. As soon as the rule is filed with the Legislative Services Agency, it will become that.

CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Then you do notification 9 to everybody involved somehow?

10 MS. ELLINGWOOD: I don't know that there's --CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Is there a need to 11 12 notify the people?

MR. GORAJEC: I mean, they'll be notified when we ask them. The group that we ask most often would be the jockeys, and this is perfect timing because our stewards have a meeting with the jockeys, okay, basically an hour before post time on opening day. If the Commission approves this, they'll let everyone in on what the new program is.

20 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Well, that's due 21 process, enough notice.

Yes, Commissioner Pillow?

22 MR. PILLOW: In some of our past meetings, we 23 24 have addressed some emergency rules. In talking to 25 some of the owners, they have somewhat of a problem

Page 55 with the emergency rules that are just dropped on them. So are we talking to our stakeholders before

3 we come up with all these new emergency rules that

4 have to go into effect the next day?

5 MR. GORAJEC: I think we'll both discuss this. This particular rule, for example --6

MR. PILLOW: This goes past this rule. Let's stick with the whole emergency rules. This one is what we're talking about right now, but it's still an emergency rule. I'm just getting feedback from people saying, well, these emergency rules are just dropped on us out of the clear blue sky. All I'm saying is: Do we talk to our stakeholders before we do this?

MR. GORAJEC: We do talk to our stakeholders. 15 I'll use this rule as an example, this one in front 16 17 of us.

About a month ago I met with the representatives of each horsemen's association. We talked about the rule, had a draft in front of them. In fact, this was before the last meeting, and I held off on it to collect more information.

First of all, if someone doesn't know about it 23 24 and they think it affects them and they think they 25 should know about it, then in all likelihood

they're not communicating with their horsemen's association or the horsemen's association's not

communicating with them, okay. 3

affects the horsemen, we don't put out a notice to 4,000 owners and trainers. No, we work through the elected officials of the association. So for the standardbreds it's the ISA. For the thoroughbreds it's the HBPA. For the quarter horses they have their own association. That's who we deal with. Depending on what the rule is, this particular rule we spent a lot of time with the guild, Terry Richwine. I talked to the jockey guild representative, he was informed. So they know

When we look at promulgating a rule that

on, they should. It's not because we haven't told 16 17 them. It's just that it's communication with their 18 association. 19

what's going on. If they don't know what's going

On the alternative, the regular rule-making process is a lengthy process. If the Commission wanted to pass this by the regular rule-making process, I wouldn't object. Then again, you know, we would be probably instituting it, implementing it next year. So 200 licensees this year would give urine instead of getting the oral swab. So

Page 57 no confidentiality to the substance that was found 1 it's not the Commission's benefit. The Commission 2 doesn't benefit by this. by the laboratory and the person's being What I've found generally, though, is disciplined by. I think everyone in the room would 3 3 this: If it's a rule people support, they want it 4 4 say that's common sense because every ruling that 5 passed the next day. If it's a rule that they 5 object to, if it's a rule that puts kind of limits 6 6 7 on them, oh, you know, we want more time, let's 7 8 look at this, you're moving too fast. They can't 8 9 9 have it both ways. If it's a rule that we can 10 implement at the beginning of the race meet, then 10 we should do it on all the rules, unless there is a 11 11 12 compelling reason not to do it. It's not for my 12 13 benefit. It's for the benefit of the horsemen or 13 sometimes the track. 14 14 MR. PILLOW: I understand. I just sometimes 15 15 16 have a problem with emergency rules that haven't 16 17 been vetted. 17 18 MS. ELLINGWOOD: A lot of times, too, 18 19 Commissioner Pillow, just to kind of piggyback a 19 20 little bit on what the Executive Director said, the 20 vast majority of the time when a rule is adopted 21 21

we've issued and every ruling that every racing jurisdiction that I know of lists the drug that was found in a horse's system. We just want to make it clear. When you read it without that new verbiage in there, you could make a case, well, the rule says you have to keep it confidential. The fact of the matter is that we always have and racing commissions all across the country always have included in the ruling the drug that was the subject of the violation. CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: So this is more or less self-explanatory, but you're thinking this makes it clear of what we are now doing in practice? MR. GORAJEC: It's clarification. CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: All right. This, again, is an emergency rule. Do I have a motion to accept this language addition? MS. LIGHTLE: Motion. MR. SCHENKEL: Second. CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: All those in favor, please say aye. Page 60

Page 58

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

record.

```
stakeholders, who have the opportunity to comment
 1
    and suggest changes. That doesn't happen
 2
    100 percent of the time, but it definitely is the
 3
    norm, I would say.
 4
         MR. PILLOW: Okay.
 5
          CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Very good. Good point,
 6
 7
    Commissioner Pillow.
 8
         All right. So we have this proposed
     additional language for drug testing. We need to
 9
10
    adopt this telling that they can use a swab or
    saliva as well or the urine. So do I have a
11
12
    motion?
13
         MR. SCHENKEL: Move approval.
14
          CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: A motion to approve.
15
         MS. LIGHTLE: Second.
          CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: All those in favor, say
16
17
    aye.
18
         THE COMMISSION: "Aye".
19
          CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: So it passes.
20
         Now, emergency rule regarding administrative
21
    procedures prior to split sample testing.
22
         MR. GORAJEC: Commissioners, this rule is a
23
    clarification. If anything, this rule is really
```

consistent with current practice. We want to make

it clear that once a ruling is issued that there is

via the emergency rule process and it's something

rules and some other things that we have done, the

draft rules are sent to the association and other

that's material or substantive, like medication

22

23

24 25

24

25

step back a little bit. With respect to the emergency rule, I think it's important to make sure we have on the record why the last rule is the Commission's policy for emergency adoption. Which would be? This was you. MR. GORAJEC: I think there's a timeliness. We're beginning our race meet. We'll be beginning to receive lab reports on positive tests. We just want to make sure that our rule is consistent with our practice, that we're going to be putting the drug that's subject to violation in our rule. MS. ELLINGWOOD: You don't need to go about it again. I just wanted to make sure we have on the

THE COMMISSION: "Aye".

CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Okay. Lea?

MS. ELLINGWOOD: Actually I'd kind of like to

CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: I understand timing is everything and we're trying to do things before the season starts. To your point, Commissioner Pillow, the one

thing that we heard that was unusual -- of course, every time we have a meeting here, something's unusual, especially last year -- we had some pretty serious changes during the course of the year and

those were serious rule changes or implementation of something new. That's a big difference between this kind of stuff. So your point is well taken.

2.2

Okay, Lea. This is important because this deals with allowing people to do what they do for a living.

MS. ELLINGWOOD: It is important. Thank you, Chairman. This, like the two previous emergency rules before it, I think it's appropriate for an emergency rule because of timeliness.

Our current administrative rules require Centaur to file with the Commission contracts for approval in advance of being able to execute that contract. As businesspeople, you know that when time is of the essence that meeting that requirement can be burdensome and actually in some instances impossible to do.

This change that's before you is consistent with the way the Gaming Commission regulates the approval of contracts. Follow what they do. There are a couple of material changes I'd like to make sure that I point out. That is that all of the contracts over \$50,000, regardless of whether or not they're approved by the Commission, have to be in writing.

Page 62

There still will be four kinds of contracts that will be required to come to the Commission and the Executive Director for approval. Those are contracts for management of the OTB or the track itself, a contract with a horseman's association, a contract for tote services, and a contract between Centaur and related party that's valued at more than \$50,000 except employment contracts. So you would not have to approve Mr. Ratcliff's employment contract or Brian's or Mr. Keeler's. I suppose you could ask if you'd like to.

(Laughing)

MS. ELLINGWOOD: The rule also provides that contracts have to be submitted to the Commission not more than seven days after they've been executed, so those four kinds of contracts will come in seven days.

The most important part of the rule in my mind is that the Commission still has the authority to terminate a contract if the contract violates our administrative rules or our statute. It also increases the dollar amount of expansions to the satellite facilities and the tracks to \$500,000, which I think is consistent with business practices now.

Page 63

Page 64

To me it's a pro business change to our rules, and I hope I won't regret saying this but Centaur has been very responsible licensee and has done a good job. I think they make good, sound business decisions, and so this rule is reflective of that.

Happy to answer any questions now.

CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Commissioner Schenkel?

MR. SCHENKEL: Let me look ahead in items 8
through whatever on the agenda coming up. Make
sure I understand this. To me this is the
management procedure and gets us out of trying to
manage day-to-day operations for these folks but
rather we wouldn't have to approve who they buy the
pizzas from or where they get their hot dogs on a
day-to-day basis; right? To me this is a business
issue that puts this back in their hands. If
there's a problem, we can rescind it or cancel it
at a later day date. I'm not worried about which
pizza you serve. To me that's not a Commission
function.

MS. ELLINGWOOD: That's exactly right, Commissioner. As a matter of fact, with the adoption of this rule, you would not have had to have looked at 8, 9, 10, 11 possibly. So this would clear off a lot of the contracts the

Commission currently reviews.

Frankly, Mr. Keeler or anybody else from Centaur is welcome to comment, but I suspect that it is very burdensome for them to have to come to the Commission in advance of being able to execute a contract. What you're getting now is a draft and then they have to go back and sign it. When you're trying to get something done by the beginning of the meet or the middle of the meet or the end of the meet . . .

MR. SCHENKEL: This lets the license holder be the manager of their own venture.

MS. ELLINGWOOD: Yes.

CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Point well taken,
Commissioner Schenkel. When I first looked at this
and I talked with Counsel, I was a little concerned
until I realized pretty quickly that this is their
money. It doesn't affect, I think, the horsemen.
It doesn't affect the taxpayers. It's not money
that is taken from one particular pot to try to put
in another pot. It's their money to manage and do
the business as they wish.

MS. ELLINGWOOD: Again, to reiterate, to the extent that we have a contract that is in conflict with our rules, you absolutely have the authority

Page 67 Page 65 Mr. Chairman, if I might, with regard to 1 to terminate the contract. 1 2 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: That's important too. agenda item 8, if I could consolidate that with 3 MR. SCHENKEL: We still have to deal with 3 items 9 and 10 and address them together? It's the 4 these other items today on the agenda. Even though 4 franchise agreement and two leases. 5 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Go ahead, John. 5 it is an emergency rule, it's not such an emergency that it takes effect instantaneous; right? MR. KEELER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 6 7 MS. ELLINGWOOD: I'm fast, but not that fast. 7 I think for any business to keep ahead of the 8 MR. SCHENKEL: Just want to make sure I was 8 curve and to be innovative and attract and maintain 9 9 clear on the proceeding. customers, they have to refresh their product. 10 MS. ELLINGWOOD: This will be the last time 10 That in essence is what we're attempting to do at hopefully you'll have to approve some of these Hoosier Park with regard to our food offerings. As 11 11 12 kinds of contracts. 12 you can see, there are two leases where we've 13 MR. GORAJEC: Maybe we can add a category. 13 involved local operators. They would be on the first floor of the grand stand. These are 14 Regular rule-making process, emergency rules, and 14 instantaneous. 15 15 relatively short-term percentage leases, one for a MR. SCHENKEL: Simultaneous. local Italian restaurant, one for a Dog n' 16 16 17 MR. PILLOW: Lea, I am concerned about what 17 Suds-type franchise. Then on the upper level where kind of pizza they serve. I want to look at that Johnny Rockets currently has been, we intend to 18 18 19 contract. 19 replace that with a franchise from New Orleans, 20 20 Louisiana, under the name VooDoo BBQ. (Laughing) 21 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Lea, you've done a good 21 We'll have another surprise offering, which 22 job of explaining why this makes sense for business 22 you'll just find out about where the sushi 23 to manage. We need to approve this motion to 23 restaurant was up on the second level. You won't 24 accept this change from the \$50,000 threshold to 24 have to approve now. 25 \$500,000, knowing full well that there's still 25 MR. PILLOW: I want to see that contract. Page 66 Page 68 ample time for us to review those four parts of the 1 1 (Laughing) 2 contract that still are subject. So do I have a 2 MR. KEELER: So I'd be happy to answer any 3 3 motion? questions you might have about those three MR. PILLOW: I'll make a motion. contracts, the two leases and the one franchise 4 4 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: George makes a motion. 5 5 agreement, but otherwise I would respectfully MS. LIGHTLE: Second. request your approval. 6 6 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: All those in favor. 7 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Do we have any questions 7 8 please say aye. from the Commissioners in regards to those three THE COMMISSION: "Aye". 9 different contracts, the food distributors? 9 10 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Okay. This is what 10 Remember now we're voting on 8, 9, and 10 at one really happens when you don't have No. 7 passed. time because they all fit the exact same permission 11 11 12 John Keeler, would you like to explain why you're 12 request. 13 MR. SCHENKEL: Move acceptance. 13 14 MR. KEELER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members 14 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: I have a motion to 15 of the Commission. John Keeler, representing 15 accept. Centaur. 16 16 MR. PILLOW: Second. 17 First of all, if I might, with regard to the 17 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: All those in favor, say 18 emergency rule you just passed, I'd like to 18 aye. 19 congratulate the staff for recognizing how 19 THE COMMISSION: "Aye". 20 burdensome that rule was, how out of date and out 20 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Thank you, John. 21 of touch it was, and having foresight to go ahead 21 MR. KEELER: Thank you. and revise it in a manner that I think will be 22 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: No. 11, is that you too, 2.2 23 practical and involving Centaur collaboratively to 23 John? 24 work on the language of the rule. So thank you 24 MR. KEELER: It is. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, 25 very much for passing that. 25 members of the Commission. John Keeler,

Page 71 Page 69 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Let's just talk about 1 representing Centaur. 1 2 This petition requests permission for No. 13 right now, standardbred. Jack, is that you? 3 Indiana Grand to erect a quarter horse barn, the 3 MR. MOORE: Rick Moore, vice president and 4 plans of which you've been provided with and which 4 general manager of racing for Hoosier Park. The 5 5 have been approved by the Indiana Quarter Horse contract before you between Hoosier Park and the Association. The cost is approximately \$1 million, Indiana Standardbred Association pretty much 6 6 7 and we're also asking you to approve that 7 mirrors the ones you approved the last several 8 construction contract. years. There's no substantive changes to it. I The erection of this barn was part of our 9 think Jack would agree. 9 10 commitment as part of the 609 distribution 10 MR. KIENINGER: Yes. agreement to the quarter horsemen. We have the 11 MR. MOORE: So we ask your approval. 11 12 capital. We've started on some of the preliminary 12 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Thank you very much. 13 work. Like for you to approve this so that we can 13 Now, this is just about, you said, or is the same go ahead and erect it and hopefully have it in use 14 14 as last year's. by the end of this particular race season. 15 15 MR. MOORE: We cleaned up some language, but MR. SCHENKEL: That's the timeline? 16 16 there's no substantive changes. 17 MR. KEELER: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: So this is something 18 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: I think we saw a diagram that we approve each year? Is that part of the due 18 19 of that once before. Didn't you present that? 19 process? 20 MR. KEELER: Should be. 20 MR. MOORE: Yes, Chairman, it is. CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: We've seen that, yes. 21 21 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Very good. Thank you. 22 Of course, even if we've just passed the emergency 22 MS. ELLINGWOOD: Chairman, just as a side 23 ruling, this would be before us no matter what if 23 note. This is the kind of contract you continue to it happened again. 24 24 approve. 25 MR. KEELER: That's right, because of the 25 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Every year? Page 70 Page 72 MS. ELLINGWOOD: Yes. 1 cost. 1 2 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Well, I know those 2 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Thank you. We have new 3 people are very excited about this, John, and they 3 members. It's kind of nice to know that this is appreciate your commitment and Rod's to improving what's going to happen. This is something that you 4 4 5 substantially their barn. 5 hammer out between the associations and yourselves So is there any other questions? Do I have a 6 before you get to this? 6 motion to accept this proposal to build the new 7 MR. MOORE: We certainly do. We do it every 7 quarter horse barn as spelled out under item year. Try to get together towards the first part No. 11? 9 of the year so that we've got the agreement in 9 10 MS. LIGHTLE: I make a motion. 10 place even before the meet starts and ready to MR. SCHENKEL: Second. present to you for approval. 11 11 12 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: All those in favor, say 12 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Very good. 13 Any questions from the Commissioners? 13 14 THE COMMISSION: "Aye". 14 All right. Then let's take this by motion 15 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: It passes. Thank you, 15 also to accept this contract between Centaur and the Standardbred Association. Do I have a motion? 16 16 John. 17 MR. KEELER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 MR. SCHENKEL: So moved. 18 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Now for some reason I 18 MR. PILLOW: Second. 19 put down Centaur for 13, 14, and 15. 19 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: All those in favor, say 20 MS. ELLINGWOOD: We weren't sure who. 20 aye. CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: We don't know who's 21 21 THE COMMISSION: "Aye". 22 going to participate in that. 22 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Thank you. No. 14 is 23 MS. ELLINGWOOD: Suspect there are probably 23 between Centaur and the Indiana Horsemen's 24 people from each of the associations who'd like to 24 Benevolent and Protective Association. Jon? 25 25 speak. MR. SHUSTER: Good morning, Commissioners.

Page 73 Page 75 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Well, that's good. 1 Thank you. I think I speak for all of us. Thanks 1 for bringing up more pizza and hot dog concessions. 2 All right. Any other questions from the 3 For the record, I left Penn National in 2002 before 3 Commissioners? the whole Roman Chapa thing. I was here. 4 4 Do we have a motion to accept this agreement 5 5 as revised and specified? (Laughing) MR. SHUSTER: The first item for us is our MS. LIGHTLE: I make a motion. 6 6 7 contract with the HBPA, the thoroughbred horsemen. 7 MR. SCHENKEL: Second. 8 Only two substantive changes this year. One is the 8 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: All those in favor, say addition of the ability for trainers fees to come 9 9 aye. out with the purse money through the horsemen's 10 THE COMMISSION: "Aye". 10 bookkeeper, which they requested and we're glad to 11 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Thank you, Jon. The 11 12 accommodate. The other is our partnership with 12 Quarter Horse Association, are you going to do that them, which we appreciate, on the purchase and the 13 13 installation of the operation of our track 14 MR. SHUSTER: Yes. The only substantive 14 assistant, which we'll have this year. Other than 15 15 change here is, once again, a partnership with Trakus, which they're going to help us, once again, 16 that, the contract is largely the same as previous 16 17 years. 17 with the installation and operation of. Probably 18 MR. SCHENKEL: So the trainers fees is new? worth noting, the only quarter horse racing in the MR. SHUSTER: Yes, it's new. I'll kind of 19 19 country with Trakus. We're it. So that's 20 paraphrase it. It allows some automated payments 20 exciting. 21 to trainers instead of owners having to get checks 21 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: That's good. I know 22 and take it to trainers. They also have the 22 they appreciate all that you're doing. So anything 23 ability to opt out, so you don't have to do it that 23 substantial or is this the same? 24 24 MR. SHUSTER: Largely the same, very much the way. 25 MR. SCHENKEL: Okay. Thank you. 25 same. Page 74 Page 76 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Commissioner Pillow? CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Very good. 1 1 2 MR. PILLOW: We had some issue with this 2 Can I hear a motion for accepting the 3 3 benevolent process last year. Quarter Horse Association agreement with Centaur? MR. PILLOW: I'll make a motion. MS. ELLINGWOOD: With the HBPA? 4 4 MR. PILLOW: Yes. 5 5 MS. LIGHTLE: Second. MS. ELLINGWOOD: The application they 6 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: All those in favor, say 6 7 submitted in 2013 for 2014, there was a conditional 7 ave. approval. They met all those conditions, and we 8 THE COMMISSION: "Aye". CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Thank you, Jon. Now didn't have any issues with them last year. This 9 9 10 is outside the scope of that. The approval of the 10 you're still standing there. This is a contract horsemen's association application will come later between you and the Jockeys' Guild. 11 11 MR. SHUSTER: Yes. This is almost identical 12 this year, probably September or October. This is 12 just the track's contract with the association to 13 to last year, no substantive changes. 13 work out how some of the specifics, like the money, 14 14 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: So explain what this 15 is going to occur. 15 does, the Jockeys' Guild. 16 MR. PILLOW: So this is kind of separate from 16 MR. SHUSTER: The Jockeys' Guild is the group 17 what we dealt with last year? 17 that supports the riders with healthcare 18 MS. ELLINGWOOD: Yes. 18 initiatives and representation for all the 19 MR. SHUSTER: Can I clarify something? I 19 different things that they do on the grounds with 20 misspoke. The trainers fees were last year. The 20 and between us. It's very much a partnership. It 21 addition this year was dues for the HBPA, which 21 is a partnership that has flourished greatly since 22 came up. So my apologies. the acquisition, and we're very grateful for that. 2.2 23 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: So this should make it 23 We're doing more with the riders and with the 24 more clear, simpler to administer? quild. The association represents, I think, the 25 MR. SHUSTER: I believe so, yes. 25 majority of the riders. Not all riders are guild

Page 77 Page 79 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: That's a good point. 1 members. 1 2 We're very excited about it. We think it's Any other comments? Thank you, Jon, for bringing this to us. 3 beneficial to all of us. Sort of in keeping with 3 4 4 the whole theme of all those rising with the tide. Can I have a motion to accept this? CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: What was that big event 5 5 MR. PILLOW: I make a motion. that you held last year that was going to be maybe 6 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: We have a motion, 6 7 a yearly event? 7 Commissioner Pillow. 8 MR. SHUSTER: It's this year. We got it 8 MS. LIGHTLE: Second. started last year. We're excited about it. It's 9 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: All those in favor, say 9 10 on May 30. It's called Jockeys and Jeans. It's on 10 aye. Saturday, May 30. We're going to have all three of 11 11 THE COMMISSION: "Aye". the living Triple Crown riders are going to be 12 12 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Thank you, Jon. there, just a plethora of Hall of Fame riders, all 13 MR. SHUSTER: Thank you. 13 the names anybody that's been around the game at CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Now, is this you, the 14 14 15 all would know. 15 wagering temporary relocation in Indianapolis only? We're very excited about it. We think it will MR. KEELER: Yes, sir. Thank you, 16 16 17 absolutely elevate us as Indiana racing up to the 17 Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. My name's national stage. It's going to be a pretty big John Keeler, and represent Centaur. 18 18 19 deal, get a lot of notoriety. More important than 19 So we want to have a party, a Kentucky Derby that, it's just the right thing to do. 20 party at the Winners Circle in Downtown 20 21 The commitment from our company for these 21 Indianapolis. 22 permanently disabled riders, which is what this 22 We've got a little bit of experience with this 23 benefits, I believe there are 61 riders currently 23 in the Final Four. Made arrangements for the 24 that are permanently disabled, all the way from City of Indianapolis to block off the entire paraplegics in wheelchairs to just folks that can sidewalk in front of the Winners Circle and then 25 25 Page 78 Page 80 no longer ride. We tend to raise a good deal of rent a parking lane, if you will, put concrete 1 1 money to benefit that cause. I know that the 2 barriers and reroute pedestrian traffic around the 2 sidewalk. We built a fence around from the 3 commitments that are out there are already more 3 than triple what they did last year at their building out to the curb, and then that is the 4 5 inaugural event down in Tampa, which we all went 5 enlarged footprint of the Winners Circle. For the down. We're very excited about that. Final Four we had, like, barbecue grills, you know, 6 6 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Very good. That's part 7 7 beer, music, tent, just sort of a festival-type 8 of the Jockeys' Guild association business? atmosphere. MR. SHUSTER: Yes. They're related, yes. The 9 9 We'd like to do the same thing for the 10 permanently disabled jockeys fund is a portion. 10 Kentucky Derby that's coming up, with one exception CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Very good. I think to that from what we did for the Final Four. That 11 11 12 that's a beautiful tribute to these people that 12 is to take two stationary betting machines and put risk their lives, literally. them on the sidewalk against the building within a 13 13 14 Commissioner Pillow? 14 tent so they wouldn't be seen from the street, 15 MR. PILLOW: Jon, this is really not a big 15 they'd be within a tent. Everyone that comes issue. Really not a big issue at all. Why are 16 within that fenced perimeter area that I mentioned 16 17 some of these agreements between Centaur and some 17 has to be 18 or over, and we have a security 18 of them are between Indiana Grand? 18 officer checking to make sure that they actually 19 MR. SHUSTER: I guess that's probably more of 19 20 a legal. Indiana Grand is the racehorses. The 20 So for one day that sidewalk area I described 21 guild would recognize us as Indiana Grand Racing 21 would be part of the footprint for the and Casino. Am I representing that fairly? 22 Winners Circle, and with the leave of the 22 23 MR. KEELER: Yes. 23 Commission we'd be able to take two of the betting 24 MR. PILLOW: No big deal. Thank you. 24 machines outside. 25 25

CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: So you've already

MR. SHUSTER: Sure.

```
Page 83
                                                  Page 81
 1
    cleared this with the City?
                                                                 to approve it.
                                                             1
 2
         MR. KEELER: Yes, sir.
                                                             2
                                                                      CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Very good. Thank you.
         CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: And you need us to give
 3
                                                             3
                                                                      MR. PILLOW: John, will the VooDoo BBQ be
                                                             4
 4
    you permission because this is dealing with OTB?
                                                                 there?
                                                             5
 5
         MR. KEELER: Really to take those two machines
                                                                      (Laughing)
    outside of our traditional approved footprint.
                                                                      MS. ELLINGWOOD: We don't have a contract for
 6
                                                             6
 7
          CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Well, I think you have
                                                             7
                                                                 that.
 8
     to be careful. You sound like you're being
                                                             8
                                                                      MR. PILLOW: Okay.
    careful. The public can't get there unless they
                                                             9
                                                                      CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: All right. Do I hear a
 9
    walk inside the fence. You got to be 18 or older.
                                                            10
                                                                 motion from our Commissioners to accept this
10
    You got an enclosed tent. I guess the whole
                                                                 modification to the language?
11
                                                            11
12
    purpose is to help promote horse racing for Indiana
                                                            12
                                                                      MR. SCHENKEL: Move approval.
13
    and the Kentucky Derby.
                                                            13
                                                                      MS. LIGHTLE: Second.
         MR. KEELER: That's right. Historically,
                                                                      CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: We have a motion and a
14
                                                            14
    Mr. Chairman, that's a huge day for the OTB. Have
15
                                                            15
                                                                second. All those in favor, say aye.
    a lot of customers. This is a way to encourage
                                                                      THE COMMISSION: "Aye".
16
                                                            16
17
    more business and more wagering.
                                                            17
                                                                      CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: We thank you.
18
          CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Any questions for John?
                                                            18
                                                                      MR. GORAJEC: I believe there's one more item.
         MR. SCHENKEL: It's a question. I think I
                                                                      CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Well, there's one more
19
                                                            19
20
    know the answer to it, but I just want to make sure
                                                            20
                                                                 that's kind of important. Is that your input or
    we have it on the record. The betting machines
                                                            21
                                                                 Lea's?
21
22
    would be out there, but you won't have a security
                                                            22
                                                                      MR. GORAJEC: I'll take care of it.
                                                                      CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Joe, go ahead. New
23
    issue of cash and money out there on the outside?
                                                            23
    All the payouts are still inside?
                                                            24
                                                                business?
24
25
         MR. KEELER: Right. All the live tellers
                                                            25
                                                                      MR. GORAJEC: No. It's the racing officials
                                                  Page 82
                                                                                                              Page 84
    would still be at their traditional location.
1
                                                             1
                                                                 approval.
 2
         MR. SCHENKEL: I assumed that was the answer,
                                                             2
                                                                      CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Yes, I see that.
    but I wanted to make sure that was clear.
 3
                                                             3
                                                                      MR. GORAJEC: This is something that we have
         MR. KEELER: Mr. Chairman, excuse me. If I
                                                             4
                                                                 to do each year. We do it twice, once for
 4
 5
    might add. Hopefully this isn't the last time you
                                                             5
                                                                 Hoosier Park, once for Indiana Grand. The racing
    hear me here talking about a program like this.
                                                                 official list that's been proposed to us, there are
 6
                                                             6
    We're looking at options in the future maybe to do
                                                                 some new officials, but the new officials aren't
 7
                                                             7
    something in the Circle Theatre, which is our
                                                                 new to us because they've been licensed in other
    neighbor to the rear, or some other large downtown
                                                             9
                                                                 capacities. I'm comfortable that Jon and his folks
 9
10
    venue in terms of a charitable event, centered
                                                            10
                                                                 are promoting the right people from within.
    around the Kentucky Derby.
                                                            11
                                                                      So I would ask the Commission to approve the
11
          CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Very good, John. I
12
                                                            12
                                                                 racing official list.
    think you'll be very careful to make sure that it's
                                                            13
                                                                      CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Very good. These are
13
    done proper on the smaller scale so we don't abuse
14
                                                            14
                                                                 the names of all those people under approval that
15
    the privilege. It sounds like it's got pretty good
                                                            15
                                                                 you want us to give?
    potential.
                                                            16
                                                                      MR. GORAJEC: Yes.
16
17
                                                            17
                                                                      CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Are these all new
         MR. KEELER: Hope so.
18
          CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: So we are supposed so
                                                            18
                                                                 people?
19
    vote on this to allow it as described to us?
                                                            19
                                                                      MR. GORAJEC: No. Most of them are returnees,
         MS. ELLINGWOOD: Technically speaking, it's a
20
                                                            20
                                                                 but there are a few that are new on the list but
                                                                 they're not new to us because they've worked for
21
    modification. So yes.
                                                            21
22
         MR. SCHENKEL: For one day?
                                                            22
                                                                 the track before in a different capacity that
23
         MS. ELLINGWOOD: For one day. John and I
                                                            23
                                                                 wasn't a racing official.
24
    talked about this. I see this as kind of a beta
                                                            24
                                                                      CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Very good.
```

25

Jon, did you have a comment?

25

test. We'll see how it goes. Yes, you will need

Page 85 1 MR. SHUSTER: I'm just here if you have any frame for the next meeting. We thought we would 2 questions. just schedule it on as-needed basis. When we feel 3 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Very good. So we have that there's a need, we'll be contacting you and 4 this proposed list of people that we're going to 4 working with everyone's schedule, like we have in 5 5 approve to allow them to be contracted, hired to do the past. as they're spelled out there. Do I have a motion? CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Good. Well, then I'll 6 6 7 MR. SCHENKEL: So moved. just have to set the meeting once we have an agenda 8 MS. LIGHTLE: Second. or something that's important for us to meet. If CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: We have the motion, 9 9 we don't need to have meetings, we don't need to 10 second. All those in favor, say aye. 10 have meetings. Trust me. That's not my approach. THE COMMISSION: "Aye". 11 11 (Laughing) 12 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Thank you, Joe. 12 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: So with no further 13 MR. GORAJEC: Thank you. 13 business, we are adjourned. (The meeting was adjourned at 10:45 a.m. on 14 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Now maybe new business, 14 15 Lea. Legislative update. 15 April 16, 2015.) 16 MS. ELLINGWOOD: Yes. I want to make clear 16 17 that I'm not going to get into a lot of specifics 17 because we're not at the end of the session yet 18 18 19 and, as you guys know, anything can happen. Wanted 19 to make you aware that there are three bills out 20 20 there that either directly or indirectly relate to 21 21 22 horse racing or pari-mutuel wagering. 22 23 Those are Senate Bill 252, which I think it's 23 24 probably safe to say at this point it deals with 24 25 promotion. There's House Bill 1270, which 25

Page 86

currently includes a provision for advance deposit 1 wagering. Then there's House Bill 1540, which is a 2 3 gaming bill. That, of course, primarily impacts the racinos, but to the extent that Centaur might 5 be impacted, pari-mutuel wagering may be impacted as well. 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

21

25

That's probably as much as I want to tell you because it could change, but the legislative session's scheduled to end at the end of April. So at the next Commission meeting I'll be happy to give a legislative update for everybody and, of course, after the session is over I'll be

contacting you directly to give you a synopsis. 13 CHAIRMAN WEATHERWAX: Well, it seems like, 14 15 from what I have read, we are aware of these pieces of legislation. Hopefully they're all designed to 16 help the horse racing industry or do something to 17 18 help business. I realize that there's trade-offs and nobody ever gets everything they want. So 19 20 hopefully these things can come to fruition. We'll

22 All right. Do we need to set a time for our 23 next meeting or are we under the gun for something? 24 MS. ELLINGWOOD: I don't think we do.

just have to wait and see. Thank you, Lea.

MR. GORAJEC: We don't have a time or a time

STATE OF INDIANA

COUNTY OF MARION

I, Michele K. Gustafson, CRR-RPR, a

Notary Public in and for said county and state, do

hereby certify that the witnesses herein were by the

Chairman duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole

truth, and nothing but the truth in the aforementioned matter;

That said meeting/hearing was taken down in stenograph notes and afterwards reduced to typewriting 10

12 13 said deponents;

14 That said meeting/hearing complies with all applicable rules;

15

17 relative of the attorneys for any of the parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my

2.0 hand and affixed my notarial seal this 24th day of

April, 2015.

22

23

24 My Commission expires: August 20, 2017

25 Job No. 96841

Page 88

under my direction; and that the typewritten transcript is a true record of the testimony given by I do further certify that I am a disinterested person in this cause of action; that I am not a

		Index: \$1administrative
	2013 18:17 74:7	64:25 77:17
	2014 9:24 74:7	abuse 82:14
\$1 69:6	2015 50:17 87:15	accept 24:14 59:20 65:24 68:15 70:7
\$50,000 61:23 62:8 65:24	25 43:15 44:6	72:15 79:4 83:10
\$500,000 62:23 65:25	252 85:23	acceptance 68:13
<u> </u>		accepted 26:19
1	3	accepting 76:2
1 9:24	3,600 18:16	access 16:5
10 17:16 18:8 41:3 63:24 67:3 68:10	30 77:10,11	accommodate 73:12
10,000 18:17 43:16		accuracy 52:25 53:1
100 11:2 58:3	4	accusations 9:19
10:45 87:14	4,000 56:6	accused 11:25 12:6,8,9 13:5 18:3 25:1
11 63:24 68:22 70:9	4-21.5-3-21(a)(2)(b) 11:8	accusers 16:7
1270 85:25	45 38:3	acknowledge 50:25 51:22
13 70:19 71:2		acquisition 76:22
14 41:6 70:19 72:22		act 12:10 20:18 40:4
14694 10:16	5 52:13	acted 21:13 34:23
14695 10:16		acting 16:23 29:24
15 70:19	6	action 13:8 17:23 18:10,25 23:1,
1540 86:2	609 69:10	25:25 29:18 30:9 33:20,22
16 87:15	61 77:23	actions 11:14,19,24 12:10,16,24 13:11 14:22 34:20,22,25
17 40:11		actively 25:5
18 80:17 81:10	7	activities 12:17
19 10:3,18,22	7 66:11	actual 25:21 33:22
1970 38:2		add 65:13 82:5
1970s 18:13	8	added 46:17
1994 27:15 40:17	8 63:8,24 67:2 68:10	adding 53:2
2	000.0,24 07.2 00.10	addition 59:21 73:9 74:21
	9	additional 58:9
20 43:15 44:6	9 63:24 67:3 68:10	address 49:9 67:3
200 56:24	9 03.24 07.3 08.10	addressed 54:24
2001 41:6	Α	adequate 9:17
2002 41:1 73:3		adjourned 87:13,14
2007 40:21 41:13	a.m. 87:14	adjudication 34:15
2011 41:13,20	abhorrent 38:19	administer 74:24
2012 42:15 46:24,25	ability 14:11 73:9,23 absolutely 22:13 41:18 45:15 47:17	administrative 9:2 13:9 15:13,22 17:10 20:17,20 26:14 34:21 58:20

61:11 62:21 amend 35:18 argument 23:4 admit 36:22 amendments 52:17 arguments 15:14 22:11 adopt 35:16 58:10 American 16:4 arrangements 79:23 adopted 57:21 amount 12:18 62:22 arrested 41:6 adoption 60:7 63:23 **ample** 66:1 arrests 43:4 advance 61:13 64:5 86:1 animal 40:15 41:7 arsenal 53:20 adverse 13:24 14:15 animals 41:5 46:6 article 17:16 18:8 37:18,24 39:23 45:2 adversely 11:14,19 12:11,25 13:9 annually 44:7 article's 38:2 15:10,18 20:6,12,19 34:13,19,22,24 answers 26:24 affect 13:1,9 64:18,19 as-needed 87:2 Anthony 12:7 assessment 42:6 affected 11:14,19,23 12:11 14:22 anyplace 45:11 15:11,19 20:6,13,20 28:13 34:14,19, **assistant** 9:20 10:9 11:25 12:5,12 22.25 **apathy** 41:17 13:16 17:20 31:9 73:15 affects 55:24 56:5 apologies 74:22 association 43:6,9 55:19 56:2,7,10, **affirm** 23:14 24:3 28:17 36:11,12 18 57:25 62:5 71:6 72:16,24 74:11, appalled 45:21 13 75:12 76:3.24 78:8 afforded 34:9 apparently 40:9 41:10 association's 56:2 Agency 54:6 appeal 9:1,22 21:21,23 associations 70:24 72:5 agenda 52:13 63:9 65:4 67:2 87:7 appealed 11:3 assumed 50:3 82:2 agents 12:14 13:15 29:21,23 32:17 **appeals** 26:22 assuming 50:18 aggrieved 11:13,17 13:10 14:21 application 42:16 74:6,11 atmosphere 80:8 20:6,12,19 28:12 33:20 34:25 applications 42:17 agree 20:21 53:6 71:9 attacks 16:8 applied 16:14 agreement 39:13 67:4 68:5 69:11 attempting 67:10 applies 17:17 42:25 72:9 75:4 76:3 attorneys 16:24 apply 39:14 43:2 44:16 agreements 78:17 attract 67:8 approach 87:10 agrees 11:7 authority 30:2 62:19 64:25 approached 39:5 ahead 63:8 66:21 67:5.7 69:14 83:23 automated 73:20 **approval** 47:9 54:4 61:13,20 62:3 **ALJ** 11:9 12:23 13:8 22:13 23:14 68:6 72:11 74:8,10 83:12 84:1,14 average 43:15 44:3 24:15 25:13,24 31:15 35:19 awarded 31:23 **approve** 50:25 53:18 58:14 62:9 **ALJ'S** 14:23 15:7,24 16:9,16 26:18, 63:13 65:11,23 67:24 69:7,13 71:18, 21 35:16 aware 85:20 86:15 24 83:1 84:11 85:5 allegation 12:21 27:17 **aye** 36:16,17 52:8,9 58:17,18 59:25 **approved** 50:16 51:13 53:3 61:24 60:1 66:8,9 68:18,19 70:13,14 72:20, allegations 10:1,25 12:15 69:5 71:7 81:6 21 75:9,10 76:7,8 79:10,11 83:15,16

approves 54:18

area 80:16,20

argue 24:17

approximately 69:6

April 38:2 86:9 87:15

argued 27:19 31:12

alleged 12:24

allegedly 15:16 29:17 34:23 40:19

allowed 9:22 25:9 26:5.9.12 31:20

32:14 33:4 45:11 46:1,2,8

allowing 14:13 34:21 61:5

alternative 56:19

В

85:10,11

Babbitt 16:22 27:9 32:16,18 **back** 35:19 40:8 41:11,19,23 46:11 60:4 63:16 64:7 backbone 44:15

background 43:22,25

bad 27:24 33:14

badly 34:24

baffles 30:12

ban 39:17 41:22

banned 40:21

bar 20:14,16

barbecue 80:6

barn 69:3,9 70:5,8

barriers 80:2

based 9:13 31:1,12

basically 54:17

basis 33:5 63:15 87:2

batteries 38:11

battery 37:4 39:1 40:11 41:15

BBQ 67:20 83:3

bearing 35:3

beating 41:8

beautiful 78:12

beep 48:23

beer 80:7

beg 17:4

begin 41:12

beginning 60:10 64:8

behalf 16:23 20:8

behold 39:9

beneficial 77:3

benefit 57:1,2,13 78:2

benefits 52:18 53:17 77:23

benevolent 72:24 74:3

beta 82:24

betting 80:12,23 81:21

bettors 40:4

big 22:16 61:2 77:5,18 78:15,16,24

bill 85:23.25 86:2.3

bills 85:20

bit 27:10 31:13 57:20 60:4 79:22

block 79:24

blue 55:12

bookkeeper 10:21 73:11

boss 30:10

break 38:22

Brian's 62:10

bring 23:1 42:13

bringing 52:16 73:2 79:3

broken 16:14 17:9

brought 12:15 38:7 47:8

build 70:7

building 80:4.13

built 16:5 80:3

bumped 22:22

burden 15:2 20:19,21,22,23

burdened 28:19

burdensome 61:16 64:4 66:20

business 10:23 41:18 48:3 62:24 63:1.4.15 65:22 67:7 78:8 81:17 83:24 85:14 86:18 87:13

businesspeople 61:14

businessperson 35:4

buy 30:19 63:13

C

California 23:17

call 44:18

called 37:25 77:10

cancel 63:17

capable 45:14

capacities 84:9

capacity 84:22

capital 69:12

Captain 9:7,21 10:2,11,17,21,24 11:2,4,11,13,16,17,22 12:11,14,19 13:1,4,7,10,14,15,19,22,25 14:3,5, 11,14,16,19,20 15:16 16:18 24:19 25:7,22 26:2,3,22 29:18,20,24 30:4, 13,24 31:10 32:11,21 34:12,24 35:4,

card 25:16,18

care 83:22

careful 28:7 81:8,9 82:13

carry 15:2 20:18

carrying 40:10 49:12

case 11:8,16 15:19,21 17:6,7,11,14, 15,18 18:11,24 19:7,13,14 21:12,16, 20,24,25 22:3,16 23:11 24:19,22,23 25:2,13,22 36:20 37:5,9 38:19,20 45:1 46:20,23 50:4,22 59:10

cases 17:18 20:24 27:14 28:3,20

cash 81:23

Casino 78:22

catch 48:24

category 53:10,11 65:13

caught 39:7 40:17 41:15

Centaur 61:12 62:7 63:2 64:3 66:16, 23 69:1 70:19 72:15,23 76:3 78:17 79:18 86:4

centered 82:10

Chairman 27:4 29:7 35:8,20 36:7, 12,15,18,22 37:14 45:6 46:19 47:3 48:25 49:10,17,18,20 50:9,12 51:3,7, 10,24 52:2,5,7,10 53:22 54:8,11,20 58:6,14,16,19 59:15,19,24 60:2,18 61:8 63:7 64:14 65:2,21 66:5,7,10,14 67:1,5,6 68:7,14,17,20,22,24 69:18, 21 70:2,12,15,17,18,21 71:1,12,17, 20,21,22,25 72:2,12,19,22 74:1,23 75:1,8,11,21 76:1,6,9,14 77:5 78:7, 11 79:1,6,9,12,14,17 80:25 81:3,7, 15,18 82:4,12,18 83:2,9,14,17,19,23 84:2,13,17,24 85:3,9,12, 86:14 87:6,

challenges 28:1

chance 42:4,8 45:19

chances 40:3

change 50:25 51:6,17 52:11,19 61:18 63:1 65:24 75:15 86:8

Chapa 36:20 40:6,17 41:1,11,22 42:2,9,15 43:22 44:8 48:1 73:4

charge 47:5

charged 18:6,9,14 19:9 33:17 34:13 40:10

charges 41:4 charitable 82:10 cheated 13:16 23:5

cheating 12:1,18,21 13:22,23 18:3,4

cheats 40:7 checking 80:18 **checks** 73:21 Chicago 9:13

Circle 79:20,25 80:5,22 82:8

Circuit 18:11 21:20,22 22:3 26:13,15 27:19 33:13

cited 18:11 20:24 24:22

City 79:24 81:1

claim 13:17 17:18 19:24 27:16,22,23 34:9

claimed 20:25 21:21 22:2

claims 27:20

clarification 58:23 59:18

clarify 74:19 cleaned 71:15

clear 12:10 15:19 21:16 30:15 55:12 58:25 59:8,17 63:25 65:9 74:24 82:3 85:16

cleared 81:1 close 24:20

closely 44:6 closer 45:4 closest 21:24

closing 35:9 cloud 43:24

cobalt 49:22 50:2,14,19 51:1,14,20

52:12

Code 15:13

collaboratively 66:23

collect 55:22 collection 53:7 **colony** 41:24

column 42:7

combination 41:17

comfortable 52:23,24 84:9

comment 58:1 64:3 84:25

comments 35:9.13 49:1 79:2

commission 9:5,18 16:17,23 17:8 18:18 19:16 20:9 23:10 26:21 27:2, 12 29:9 33:16,23 35:15 36:10,17 39:2 40:22 45:16 47:9 49:4 50:15,16, 24 51:12 52:9,16 53:18,24 54:18 56:20 57:1 58:18 60:1 61:12,19,24 62:2,14, 63:19 64:1,5 66:9,15 68:19, 25 70:14 72:21 75:10 76:8 79:11.17 80:23 83:16 85:11 86:10

Commission's 57:1 60:7

Commissioner 32:9 54:22 57:19 58:7 60:21 63:7,22 64:15 74:1 78:14 79:7

Commissioners 29:8 35:10 43:9 45:7 58:22 68:8 72:13,25 75:3 83:10

Commissioners' 37:7

commissions 41:20 42:24 45:3,22 59:12

commitment 69:10 70:4 77:21

commitments 78:3 commits 40:3

common 59:4

communicating 56:1,3

communication 56:17 company 41:23 77:21

compelling 57:12

complied 25:3

concerned 45:12 64:16 65:17

concessions 73:2

concrete 80:1 condition 20:25

conditional 74:7

conditions 20:1 21:11 22:5 23:9,12 25:10,20,23 26:6 28:14 34:10,17

74:8

conducted 9:25

confidential 59:11

confidentiality 59:1

confirm 24:3

conflict 13:13 64:24

confront 16:7

confuse 29:13

congratulate 66:19

consented 30:3

consequences 35:7

consistent 53:1 58:24 60:12 61:18

62:24

consolidate 67:2 construction 69:8

construed 12:13

contacting 86:13 87:3

contention 31:21,24

contested 21:2.6

continue 15:3 71:23

continued 44:22

contract 61:14 62:5.6.10.20 64:6.24 65:1,19 66:2 67:25 69:8 71:5,23 72:15 73:7,16 74:13 76:10 83:6

contracted 85:5 contractor 32:20 contractors 29:22

contracts 61:12,20,23 62:1,4,8,14, 16 63:25 65:12 68:4,9

contradict 26:12 contrary 26:11

conversation 44:10

convicted 13:21 18:4

copy 42:18

correct 9:4 52:1

cost 69:6 70:1

couch 28:8

counsel 9:3 15:15 17:4 24:14,22 25:12 33:25 64:16

countdown 9:8

country 45:3 59:13 75:19

couple 16:21 29:11 39:1 61:21

court 11:15 13:12 25:2 26:13,15

31:18

court's 11:20 courtroom 16:3

courts 15:11 16:5

cover 21:4 **crash** 22:21

create 16:15 27:24

created 14:12 15:25

creates 16:10 creating 29:1

crippling 12:22

crossed 22:24 23:7

crosses 19:19

Crown 77:12

cruel 40:4 46:6

cruelty 40:15 41:5

Culbertson 9:13

curb 80:4

current 22:12 37:2 44:25 58:24

61:11

curve 67:8

customers 67:9 81:16

cuts 13:2

D

Daily 40:17

damage 15:4

damages 40:5

dangerous 23:25

dark 11:3

database 43:10

date 9:6 36:3,5 63:18 66:20

dated 38:2

day 47:21,24,25 48:8 54:18 55:4 57:5 63:18 80:20 81:15 82:22,23

day-to-day 19:15 63:12,15

days 41:3 62:15,17

dead 38:11,23

deal 22:16 39:12 56:10 65:3 77:19

78:1,24

dealing 81:4

deals 35:22,23 36:19 61:5 85:24

dealt 74:17

December 41:6

decide 35:15

decided 18:12

decision 21:22 24:3 28:17 36:13

47:8

decisions 16:8 63:5

decree 11:20

deciding 9:6

defend 10:13 14:5 16:2 34:9

defer 35:19definition 40:14delighted 46:9

demonstrating 11:12

denial 24:4
denials 15:8
denied 15:1
denying 35:16
Depending 56:11
deposit 86:1

deprivation 16:8 21:9

deprivations 15:8

deprived 12:18 13:16 14:2,24 16:1

depriving 14:14

Derby 79:19 80:10 81:13 82:11

describes 36:25 designed 86:16 destroyed 14:10 detect 38:17

detected 47:16 50:2

detector 48:19

determined 24:24

detriment 13:25 14:7 15:5

detriments 15:8 device 40:13,23 diagram 69:18

differ 17:4

difference 13:4 31:13 61:2

differently 42:20,22 difficult 38:17 47:13

Digest 37:25 **direct** 13:7,13 **directed** 18:19

directly 13:6 14:18 26:3 50:23 85:21

86:13

director 47:22 57:20 62:3

dirt 38:6,9

disabled 77:22,24 78:10

disagree 18:2 24:12 32:5 33:1,2

disallowed 31:15

disciplinary 16:25 17:15,16,17 18:7,9,25 19:12,13 23:1,24 25:25 27:14 29:3,18 30:8 33:6,19 47:7

disciplined 17:22 28:4 59:3

discovered 51:17 discuss 37:10 55:5 discussion 37:3 42:14 disgusting 46:3 disingenuous 13:6 dismounting 48:17

dispute 18:5 disputed 33:25 disqualified 22:22 dissolve 35:18

distribution 19:9 69:10

distributors 68:9 **dog** 41:8 67:16 73:2 **dogs** 41:16 63:14

dollar 62:22

door 25:17 28:2

doorstep 45:5

doping 12:1,6,8 15:3 25:1 45:12,13

doubt 31:3

downtown 79:20 82:9

draft 55:20 57:25 64:6

driver 23:2 driving 22:21

dropped 55:1,12

drug 44:11,12 52:14 53:20 58:9

59:6,13 60:14

drugs 52:21

due 14:9 15:21 18:8 23:17,22 24:24 25:3 27:17 35:24 36:8 54:20 71:18

dues 74:21

Ε

e-mails 9:16

earlier 32:4

early 41:11

earn 34:10

earned 10:23 19:17,18,19 21:10

23:7 25:18,20

earning 26:2

earnings 14:15 15:21 34:5

easier 47:15 **easily** 40:14

easy 22:15 27:17 48:24 49:21

Edelberg 21:16 24:22

effect 13:19 14:15 54:2 55:4 65:6

effectively 18:4

efforts 44:15

egregious 43:13

elected 56:7

electrical 40:13,23

elevate 77:17

ELLINGWOOD 24:6,10 27:1,7

35:10 36:6 46:24 47:1,6 51:9 52:1 54:3,10 57:18 60:3,15 61:7 62:13 63:21 64:13,23 65:7,10 70:20,23 71:22 72:1 74:4,6,18 82:20,23 83:6

85:16 86:24

emergency 52:13 53:25 54:4,24 55:1,3,8,10,11 57:16,22 59:20 60:5,7

61:8,10 65:5,14 66:18 69:22

employee 11:24 32:21

employees 29:18,20,21

employment 62:8,9

emptied 39:9

enclosed 81:11

encourage 81:16

end 17:3 64:9 69:15 85:18 86:9

ended 39:16

enlarged 80:5

enter 14:13 15:5

entered 13:13

entering 34:3

entire 79:24

entirety 10:22

entitled 19:4 23:24

entity 13:23

erect 69:3,14

erection 69:9

error 30:23

escapes 30:13

ESPN 37:18

espn.com 39:24

essence 61:15 67:10

essentially 35:19

Estvanko 10:9 11:3 12:5,25 23:11

event 28:6 37:2 44:25 77:5,7 78:5

82:10

everyone's 87:4

evidence 26:11

exact 68:11

examination 13:11

exception 80:10

excerpts 40:1

exchange 39:14

exchanged 9:16

excited 70:3 77:2,9,16 78:6

exciting 75:20

excuse 29:14 82:4

execute 61:13 64:5

executed 62:16

Executive 57:20 62:3

Exhaust 26:14

exist 34:1

expansions 62:22

expect 46:5

expectancy 13:17 14:3,24 32:1

33:9

experience 27:13 38:24 79:22

expert 38:21

explain 66:12 76:14

explaining 65:22

explanation 21:4 49:21

extent 49:8 64:24 86:4

extremely 38:17 44:12

F

facilities 62:23

fact 15:12 21:3 22:4 24:17 26:2,17 27:22 28:11 32:22 43:21 44:20

47:17, 55:21 59:11 63:22

facts 11:12 14:21 16:14

fair 33:23

fairly 78:22

falls 40:14 54:3

Fame 77:13

fast 57:8 65:7

faster 40:20

favor 36:15 52:7 53:21 58:16 59:24 66:7 68:17 70:12 72:19 75:8 76:6

79:9 83:15 85:10

February 49:5 feckless 41:11 feedback 55:10 feel 9:3 46:14 87:2 feeling 30:25

fees 73:9,18 74:20

felony 41:4 fence 80:3 81:10 fenced 80:16

festival-type 80:7 fifteen 39:3 46:21,23 fifteen-minute 45:9

file 21:21 22:1 26:13 27:22,23 61:12

filed 9:23 11:11 54:6

final 19:25 22:24 51:4 79:23 80:6,11

find 21:18 46:9 48:13 67:22

finding 38:5 fine 22:8 32:25 fines 43:7.11

finish 10:18 14:4 19:19 22:25 23:8

32:12

finishing 49:13 Finley 39:24 Finley's 42:6

fire 41:21 firm 9:12

first-time 39:15

fit 68:11

five-year 39:18 41:12

flatly 18:4 fleeces 40:4

flood 24:15 26:17

floor 67:14

flourished 76:21

flowing 50:20 **flows** 34:16

folks 29:25 63:12 77:25 84:9

Follow 61:20

Follow-up 46:19

food 67:11 68:9

footprint 80:5,21 81:6

foreign 26:1 foresight 66:21 forget 34:6 Form 40:17,20

forward 11:6 14:20 16:19 17:2 26:23

31:2 34:3,4

foul 33:2

found 38:9 39:10 44:1 57:3 59:1,7

frame 87:1

franchise 67:4,17,19 68:4

frankly 20:8 64:2 free 9:3 14:16 29:23 frequently 37:22

front 38:20 55:16,20 79:25

fruition 86:20

full 17:15 27:9 65:25

function 63:20 **functions** 37:11 **fund** 78:10

future 14:13,14 15:5,20 34:4 82:7

G

game 48:20 77:14

gaming 61:19 86:3

gate 24:20 48:17

gates 24:16 26:17

gave 18:18 35:11

general 37:17 71:4

generally 23:21 57:3

generous 31:5

George 66:5

give 21:7, 26:25 45:8,19 53:12,13 56:25 81:3 84:15 86:11,13

giving 9:8 28:19 29:2 42:7

glad 73:11

good 36:19 37:8,9 42:1 44:24 45:6, 22 58:6 63:4 71:21 72:12,25 75:1,21 78:1,7,11 79:1 82:12,15 83:2 84:13, 24 85:3 87:6

goodwill 13:25

GORAJEC 36:21 37:1,15 38:13,16 46:4,18,22,25 47:17 50:15 51:5,12 52:15 54:13 55:5,15 58:22 59:18 60:9 65:13 83:18,22,25 84:3,16,19 85:13 86:25

governing 15:22

grand 9:25 10:20 11:24 38:10 67:14 69:3 78:18,20,21 84:5

Granitz 10:7 11:3 12:7,24 23:11

grant 11:10 28:25 grateful 76:22

great 30:11 37:12 38:24

greatly 76:21 grievance 35:24

grills 80:6

grounds 76:19

group 54:14 76:16

guess 29:16 30:6 33:21 35:2 36:1 78:19 81:11

guild 56:12,13 76:11,15,16,24,25 78:8,21

gun 86:23 guy 45:16,23

guy's 47:13

guys 33:14 47:15 85:19

Н

half 44:7

Hall 77:13

hammer 72:5

hand 37:21 39:8

handful 43:12

handout 51:5

hands 63:16

happen 19:21 47:10 58:2 72:4 85:19

happened 17:25 40:11 69:24

happy 17:12 49:9 63:6 68:2 86:10

HBPA 56:9 73:7 74:4.21

head 32:16

healthcare 76:17

hear 15:14 42:19 76:2 82:6 83:9

heard 16:6 28:9, 29:10 60:22

hearing 9:25 10:7,9,11,14,15 11:1

38:21 47:8

hearings 30:9

heart 49:6

heightened 37:3,5

held 15:11,23 25:19 55:22 77:6

hesitation 53:14

hey 32:13 33:1

high 11:20

highly 34:3

Hinshaw 9:13

hired 85:5

Historically 81:14

history 43:7,10,12

holder 64:11

Holly 16:23 20:8 49:3 50:12

home 38:2

Hoosier 67:11 71:4, 84:5

hope 45:1 63:2 82:17

horrible 45:12,15

horse 12:17 15:22 17:17,19 18:21, 22 19:15,19 22:1,22,24 23:7 25:1 29:25 40:4,13,19,23,25 41:15 43:8 45:24 46:2,8 47:24 48:5,8 69:3,5 70:8 75:12,18 76:3 81:12 85:22

86:17

horse's 59:7

horseman 46:13

horseman's 62:5

horsemen 56:5 57:13 64:18 69:11

73:7

horsemen's 10:20 55:19 56:1,2

72:23 73:10 74:11

horses 45:13,25 46:14 47:25 48:2

56:9

hosting 9:10

hot 63:14 73:2

hotly 21:6

hour 54:17

House 85:25 86:2

Houston 40:12 41:22

huge 19:14 81:15

human 52:14 53:20

hurt 45:24

ı

IC 11:8

identical 76:12

illegal 10:2 18:23

Illinois 18:12 22:3 24:23

impact 13:7

impacted 86:5

impacts 86:3

implement 57:10

implementation 61:1

implementing 56:23

important 17:7 38:11 44:1,11,13 46:12 60:5 61:4,7 62:18 65:2 77:19

83:20 87:8

importantly 43:5 44:19 52:24

impossible 61:17

improving 70:4

inaccurate 24:17

inaugural 78:5

incident 17:21 41:6

included 37:24 59:13

includes 13:11 86:1

including 37:17

inclusive 10:22

incompetence 41:17

incorrect 35:6

increases 62:22

independent 32:20

Indiana 9:14,25 10:20 11:24 12:17 15:11 19:10,15 21:24 23:19 25:14,16

28:5,22 38:10 39:15,16,23 42:15,20

43:2,23 46:20 69:3,5 71:6 72:23

77:17 78:18,20,21 81:12 84:5

Indianapolis 79:15,21,24

indicating 38:8 indirectly 85:21

individuals 12:16 45:4

industry 14:17 15:4 37:2 53:21

86:17

inexcusable 42:4,8

information 55:22

informational 46:17 50:10,11

informed 56:14

initiatives 76:18

injection 10:3

innovative 67:8

input 83:20

inside 81:10,24

installation 73:14 75:17

instance 39:15

instances 61:17

instant 39:22

instantaneous 65:6,15

instituting 56:23

integrity 16:12 43:19 44:2,18 53:17

integrity-related 44:4

intend 67:18

interest 14:3,7 15:10,15,18 18:15 20:3,5,11 21:8,9,13,14,15,17,18

22:4,6,12 23:23 26:7 28:8,12 29:2 31:25 32:1,2 33:8,9,10,24 34:2,5

37:3,5

interested 20:4

interesting 37:1 39:21

interests 10:14 13:2,14 14:8 15:9

internally 30:24

International 43:10

intervene 9:1,7 11:4,8,10 14:19 16:15,18 20:10,17 22:16 23:24 24:4, 19 26:9 35:17

intervention 15:12 17:11 34:21

invasive-type 53:6

inverse 24:17

investigation 30:19

investigators 47:23 53:14

involved 17:21 18:24 19:12 25:5 27:14 32:23 54:9 67:13

involving 66:23

lowa 42:11

ISA 56:8

issue 9:20 25:2 28:7 43:16 44:6 54:5 63:16 74:2 78:16 81:23

issued 10:15 58:25 59:5

issues 39:1 74:9

issuing 43:3

Italian 67:16

item 36:19 67:2 70:8 73:6 83:18

items 63:8 65:4 67:3

J

Jack 9:7,21 10:2,11,17,24 11:2,4,11, 13,16,17 12:11,14,19 13:4,7,10,14, 15,19,22 14:3,14,19,20 15:16 16:18 24:19 25:7,22 26:2,3,22 29:20,24 30:4,13,24 31:10 32:11,21 34:12,24 35:4 71:2,9

Jack's 10:21 11:22 13:1,22,25 14:5, 11,16 29:18 35:17

iail 41:3

Jamie 11:24 12:15

January 40:11 49:5

Jeans 77:10 **job** 63:4 65:22

jock 48:22

jock's 39:6,8 47:20 48:10,16

jockey 23:2,5,16 37:20 38:17 39:3, 19 40:5 56:13

jockeys 37:4 48:15 53:9,10 54:15,17 78:10

Jockeys' 76:11,15,16 78:8

Joe 44:3 45:6 46:16 49:1 50:13 52:10 83:23 85:12

John 66:12,15 67:5 68:20,23,25 70:3,16 81:18 82:12,23 83:3

Johnny 67:18

Jon 72:24 75:11 76:9 78:15 79:3,12 84:9,25

judge 9:2 20:20 24:3 28:16 38:20

judge's 17:10

judgment 11:20

judicial 16:4

July 9:3

jurisdiction 59:6

jurisdictions 42:23 44:9

Κ

Keeler 64:2 66:12,14,15 67:6 68:2, 21,24,25 69:17,20,25 70:17 78:23 79:16.18 81:2,5,14,25 82:4,17

Keeler's 62:10

keeping 77:3

Kentucky 50:20,21,23 51:2,13,17, 21,25 79:19 80:10 81:13 82:11

kicks 30:5

KIENINGER 71:10

kind 19:17 23:21 33:15 34:14 41:2 47:14 57:6,19 61:3 65:18 71:23 72:3 73:19 74:16 82:24 83:20

kinds 62:1.16 65:12

knew 46:20

knowing 65:25

knowingly 41:7,16

knowledge 18:24

Knowles 11:24 12:15

L

lab 51:1 60:11

label 15:5

labeled 13:23

laboratory 50:21 52:24 59:2

laborious 26:16

labs 50:14,17

lane 80:1

language 11:10 15:13 34:20 58:9 59:21 66:24 71:15 83:11

large 82:9

largely 73:16 75:24

late 49:14

Laughing 38:12,25 62:12 65:20

68:1 83:5 87:11

law 9:2,12 17:10 20:20

laws 16:14 18:12

lawsuit 27:25

lawsuits 28:1

lawyer 29:10

lawyers 29:15

Lea 9:15 17:1 35:9 46:16 60:2 61:4 65:17,21 85:15 86:21

Lea's 83:21

learned 35:21 40:9

leases 67:4,12,15 68:4

leave 80:22

left 11:2 73:3

legal 11:5,7 13:1,10,14 14:8 20:10 21:8,9,12,14 22:18 28:7,12,20 31:1 32:2 35:22 78:20

legislation 86:16

legislative 54:6 85:15 86:8,11

legislature 28:24

lengthy 56:20

lenient 40:7

lessons 40:9

lets 64:11

letting 40:8

level 13:2,20 19:7 26:14 50:2 67:17,

leveled 33:20

LGC 50:21 51:1,16,19

license 39:14 42:16,17,18,25 43:1,2, 3.14 44:16.23 45:5 64:11

licensed 42:11 84:8

licensee 63:3

licensees 52:21 53:8,9 56:24

licenses 18:16,17 43:14,16

licensing 36:20 37:10,11 44:14 45:1

46:10

lifetime 39:17

lifted 39:13

light 12:15

LIGHTLE 36:11 37:13 45:8 46:6 47:11 52:6 58:15 59:22 66:6 70:10

75:6 76:5 79:8 83:13 85:8

likelihood 55:25

likened 25:14

likewise 10:10

limits 57:6

list 84:6,12,20 85:4

listen 18:1

listing 51:1,2

lists 59:6

literally 78:13

litigation 24:16,21 27:11

live 38:23 49:15 81:25

lives 78:13

living 61:6 77:12

LLC 9:21

lo 39:9

local 67:13,16

locales 48:21

location 82:1

locked 16:3

lockers 48:10

log 49:5

logic 13:2 26:5 29:11,14 30:5,14

35:3

long 25:23 42:3

longer 78:1

looked 63:24 64:15

loss 22:2

lot 15:14 35:21,22,23 37:22 42:23 43:14 44:10 45:24,25 49:7, 56:12 57:18 63:25 77:19 81:16 85:17

lottery 25:14,15,16,19 30:16,17,19

Louisiana 42:11 67:20

low 20:14.16

М

machine 30:21 37:4 38:5,8,18,20,

22.23 39:4.10.16 48:1

machine's 48:4

machines 38:4 48:13 80:12,24 81:5, 21

made 21:22 31:13 39:12 50:17 51:15 79:23

magazine 38:1,2

magnified 37:21

magnitude 13:18

maintain 44:13 67:8

majority 57:21 76:25

make 22:10 27:17,21 36:11,12 40:20

47:15 58:24 59:8.10 60:5.12.16 61:21 63:4,9 65:8 66:4 70:10 74:23

75:6 76:4 79:5 80:18 81:20 82:3,13 85:16,20

makes 13:3 28:24 59:16 65:22 66:5

man 46:6

manage 63:12 64:21 65:23

management 62:4 63:11

manager 64:12 71:4

mandatory 11:10 15:13

manner 66:22

March 49:6

material 57:23 61:21

matter 9:5 14:6 16:15.19.24.25 28:11 32:22 33:6 59:11 63:22 69:23

matter's 33:6

mattered 31:14

means 12:1.12

medication 18:23 19:22 57:23

medium 52:22 53:3

meet 9:15 49:14,15 60:10 64:9,

72:10 87:8

meeting 48:7 50:16 51:18 54:16 55:21 60:23 61:15 86:10,23 87:1,7,

meetings 54:23 87:9,10

members 66:14 68:25 72:3 77:1

79:17

mentioned 80:16

mess 45:9.13

met 20:1,21,22,23 21:11 22:5 23:9

25:11,21 28:14 34:10 55:18

met all 23:12 74:8

metal 48:19,23

Mexico 40:22 42:12

mid-iulv 17:1

mid-june 17:2

middle 64:9

million 69:6

mind 30:14 62:18

minutes 16:21 24:7 27:3,10

mirrors 71:7

miscreants 40:8

misdemeanor 41:5

misspoke 74:20

modification 82:21 83:11

moment 46:11

money 10:17,20 11:2 12:20 13:17

14:4,25 15:17,20 22:2 24:25 25:8,10, 23 26:4 32:2 34:7, 64:18,19,21 73:10 74:14 78:2 81:23

money's 26:10

month 55:18

months 40:18

Moore 71:3,11,15,20 72:7

morass 29:1

morning 9:11,18 27:6 72:25

motion 20:9 24:4 35:17 36:11,12 52:2 58:12,14 59:20,22 65:23 66:3,4, 5 68:14 70:7,10 72:14,16 75:4,6 76:2, 79:4,5,6 83:10,14 85:6,9

Move 52:4 68:13 83:12

moved 31:2 72:17 85:7

moving 17:2 57:8

music 80:7

Ν

n' 67:16

nail 40:19 41:15 46:4

name's 79:17

named 13:5 19:13

names 84:14

national 41:23 73:3 77:18

nationally 37:16

neighbor 82:9

NEWELL 49:4,12 50:1,5,8,11

nice 9:15,16 72:3

Nobody's 19:5 21:3

non-disciplinary 33:7

norm 58:4

note 71:23

notice 16:6 23:15 28:19 29:2 53:25

54:21 56:5

notification 54:8

notified 10:6,8,10,12,24 31:14,17

54:13

notify 54:12

noting 75:18

notoriety 77:19

notoriously 40:7

number 43:16 53:11

numbers 25:16, 30:21

0

object 56:22 57:6

obligation 20:18

occur 74:15

October 9:24 74:12

offered 33:15

offering 67:21

offerings 67:11

office 9:14

officer 80:18

official 84:6.12.23

officially 31:23 34:14

officials 56:7 83:25 84:7

Oklahoma 42:11

older 81:10

open 24:15 26:17

opened 27:15 28:2

opening 22:17 54:18

openness 16:5

operation 30:25 73:14 75:17

operations 19:15 63:12

operators 67:13

opinion 17:6 35:11 46:8

opportunity 12:19 14:5 15:1 16:9, 11,12 27:2 34:9 44:17 58:1

11,12 27:2 34:9 44:17 58:1

opposing 15:15 24:13,22 25:12

33:25

opt 73:23

options 82:7

oral 52:25 53:5,16,19 56:25

oratory 45:9

order 17:10 35:16.18

ordered 10:16

Orders 20:17

organization 12:23

organizers 14:12

Orleans 67:19

OTB 81:4,15

outstanding 49:14

owned 10:1

owner 12:14,22 17:11 18:2,3,6,7,9, 13,14,23 19:8,11 21:7,25 23:4,11 25:1,5,24 26:15 29:25 32:11,22,24,

25 33:20 34:18

owner's 18:22 20:2 21:20

owners 15:23 18:16,21 22:20 28:2, 19 33:13,14 54:25 56:6 73:21

Ρ

packet 37:7,24 42:19

paraphrase 73:20

paraplegics 77:25

parent 41:22

pari-mutuel 85:22 86:5

Park 40:24 67:11 71:4, 84:5

parking 80:1

part 42:7 62:18 69:9,10 71:18 72:8

78:7 80:21

participate 9:22 11:5 16:19 22:18 25:9 26:6,10,22 31:18 33:2,4,5 70:22

participated 10:13 25:6

participating 12:6,8,9 28:3 41:18

participation 13:23 31:16

participator 25:14

parties 13:12

partnership 73:12 75:15 76:20,21

parts 66:1

party 16:1,2 28:4 31:19 62:7 79:19,

20

pass 56:21

passed 57:5 66:11,18 69:22

passes 58:19 70:15

passing 66:25

past 42:10 52:20 54:23 55:7 87:5

Paulick 41:3 payments 73:20

payouts 81:24

pecuniary 11:18,22 13:1,19 14:16 15:9 31:25

pedestrian 80:2 penalized 34:13

penalties 49:25

penalty 47:3 49:24,25

Penn 41:23 73:3

people 29:2 32:5 34:23 35:5 37:23 43:12,17,18 44:7,16 45:25 48:3,6 54:12 55:11 57:4 61:5 70:3,24 78:12 84:10,14,18 85:4

percent 11:2 58:3 percentage 67:15 perception 33:22

perfect 54:15 perimeter 80:16 permanent 39:17

permanently 77:22,24 78:10

permission 68:11 69:2 81:4

person 9:15 20:16 34:8 39:11 41:2, 18 42:8 43:21 44:2 45:10

person's 11:19,23 59:2

personal 11:18,22 13:1,18,24 15:9 20:11 31:25 34:1

personally 14:21 30:25

persons 12:11 14:22 34:20,23 35:1

petition 11:10,11 69:2

petitioner 11:13 photograph 37:12

photographs 37:6,20 38:4,5

pick 47:25

picked 37:15,17 47:21,24 48:8

piece 37:19 46:17

pieces 86:15

piggyback 57:19

Pillow 32:9,10,17 46:16 54:22,23 55:7 57:15,19 58:5,7 60:21 65:17 66:4 67:25 68:16 72:18 74:1,2,5,16 76:4 78:14,15,24 79:5,7 83:3,8

pivotal 25:2

pizza 63:19 65:18 73:2

pizzas 63:14 **place** 72:10

placement 21:22 22:24

plans 69:4player 25:19plea 41:4

pleaded 31:17,18 plethora 77:13

point 26:8 27:18 31:8 32:15 35:14 58:6 60:21 61:3,22 64:14 79:1 85:24

pointed 23:20 28:23

points 14:18 police 41:7

policy 27:21, 42:24 54:4 60:7

portion 78:10

positive 32:18 60:11 **possibly** 53:4 63:24

post 54:17 pot 64:20,21

potential 12:25 14:14 28:1 82:16 potentially 12:13,22 16:13 35:6

practical 66:23

practice 37:8 58:24 59:17 60:13

practices 62:24 precedent 22:19 precedents 24:1 predominantly 53:4 preliminary 69:12 presence 44:18 **present** 10:6,8,10,13 12:2 21:8

69:19 72:11

presentation 44:25 50:18 51:15

presented 14:21 preserve 16:11 president 71:3

press 37:16,17 38:13

pretty 60:24 64:17 71:6 77:18 82:15

previous 61:8 73:16 primarily 86:3 print 43:7,10

prior 43:3 48:17 58:21

privilege 82:15

pro 63:1

problem 19:14 32:13 54:25 57:16

63:17

problems 44:22 procedural 23:13 procedure 53:7 63:11

procedures 20:18 58:21

proceeding 22:19 29:3 31:10 65:9

proceedings 10:25 11:6 12:3 13:6, 9 14:20 25:6 35:22

process 14:9 15:21 18:8 21:1 23:17, 22 24:24 25:3 26:23 27:17 31:2 35:25 36:9 47:7 51:18 52:11 54:21 56:20, 57:22 65:14 71:19 74:3

product 44:19 67:9 professional 48:11 professionally 48:13

program 36:20 37:10,11 42:14 43:20,24 44:14 54:19 82:6

programs 44:14 promote 81:12 promoting 84:10 promotion 85:25 promulgating 56:4

proof 36:2 **proper** 82:14 **property** 11:18 13:19 14:8 15:9,15, 18 20:2,5,11 21:17,18 22:6,12 23:6, 22 25:4

proposal 70:7

proposed 52:19 58:8 84:6 85:4

proposing 53:2

prospective 52:21 53:8

protect 14:11 21:15 protectable 28:12

protected 53:18

protecting 18:15

protection 32:3

Protective 72:24

protracted 26:16

protractive 24:21 27:11

prove 25:18 26:7

proven 25:23

provide 19:24

provided 32:3 37:24 69:4

proving 15:2 25:10 26:6

provision 86:1

public 81:9

publication 37:25

published 39:24

pudding 36:2

pulling 39:7

purchase 73:13

purchased 48:18

purpose 81:12

purse 10:17,19 11:1 12:19 13:17 14:3,25 15:17,20 17:24 19:1,4,9,17, 18,25 20:3 21:8,9,10 22:6, 23:7,12 24:25 25:8,10,19,23 26:10 28:9,10 31:22 32:2 33:9,10 34:7,18 73:10

put 37:6 43:4 56:5 64:20 70:19 80:1,

puts 53:19 57:6 63:16

putting 46:11 49:1 60:13

Pylitt 28:16 38:20

Pylitt's 24:3

Q

QT 48:9

quarter 40:23 43:8 47:24,25 48:2,5, 8 56:9 69:3,5,11 70:8 75:12,18 76:3

quarters 39:8 47:20 48:10,16

question 30:8,11,20 32:10 44:19

47:11 49:18 81:19

questions 17:13 24:5 26:23 29:4,6, 14 35:8,11,12 49:8 53:24 63:6 68:3,7

70:6 72:13 75:2 81:18 85:2

quickly 64:17

R

race 10:3,19 14:12 19:22 20:1 22:5, 22 32:12 33:1 40:12 42:16 43:25 45:11,20 48:5,6,17 49:15 60:10 69:15

Racecourse 9:25 10:20

raced 43:23

racehorses 78:20

races 14:13 15:5 34:3 39:5

racetrack 38:9 45:14,17 46:1

racetracks 41:21 46:12

racing 12:17 15:23 17:17 19:3,15 37:2,16 39:1 40:17,22 41:1,20,25 42:24 43:9,19,24 44:8 45:3 54:2 59:5,12 71:4 75:18 77:17 78:21 81:12 83:25 84:5,12,23 85:22 86:17

racinos 86:4

raise 78:1

raised 20:8 26:24

raises 23:13 33:18

ran 39:6

Ratcliff's 62:9

RCI 43:9

read 37:18 39:25 51:4 59:8 86:15

reading 45:10,18

ready 72:10

real 29:2 36:1 47:3

realize 86:18

realized 64:17

rear 82:9

reason 16:16 18:18 29:11,13 30:5,

14 35:3 42:13 57:12 70:18

reasonable 14:7 32:4

reasons 53:12

rebuttal 27:3 28:23

recaptured 11:1 24:25

receive 51:13 60:11

received 10:2.17

recent 46:22

recognize 78:21

recognizing 66:19

recommend 12:23

recommendation 15:25 26:18,21

recommended 14:23 16:10,16

24:14 25:13

recommending 25:24

record 41:24 44:3,5 51:23 52:10

60:6,17 73:3 81:21

redistribute 17:24

redistributed 19:2

reduced 41:4

reflect 52:11

reflective 63:5

reform 40:10

refresh 67:9

refusal 42:19

refusals 44:6

refuse 43:13,14,15

refused 42:18 43:17

regard 26:18 34:19 42:14 43:4 66:17

67:1,11

regret 63:2

regular 56:19,21 65:14

regulates 61:19

regulation 23:19

regulations 20:15 28:21 43:23

regulators 41:10 regulatory 44:15

reiterate 64:23

reject 24:18 26:21 rejected 16:17

relate 85:21

related 26:3 62:7 78:9

relates 39:22 relocation 79:15

Remember 68:10

reminder 35:14 removed 26:1

rent 80:1

Repeat 51:10 **replace** 67:19

reply 31:6

Report 41:3

reporting 40:20

reports 60:11

represent 79:18

representation 76:18 representative 56:14

representatives 55:19

representing 66:15 69:1 78:22

represents 76:24

reputation 14:1 15:4,20 18:15 40:5

reputational 21:15 22:4 33:12,24

request 11:5 24:2 68:6,12

requested 73:11 requesting 10:19 requests 69:2 require 61:11

requirement 61:16

reroute 80:2

required 62:2

rescind 63:17

respect 9:19 29:14 60:4

respectful 48:12

respectfully 24:2 26:20 68:5

response 20:9

responsibility 18:20

responsible 15:23 16:1 17:19 30:1

35:5 63:3

restaurant 67:16,23

result 17:22
resulted 39:18
resulting 39:16
retain 12:19 15:17
return 10:17.19 25:8

returned 41:13 returnees 84:19 revealing 36:23

reverse 17:9

review 42:17 43:2.11 66:1

reviewed 42:16 reviews 64:1 revise 66:22 revised 75:5

revolting 40:14 rhetorical 30:7

Richard 10:9 12:5

Richwine 47:22 56:13

Rick 71:3 rid 42:1 ride 78:1

riders 38:3 76:17,23,25 77:12,13,22,

23

ridiculous 46:1

riding 39:4 41:13,24

rights 11:18,23 14:8,16 18:8 23:18,

22 24:24

rise 23:3

rising 77:4

risk 14:12 22:14 78:13

Robin 16:22 32:10

Rockets 67:18

Rod's 70:4

Roman 36:20 40:5 42:2,9,15 43:22 44:8 48:1 73:4

room 27:14 53:6 59:3

Ross 12:9 **ruin** 45:23

rule 25:2 32:23 34:21 52:15,17 53:19 54:1, 55:6,7,10,16,20 56:4,11 57:4,5, 6,9,21,22 58:20,22,23 59:10,20 60:5, 6,12,14 61:1,10 62:13,18 63:5,23 65:5 66:18,20,24

rule-making 56:19,21 65:14

rules 12:17 15:22 17:16,17,22 18:18 19:3,11,23,24 20:7 23:3 28:5,24 34:16 52:13 54:24 55:1,3,8, 57:11, 16,24,25 61:9,11 62:21 63:1 64:25 65:14

ruling 10:16 11:15 12:23 13:13,21 14:24 16:10,16 24:15 34:14 49:5 58:25 59:4,5,13 69:23

rulings 10:16 11:1,3 13:12,18 14:2, 10,23 15:7,24 26:14 29:12 49:7,13

run 40:20

running 51:16

Russell 10:5 12:9,24

S

sad 36:23 37:14

saddle 41:19 **sadly** 42:4,8

safe 85:24

saliva 53:2 58:11

Sam 40:12 41:22

sample 50:14,17 51:1,14 58:21

samples 51:15,19,24

sat 22:1

satellite 62:23

Saturday 77:11

scale 82:14

schedule 87:2,4

scheduled 9:2 16:25 86:9

Schenkel 29:9,10,23 30:5,12 31:7, 21 32:4 33:18 34:12 35:2 36:14 38:15 49:18,20,21 50:3,7 52:4 53:25 58:13 59:23 63:7,8 64:11,15 65:3,8, 16 68:13 69:16 70:11 72:17 73:18,25 75:7 81:19 82:2,22 83:12 85:7

Schererville 9:14

scope 74:10 **script** 51:4

scrutinize 44:5 45:4 scrutinized 34:4

search 39:5 47:20 48:9 **searched** 48:14,15,16

season 49:6 54:2 60:20 69:15

second-place 10:18 14:4

security 39:4,7 47:22,23 80:17

81:22

seeks 11:4

self-explanatory 59:16

Senate 85:23 sense 59:4 65:22 separate 26:1 74:16

September 10:3.18.22 74:12

serve 63:19 65:18

served 41:3 services 62:6

session 85:18 86:12

session's 86:9

set 17:16 22:19 86:22 87:7

setting 23:25

settlement 39:13

Seventh 18:11 21:20,22 22:3 27:19

33:12

shaking 32:16

shelf 38:1

shock 38:18,21

shocked 38:14

Shocking 40:13

short-term 67:15

show 11:17 25:3,17,20 34:10 38:7

showed 41:1 **shows** 26:11

SHUSTER 72:25 73:6, 74:19,25 75:14,24 76:12,16 77:8 78:9,19,25

79:13 85:1

sick 40:15 **side** 71:22

sidewalk 79:25 80:3,13,20

sign 64:7

significant 13:24 14:7

simple 51:6 **simple** 74:24 **simply** 24:16

Simultaneous 65:16

sir 79:16 81:2

sit 25:25 27:21 30:17

sitting 21:3 38:1

situation 28:18 30:22

sky 55:12 small 43:17 smaller 82:14 sneak 16:8

somebody's 22:21 something's 60:23

sort 77:3 80:7 sorts 19:21,23 sound 63:4 81:8 sounds 82:15

spans 49:5

speak 36:2 70:25 73:1

speaking 82:20

specific 29:8 35:13

specifically 13:5 18:14

specifics 74:14 85:17

spelled 70:8 85:6

spent 56:12

split 50:14,16 51:1,14 58:21

spoke 17:4

sport 37:25 40:6 41:9,19

sport's 40:5 **stab** 40:19

Stables 9:21 10:2,11 13:7

staff 11:7 16:23 20:9 31:3,15 33:16

66:19

Staff's 27:2 stage 77:18

stakeholders 55:2,13,15 58:1

stand 67:14

standard 11:7,21 13:10 20:6,7 **standardbred** 43:5 71:2,6 72:16

standardbreds 56:8

standing 25:7 28:15,21 32:12 76:10

start 9:8 22:17 **started** 69:12 77:9 **starts** 60:20 72:10

state 18:12 23:19 24:23 28:22

stated 9:24 statement 33:23 states 11:9,12 43:6 stationary 80:12 statute 23:19 62:21 statutes 28:22 29:12

step 60:4steps 35:23steward 23:17steward's 23:16

stewards 10:15,24 12:4 13:5,13,21 14:2,10 15:6 17:23 21:13 22:23 23:14 28:18 29:1 31:15 32:13 54:16

stewards' 14:23

stick 55:8 strap.' 41:8 street 80:14 strictly 32:17 strikes 30:23

stuck 27:20 **stuff** 45:22 61:3

subject 18:22 19:1 29:17 30:8 32:24 60:14 66:2

submit 17:8 27:12,24 28:16

submitted 62:14 74:7 subscribe 16:13 substance 59:1 substantial 75:23

substantially 70:5

substantive 9:18 57:23 71:8,16 73:8 75:14 76:13

sudden 23:15Suds-type 67:17suffer 15:3 35:6suffered 15:3suggest 58:2

suggested 20:14 22:8 25:12 **suggestion** 22:9 24:13 51:10

suit 21:5 summary 9:17 Sunland 40:24 support 57:4 supports 76:17 suppose 62:10

supposed 41:12 82:18 **surprise** 16:8 67:21

surprising 24:11 surrounded 15:6

sushi 67:22

suspect 64:3 70:23 **suspected** 39:4

suspended 39:11 40:18 47:1,7

suspension 22:10 32:24 39:13,18 41:12 47:4

suspensions 43:7,11

swab 52:25 53:16 56:25 58:10

swabs 53:5,19 **synopsis** 86:13

system 15:25 16:4,13 17:9 59:7

Т

takes 34:18 65:6 taking 25:4 44:24

talk 36:1 37:8 47:12 55:13,15 71:1

talked 48:19 55:20 56:13 64:16 82:24

talking 22:7 30:18 36:7,8 38:3 50:13 54:24 55:2,9 82:6

Tam 10:1,5,7,18 12:1,7,14 14:4,13, 25 15:2 30:3

Tampa 78:5

tarnished 22:9 23:6

taxpayers 64:19

team 47:23

Technically 82:20 technology 52:23

tellers 81:25

telling 48:7 58:10

temporary 79:15

ten 39:11 47:2,4

tend 78:1

tent 80:7,14,15 81:11

term 11:5 29:11 33:21

terminate 62:20 65:1

terms 29:1 34:14 82:10

Terpstra 9:10,12 18:1 20:4,14 24:6, 9,11 27:5 29:6,16,19 30:2,11 31:5,8, 24 32:6 33:21,24 34:16

Terpstra's 21:4

Terry 47:22 56:13

test 82:25

tested 53:3,11

testified 10:6,8,11 12:3 21:5

testimony 38:21

testing 44:11,12 51:2, 52:14, 53:20 58:9,21

tests 60:11

Texas 40:18 42:12

That'll 36:3
Theatre 82:8
theme 77:4

thing 35:15 39:21 48:14 60:22 73:4 77:20 80:9

things 18:2 19:6,21 22:10 42:20,21 47:19 48:18 57:24 76:19 86:20

thinking 59:16

thoroughbred 43:8 48:6 73:7

thoroughbreds 48:2 56:8

thought 37:7 87:1

threat 27:11

threshold 65:24

thrilled 45:20

thrown 29:11 42:2

ticket 25:15 30:19

ticketholder 30:17

tide 77:4

till 28:14

time 16:20 21:13 24:5 27:6,10 37:8,9 39:6 40:11 41:25 42:3 44:1 46:20 51:11 54:17 56:12 57:7,21 58:3 60:23 61:15 65:10 66:1 68:11 82:5 86:22,25

timeline 69:16

timeliness 54:5 60:9 61:10

times 29:12 37:18 48:22 57:18

timing 54:15 60:18

today 25:7 26:17 31:12 35:22 65:4 66:13

told 56:16

Index: topic..weed

topic 47:18 typically 17:5 tortured 41:16 U tortures 41:7 tote 62:6 ultimate 18:20 touch 31:10 46:2 66:21 ultimately 15:23 16:1 touched 38:22 unaware 30:13 tough 46:10 undeniable 16:15 track 33:3 44:18 46:7 57:14 62:4 underlying 9:5 17:23 20:25 21:5,12 73:14 84:22 track's 74:13 understand 53:22 57:15 60:18 63:10 tracks 27:15 46:14 62:23 understanding 13:3,20 29:19 trade 14:16 unilateral 16:7 trade-offs 86:18 unilaterally 10:21 14:12 15:6 traditional 81:6 82:1 United 43:6 traffic 80:2 **University** 50:20,23 51:2,13,17,21, trainer 9:19,20 10:7,9 11:25 12:5,7, 12 13:15,16 17:19,20 31:9 32:19 unlawful 25:4 trainers 9:23 12:2 18:19,20 29:16 31:17 36:4 56:6 73:9,18,21,22 74:20 unofficial 42:24 **Trakus** 75:16.19 unrelated 10:23 40:25 trash 39:8.9 unusual 60:22,24 treated 34:2 update 85:15 86:11 treatment 30:3 **upper** 67:17 trial 16:3 **upping** 48:19 **tribute** 78:12 **upside** 48:11 triple 77:12 78:4 **upward** 34:17 Trotting 43:6 urine 52:22 53:1,4,13 56:25 58:11 true 24:18 **USTA** 43:6

trust 18:15 87:10

Turf 37:25

turn 23:9

Turned 48:10

turning 44:25

twelve-hour 23:15

type 15:10 52:15

typical 42:5,9

Tuff 12:1,14 14:13 15:2 30:3

Tuff's 10:5,7,18 12:7 14:4,25

veterinarian 10:5 12:2.13 vetted 57:17 vice 71:3 victory 14:25 vigorously 21:2 violate 43:22 violated 12:16 19:23 23:2 24:25 violates 62:20 violation 14:8 18:7 20:2 21:1 27:16 29:17 30:18 32:23 34:17 35:24 36:3 44:2,4 50:6 60:14 violations 15:24 19:22 42:10 44:20, 21 49:22 vision 16:5 Voodoo 67:20 83:3 vote 50:9 51:7.11 82:19 **voting** 68:10 W wagering 79:15 81:17 85:22 86:2,5 wait 27:1 86:21 walk 81:10 wand 48:19,20,22 wanted 56:21 60:16 82:3 85:19 watch 38:24 47:23 ways 57:9 weakness 41:17 WEATHERWAX 27:4 29:7 35:8.20

٧

valued 62:7 vary 47:5 vast 57:21 venture 64:12 venue 82:10 verbiage 59:9

utilizing 53:4

36:7,12,15,18,22 37:14 45:6 46:19 47:3 48:25 49:10,17,20 50:9,12 51:3, 7,10,24 52:2,5,7,10 53:22 54:8,11,20 58:6,14,16,19 59:15,19,24 60:2,18 63:7 64:14 65:2,21 66:5,7,10 67:5 68:7,14,17,20,22 69:18,21 70:2,12, 15,18,21 71:1,12,17,21,25 72:2,12, 19,22 74:1,23 75:1,8,11,21 76:1,6,9, 14 77:5 78:7,11 79:1,6,9,12,14 80:25 81:3,7,18 82:12,18 83:2,9,14,17,19, 23 84:2,13,17,24 85:3,9,12, 86:14 87:6.12

website 39:24 weed 44:17

week 47:18

welcomed 41:19,23

wheelchairs 77:25

who'd 70:24

win/win 52:18

win/win/win 53:17

winner 30:16

Winners 79:20,25 80:5,22

winning 25:15

winnings 10:22,23

witnesses 21:5

work 36:9 47:14 56:6 66:24 69:13

74:14

worked 84:21

working 17:14 21:1 87:4

world 45:15

worried 63:18

worst 44:17

worth 75:18

writing 37:19 61:25

written 39:23

wrong 9:4 19:5,6

wrote 30:20

Υ

year 42:10 43:15 44:11 47:21 49:11, 13 50:14 60:24,25 71:18,25 72:8,9 73:8,15 74:3,9,12,17,20,21 76:13 77:6,8,9 84:4

year's 71:14

yearly 77:7

years 38:3,19 39:3,11,12 40:21 41:14 46:21,23 47:2,4 71:8 73:17

York 23:16 37:18