

Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

journal homepage: www.archives-pmr.org

Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2015;96(8 Suppl 3):S256-73



ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Psychotropic Medication Use During Inpatient Rehabilitation for Traumatic Brain Injury



Flora M. Hammond, MD,^{a,b} Ryan S. Barrett, MS,^c Timothy Shea, PsyD,^d Ronald T. Seel, PhD,^e Thomas W. McAlister, MD,^b Darryl Kaelin, MD,^f David K. Ryser, MD,^g John D. Corrigan, PhD,^d Nora Cullen, MD,^h Susan D. Horn, PhD^c

From the ^aCarolinas Rehabilitation, Charlotte, NC; ^bIndiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN; ^cInstitute for Clinical Outcomes Research, Salt Lake City, UT; ^dOhio State University, Columbus, OH; ^eCrawford Research Institute, Shepherd Center, Atlanta, GA; ^fUniversity of Louisville School of Medicine and Frazier Rehabilitation Institute, Louisville, KY; ^gIntermountain Medical Center, Salt Lake City, UT; and ^hToronto Rehabilitation Institute, Toronto, ON, Canada.

Abstract

Objective: To describe psychotropic medication administration patterns during inpatient rehabilitation for traumatic brain injury (TBI) and their relation to patient preinjury and injury characteristics.

Design: Prospective observational cohort.

Setting: Multiple acute inpatient rehabilitation units or hospitals.

Participants: Individuals with TBI (N=2130; complicated mild, moderate, or severe) admitted for inpatient rehabilitation.

Interventions: Not applicable.

Main Outcome Measures: Not applicable.

Results: Most frequently administered were narcotic analgesics (72% of sample), followed by antidepressants (67%), anticonvulsants (47%), anxiolytics (33%), hypnotics (30%), stimulants (28%), antipsychotics (25%), antiparkinson agents (25%), and miscellaneous psychotropics (18%). The psychotropic agents studied were administered to 95% of the sample, with 8.5% receiving only 1 and 31.8% receiving ≥6. Degree of psychotropic medication administration varied widely between sites. Univariate analyses indicated younger patients were more likely to receive anxiolytics, antidepressants, antiparkinson agents, stimulants, antipsychotics, and narcotic analgesics, whereas those older were more likely to receive anticonvulsants and miscellaneous psychotropics. Men were more likely to receive antipsychotics. All medication classes were less likely administered to Asians and more likely administered to those with more severe functional impairment. Use of anticonvulsants was associated with having seizures at some point during acute care or rehabilitation stays. Narcotic analgesics were more likely for those with history of drug abuse, history of anxiety and depression (premorbid or during acute care), and severe pain during rehabilitation. Psychotropic medication administration increased rather than decreased during the course of inpatient rehabilitation in each of the medication categories except for narcotics. This observation was also true for medication administration within admission functional levels (defined by cognitive FIM scores), except for those with higher admission FIM cognitive scores.

Conclusions: Many psychotropic medications are used during inpatient rehabilitation. In general, lower admission FIM cognitive score groups were administered more of the medications under investigation compared with those with higher cognitive function at admission. Considerable site variation existed regarding medications administered. The current investigation provides baseline data for future studies of effectiveness. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2015;96(8 Suppl 3):S256-73

© 2015 by the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine

Supported by the National Institutes of Health, National Center for Medical Rehabilitation Research (grant no. 1R01HD050439-01); National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (grant no. H133A080023); and Ontario Neurotrauma Foundation (grant no. 2007-ABI-ISIS-525).

Publication of this article was supported by the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine. Disclosures: none.

Individuals with traumatic brain injury (TBI) frequently present to acute inpatient rehabilitation facilities with pain, hypoarousal, sleep dysregulation, behavioral dysregulation, spasticity, confusion, slowed cognitive processing, impaired memory, and affective disorders prompting prescription of multiple psychotropic

medications.¹ Some of these medications are aimed at controlling behaviors to prevent harm and allow safer and more effective management of the patient (eg, use of stimulants, benzodiazepine, and antipsychotic agents to control agitation). Other medication uses are aimed at preventing comorbidities (eg, seizures), and some are aimed at enhancing function (eg, sleep medications, stimulants, antiparkinson agents).²

On admission and throughout the rehabilitation stay, the rehabilitation physician typically reviews prescribed medications to continually reassess the patient's needs. This includes discontinuing medications that no longer appear necessary or may cause an adverse response and adding other agents as deemed necessary. There is sparse literature to guide such clinical decision-making, and there are no medications that are currently approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of TBI. Additionally, the small body of published research is commonly limited by scientific rigor, such as lack of controlled trials, nonblinded prescribers, lack of information regarding injury, limited information on relevant data (eg, severity of injury, time of injury to treatment), mixed brain injury samples, and small sample sizes. Evidence of medication benefit and safety is usually extrapolated from therapeutic trials targeting common post-TBI conditions that also occur in other patient populations. An example would be the use of antipsychotic agents studied in patient populations other than brain injury and settings other than acute inpatient rehabilitation. There is a small but growing literature body regarding which pharmacologic agents may be helpful in the acute rehabilitation setting for persons who sustain TBI. For example, a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of 184 patients with TBI in rehabilitation in a vegetative state or minimally conscious state showed that amantadine was more effective than placebo in accelerating the rate of functional recovery.³

Various agents commonly used to manage the effects of TBI may cause adverse effects on health, function, and treatment efficiency. For example, a retrospective review of 182 consecutive patients with moderate-to-severe TBI revealed commonly prescribed neuroleptics were associated with 7 days longer of posttraumatic amnesia (PTA). In a study of individuals with TBI undergoing residential treatment, polypharmacy and use of anticholinergic medications were associated with an increased risk of falls. In

The degree to which psychotropic medications are used early after TBI during the course of inpatient rehabilitation is unknown. Use of psychotropic medications late after TBI was evaluated in a retrospective cohort study of 306 moderate-to-severe TBI survivors who had all been discharged from a TBI rehabilitation unit and were tracked up to 24 years postinjury. This study found that at follow-up, 58.9% were currently prescribed at least 1 medication. On average, persons with TBI were prescribed 2.64 ± 2.14 medications (range, 1-12). The most prescribed medication types

List of abbreviations:

AChEI acetylcholinesterase inhibitor

CSI Comprehensive Severity Index

PRN as the occasion arises (as needed)

PTA posttraumatic amnesia

RLOS rehabilitation length of stay

SARI serotonin antagonist and reuptake inhibitor

TBI traumatic brain injury

TBI-PBE Traumatic Brain Injury-Practice Based Evidence

were anticonvulsants (25.8%), followed by antidepressants (8.2%), analgesics (8.2%), and anxiolytics (5.9%). ¹²

Because of a lack of evidence on medication effects in patients with TBI, medication management during acute rehabilitation is driven largely by a patient's clinical presentation and physician subjective experience or preferences. Consequently, highly variable prescribing practices exist.^{2,13} There is significant need to study physicians' medication administration patterns during acute TBI rehabilitation. Medication pattern data could then be used as the basis for future research. Specifically, such data could help identify commonly used types of medicine that would benefit from effectiveness analyses, inform research design (including sample size determination), and identify the degree to which sociodemographics, injury severity, and other potential confounds (eg, time from injury to rehabilitation, medical comorbidities, function, insomnia, agitation) would need to be addressed.

The Traumatic Brain Injury—Practice Based Evidence (TBI-PBE) project provides a unique opportunity to describe patterns of psychotropic medication administration at specialized inpatient brain injury rehabilitation units in the United States and Canada, including the medication agents prescribed, if medications were prescribed as the occasion arises (as needed) (PRN) or as scheduled, and timing of medication initiation and discontinuation across the course of rehabilitation. The TBI-PBE data also allow for evaluation of the relation between medication prescription and patient demographic, injury, medical, and function.

Methods

Study design, study sites, and participants

The TBI-PBE Project is a 5-year, multicenter investigation of the TBI inpatient rehabilitation process. ¹⁴ A total of 2130 patients who received acute inpatient rehabilitation were enrolled in the project and used for the current study. The project sites included 10 inpatient rehabilitation facilities: 9 in the United States and 1 in Canada. The study was approved by the local institutional review board at each study site. Inclusion criteria included the following: participant age of \geq 14 years, informed consent from participant or their parent/guardian, and admission to the facility's brain injury unit for initial rehabilitation after TBI.

Variables and data collection

Collection and classification of medications

Medication data were collected either through manual chart abstraction or electronic data download, depending on the site and availability and dependability of electronic data. Only those medications actually administered were recorded. Medications ordered but not given for any reason were not recorded. As customary during inpatient rehabilitation, medications were administered and recorded by nursing staff. Also per routine practice, a rehabilitation physician wrote the admission medication orders within minutes to hours of the patient's arrival to the inpatient rehabilitation unit and performed history and physical examination within 24 hours.

Common drug classification schemes vary, based on factors such as the chemical type of the active ingredient (eg, benzodiazepines), presumed mechanisms of action (eg, serotonin reuptake inhibitor), or clinical indications for use (eg, antidepressant). Medications were grouped primarily by common clinical usage/purpose and then by general mechanism of action. We also were aware that many drugs could be classified into >1 class (eg, divalproex sodium could be classified as an anticonvulsant and a mood stabilizer). For the purpose of this study, medications were classified in only 1 category. The classification scheme is outlined in table 1. Patients may have been administered medications from multiple classes or >1 agent within a class, simultaneously or successively.

The medications studied included the following: anxiolytic agents, anticonvulsants, antidepressants, antiparkinson agents, stimulants, antipsychotics, hypnotics, miscellaneous psychotropics, and narcotic analgesics. These agents were selected among the many medications because of the need to focus the study, commonality of use in acute brain injury care, and the agent's use specifically for their central-acting property. Other psychotropic agents exist that were not studied (eg, some centrally acting antihypertensives, gastrointestinal agents).

Descriptive variables

The variables for this study were chosen by the study investigators and clinicians at the onset of the project based on their clinical impressions and literature review of factors relevant to brain injury care and outcome. These data were obtained through medical record abstraction and interview with the study participants and their close others (proxy). Variables were chosen to represent patient characteristics prior to injury, postinjury before admission to rehabilitation, and during inpatient rehabilitation.

Premorbid variables

Premorbid variables studied for association with medication use included age (both continuous and categorical), sex, race, history of psychosis/schizophrenia/bipolar disorder, and history of alcohol or drug abuse.

Patient injury and medical data

Patient injury and medical data were abstracted from patient medical records by trained data collectors. Several variables were used to describe injury severity, including postresuscitation Glasgow Coma Scale score in the emergency department, duration of PTA, and time from injury to rehabilitation admission. Any mention of presence of depression or anxiety in the medical record during acute care or at rehabilitation admission was recorded representing problems in this area premorbidly or during acute care. The extent and severity of medical illness during the rehabilitation stay was captured using the maximum Comprehensive Severity Index (CSI) score. The CSI is derived by scoring the extent of deviation from normative physiological status for each medical complication and comorbidity present, with a higher CSI score denoting greater medical severity. 15 A brain injury CSI subscore was used to establish the severity of central nervous system illness, whereas a nonbrain injury CSI subscore established severity of illness of all other injuries, existing chronic disorders, complications, and comorbidities. The CSI score used for this study represented the maximum CSI score for the entire course of rehabilitation. 14 Functional status and need for assistance were measured at rehabilitation admission by the FIM. The FIM cognitive and motor scale scores were Rasch-transformed to a ratio scale using scores from 0 to $100.^{14,16}$

Rehabilitation variables

Rehabilitation variables included the following: presence of seizures at any point up to rehabilitation discharge (premorbid, during acute care, during rehabilitation); percentage of rehabilitation days with <5 hours of sleep between the hours of 9 PM and 6 AM; percentage of rehabilitation stay agitated (defined as 6 shifts with Agitated Behavior Scale scores >21 out of twelve 4-hour shifts)¹⁷; and average level of effort over the stay for physical therapy, occupational therapy, and speech therapy, combined.¹⁸ Severity of pain was operationalized as percentage of the rehabilitation stay with a patient-reported pain score of ≥ 7 (out of a possible score of 10, which was the worst pain).¹⁹

Data processing and analysis

Description of medication administration during course of rehabilitation

Percentages were used to portray the frequency of psychotropic medication administration for each pharmaceutical class during rehabilitation.

Comparison by cognitive function at rehabilitation admission

Five relatively homogenous subgroups were created based on admission FIM cognitive scores to stratify the impact of patients' cognitive impairments on outcomes and facilitate between-group comparisons of medications administered.¹⁴ The admission FIM cognitive score categories used were <6, 7 to 10, 11 to 15, 16 to 20, and >21.

Factors related to medications administered

Data were analyzed to determine patient characteristics that may differentiate whether medications in each pharmaceutical class were either administered or not administered. Medication administration patterns were also compared across treatment sites (details will be subsequently discussed). Categorical variables with >2 categories (eg, site, age, race/ethnicity) were evaluated using the chi-square test; categorical variables with 2 categories (eg, sex) were evaluated with the Fisher exact test. Continuous variables (eg, brain injury and nonbrain injury CSI) were evaluated using the independent samples t test. To minimize type I error, only differences reaching an α level of P<.001 were considered significant. Correction for multiple comparisons was not performed because of the exploratory nature of this descriptive article.

Calculation of rehabilitation weeks

To study the timing of medication initiation and discontinuation across the course of rehabilitation we depicted medication administration by week of stay in rehabilitation. All patients with a rehabilitation length of stay (RLOS) of ≤ 8 days were considered to have only 1 admission week. All others have an admission (week 1) and discharge week, at a minimum. Patients with an RLOS of 9 to 15 days have a 2-week stay; patients with an RLOS of 16 and 17 days have a 3-week stay (with the admission week comprised of only 6d). All patients with an RLOS ≥ 18 days were classified as follows: 18 to 22 days was a 3-week stay, 23 to 29 was a 4-week stay, 30 to 36 days was a 5-week stay, and so forth. There were no weeks <4 days, and none were >8 weeks. For RLOS with remainders of 1 when divided by 7 (eg, 22, 29), the extra day was added to the discharge week to create an 8-day week.

		Total No. of Patients Receiving
Major Drug Class and General Mechanism	Pharmacological Agents Received*	Agent [†]
Anxiolytic		
GABA-A agonist	Lorazepam (478; 68), clonazepam (85; 12), alprazolam (67; 10), diazepam (66; 9), chlordiazepoxide (5; $<$ 1)	701
H-1 receptor antagonist	Hydroxyzine (21; 100)	21
Other	Buspirone (151; 100)	151
Anticonvulsant		70/
CARA A aganist	Levetiracetam (440; 61), gabapentin (219; 30), pregabalin (65; 9)	724
GABA-A agonist Sodium channel antagonist	Tiagabine (4; 100) Valproic acid (239; 39), phenytoin (229; 37), carbamazepine (56; 9), topiramate (38; 6), lamotrigine (23; 4), oxcarbazepine (13; 2), fosphenytoin (12; 2) primidone (3; <1), zonisamide (2; <1)	4 612
Other Other	Lacosamide (3; 100)	3
Antidepressant		
Norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitor	Bupropion (30; 100)	30
NaSSA	Mirtazapine (70; 100)	70
SARI	Trazodone (1124; 100)	1124
Serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor	Duloxetine (54; 52), venlafaxine (45; 44), milnacipran (4; 4)	103
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor	Paroxetine (44; 8), fluoxetine (37; 6)	81
TCA—secondary amine	Nortriptyline (34; 92), desipramine (3; 8)	37
TCA—tertiary amine	Amitriptyline (62; 95), doxepin (3; 5)	65
Antiparkinson		
Catechol-O-methyltransferase inhibitor	Entacapone (1; 100)	1
Dopamine agonist	Bromocriptine (190; 95), pramipexole (7; 3), ropinirole (4; 2)	201
Monoamine oxidase inhibitor	Benzatropine (15; 79), rasagiline (2; 11), selegiline (2; 11)	19
NMDA antagonist	Amantadine (361; 100)	361
Other Stimulant	Carbidopa plus levodopa (28; 88), levodopa (4; 13)	32
Norepinephrine agonist	Atomoxetine (56; 100)	56
Norepinephrine-dopamine-5HT agonist	Sulfate plus dextroamphetamine saccharate plus dextroamphetamine sulfate (24; 5), amphetamine plus dextroamphetamine (6; 1), dextroamphetamine (3; <1)	490
Other	Modafinil (117; 96), armodafinil (6; 4)	123
Antipsychotic		
First generation/typical	Haloperidol (36; 66%), prochlorperazine (13; 24%), chlorpromazine (6; 11%)	55
Second generation/atypical	Quetiapine (307; 48), risperidone (119; 19), olanzapine (93; 15), ziprasidone (92; 14), aripiprazole (25; 4), paliperidone (1; <1)	637
Hypnotic		
Benzodiazepine GABA-A agonist	Temazepam (63; 62), midazolam (38; 38)	101
Nonbenzodiazepine GABA-A agonist	Zolpidem (482; 88), eszopiclone (62; 11), zaleplon (3; <1)	547
Melatonin agonist	Ramelton (13; 100)	13
Other	Chloral hydrate (36; 57), propofol (26; 41), phenobarbital (1; 2)	63
Narcotic analgesic	0 (00, 07) ADAD (000, 00) (007, 0)	0007
Narcotic	Oxycodone (864; 37), APAP plus hydrocodone (688; 30), morphine (205; 9), fentanyl (145; 6), tramadol (142; 6), hydromorphone (85; 4), propoxyphene N plus APAP (84; 4), codeine (48; 2), methadone (44; 2), APAP plus codeine (14; <1), meperidine (4; <1), buprenorphine (4; <1), propoxyphene N (4; <1)	2234
Miscellaneous psychotropic		
AChEI	Donepezil (178; 95), rivastigmine (6; 3), physostigmine salicylate (3; 2)	187
NMDA antagonist	Memantine (29; 100)	29
Other Other	Nicotine (204; 98), interferon beta-1a (2; $<$ 1), glatiramer acetate (1; $<$ 1), varenicline (1; $<$ 1)	208

Abbreviations: APAP, acetaminophen; GABA-A, gamma-aminobutyric acid-A; NaSSA, noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressant; NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate; TCA, tricyclic antidepressant.

^{*} Values are (number of patients who received agent among sample of 2130 with medication data; % of patients who received the agent among the other agents in that mechanism within that classification).

[†] Patients may receive >1 agent within a mechanism.

Pharmaceutical Category	FIM Cognitive Score at Admission	n*	% Ever Received		% Received Last 2d	% Received First and Last 2d	% Received ≥5d	Mean No. of Days (for Those Received)	Median No. of Days (for Those Received)	Mean % Stay (for Those Received)	Those	% Days PRN	% Days Scheduled	% Days Unknown PRN/ Scheduled
Anxiolytic	Overall	2130	33	19	19	11	23	17	12	56	58	37	53	10
	Adm FIM $\cos \leq 6$	339	48	24	26	14	31	21	18	50	50	40	45	14
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	374	44	23	26	12	32	19	14	53	52	37	55	7
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	31	18	18	11	22	17	13	60	67	36	57	7
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	28	20	17	13	20	13	9	62	73	33	56	11
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	20	13	12	7	14	12	10	60	65	39	50	11
Anticonvulsant	Overall	2130	47	35	39	28	43	23	17	81	100	6	76	19
	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	50	32	40	25	48	35	28	76	93	8	71	21
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	374	52	34	42	26	48	27	23	77	94	3	85	12
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	46	34	39	28	44	22	18	83	100	4	80	16
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	46	38	41	33	43	19	15	87	100	4	73	22
	Adm FIM cog >21	504		37	32	28	36	13	12	83	100	10	67	24
Antidepressant	0verall	2130	67	44	55	37	61	23	18	78	93	27	60	13
•	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	77	47	64	40	73	34	29	79	94	23	62	15
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	76	48	63	39	72	26	22	78	90	25	65	10
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495		46	54	38	60	21	19	80	95	30	57	13
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408		49	58	42	62	17	14	78	95	28	57	15
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	53	35	41	28	42	15	12	74	90	30	57	13
Antiparkinson	0verall	2130	25	11	20	8	23	25	21	73	83	3	83	14
•	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	53	24	40	17	49	30	26	70	79	4	84	12
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374		18	31	13	36	25	22	71	85	1	87	12
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495		8	19	7	20	24	19	78	86	2	82	15
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	15	4	12	4	13	16	14	71	75	5	72	23
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	6	4	6	3	5	14	14	80	100	5	73	23
Antipsychotic	Overall	2130		16	15	10	21	20	15	65	75	23	62	15
. ,	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	38	18	23	10	34	27	20	57	55	29	49	22
	Adm FIM coq 7—10	374	34	21	23	13	30	21	17	66	78	24	61	15
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495		21	18	13	23	18	15	71	89	18	74	8
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408		16	11	7	18	15	13	63	75	17	71	12
	Adm FIM cog >21	504		8	6	5	7	12	9	65	70	33	50	16
Hypnotic	Overall	2130		14	20	10	23	18	13	60	67	48	42	10
J	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339		14	23	9	29	24	21	57	58	34	51	16
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374		17	26	12	31	22	18	62	70	41	50	9
	Adm FIM cog 7 10	495		15	20	10	25	18	14	61	68	47	46	7
	Adm FIM cog 16—20	408		13	16	8	16	11	9	57	64	55	35	9
	Adm FIM cog 10 20		24	13	16	9	17	11	8	62	70	68	23	10

Pharmaceutical Category	FIM Cognitive Score at Admission	n*	% Ever Received		% Received Last 2d	% Received First and Last 2d	% Received ≥5d	Mean No. of Days (for Those Received)	Median No. of Days (for Those Received)	Mean % Stay (for Those Received)	Median % Stay (for Those Received)	-	% Days Scheduled	% Days Unknown PRN/ Scheduled
Narcotic analgesic	Overall	2130	72	55	45	36	59	16	13	65	77	63	26	11
	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	339	71	50	35	26	59	21	17	56	51	63	25	12
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	74	50	40	29	60	18	14	57	59	61	30	9
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	73	56	42	35	59	16	13	62	70	64	26	10
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	75	60	51	43	60	14	12	69	90	57	32	12
	Adm FIM $cog \ge 21$	504	69	59	52	46	58	14	11	78	100	69	19	12
Miscellaneous	Overall	2130	18	8	15	6	16	19	15	69	75	9	78	13
psychotropic	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	339	24	4	22	3	23	26	21	58	59	16	76	8
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	19	7	14	5	17	21	17	65	68	10	77	13
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	21	10	17	8	20	17	16	73	81	4	84	12
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	19	12	16	9	17	16	14	75	90	5	78	17
	Adm FIM $cog \ge 21$	504	10	7	7	6	8	13	9	72	98	14	69	18
Stimulant	Overall	2130	28	7	22	6	26	23	18	66	72	5	83	12
	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	339	57	16	41	12	54	29	27	67	75	4	79	17
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	44	10	36	9	43	25	22	68	78	3	89	8
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	25	5	20	4	23	19	16	63	64	5	84	11
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	15	5	12	4	13	13	11	65	67	9	78	14
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	8	2	7	2	6	11	9	64	64	10	79	10

Abbreviation: Adm FIM cog, admission FIM cognitive score.

* Ten patients were excluded because of missing admission FIM cognitive scores.

	FIM Cognitive Score	Received	Received							
Pharmaceutical Class	at Admission	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5	Week 6	Week 7	Week 8	Week 9
Sample size by week (n)*	0verall	2130	2008	1551	1065	707	482	339	215	153
	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	339	333	323	288	223	160	107	79	59
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	371	337	266	185	121	90	56	34
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	482	387	236	127	73	48	30	27
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	381	253	126	74	50	42	24	17
	Adm FIM $cog \ge 21$	504	432	242	140	91	71	47	23	16
Anxiolytic (%)	0verall	24	22	23	23	26	28	30	32	31
	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	31	30	29	30	30	29	30	33	27
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	30	27	27	26	30	35	40	41	38
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	24	23	21	20	24	29	29	30	37
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	23	19	19	19	19	20	24	21	29
	Adm FIM $cog \ge 21$	16	14	14	13	14	15	15	22	19
Anticonvulsant (%)	Overall	39	39	39	41	42	43	42	46	48
	Adm FIM $\cos \leq 6$	37	38	38	42	41	45	43	49	59
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	39	39	41	45	52	52	51	52	53
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	38	40	41	43	46	48	46	50	52
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	41	41	40	41	49	44	40	29	24
	Adm FIM $cog \ge 21$	39	34	31	23	12	13	13	22	19
Antidepressant (%)	0verall	56	59	62	65	66	67	66	67	69
	Adm FIM $\cos \leq 6$	59	65	65	68	71	72	71	63	73
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	61	65	69	68	71	72	74	70	62
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	58	59	62	67	61	63	65	73	78
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	60	63	64	64	68	60	52	63	59
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	44	47	47	48	51	54	53	65	63
Antiparkinson (%)	Overall	16	21	25	29	32	32	32	31	27
, ,	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	35	41	41	44	44	45	45	42	36
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	26	29	32	35	38	42	43	39	32
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	15	19	22	25	28	30	25	27	30
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	9	14	15	18	18	12	14	13	12
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	4	6	7	6	4	3	0	0	0
Antipsychotic (%)	0verall	20	19	19	20	21	22	23	24	29
, ,	Adm FIM cog ≤6	25	24	24	27	27	30	33	35	42
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	26	25	23	23	23	25	27	27	29
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	24	21	20	21	19	15	17	17	26
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	19	19	19	15	16	18	17	8	6
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	9	7	8	7	7	7	6	4	6
	<u> </u>								(continued o	n next page)

Pharmaceutical Class	FIM Cognitive Score at Admission	Received Week 1	Received Week 2	Received Week 3	Received Week 4	Received Week 5	Received Week 6	Received Week 7	Received Week 8	Received Week 9
Hypnotic (%)	Overall	21	22	24	26	26	26	25	25	27
	Adm FIM cog ≤6	21	24	23	26	26	25	22	25	25
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	25	26	29	32	30	34	33	34	38
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	23	23	26	31	32	36	35	33	33
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	18	18	19	18	18	12	10	0	6
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	20	18	18	16	14	14	15	13	19
Narcotic analgesic (%)	Overall	65	60	55	49	49	45	40	42	40
	Adm FIM cog ≤6	59	56	52	47	46	45	41	42	41
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	63	59	52	47	50	48	44	48	38
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	67	60	55	51	50	52	46	47	48
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	69	63	58	52	54	36	31	25	29
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	66	62	59	48	42	37	30	35	38
Miscellaneous psychotropic (%)	Overall	11	13	15	14	16	18	19	20	18
, , , , ,	Adm FIM cog ≤6	6	10	15	19	20	23	27	28	25
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	11	13	13	13	16	18	14	20	15
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	14	16	18	16	16	15	23	23	19
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	15	18	18	14	14	14	12	13	12
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	8	8	7	6	7	10	9	4	0
Stimulant (%)	Overall	15	21	27	33	38	39	38	35	34
	Adm FIM cog ≤6	30	42	46	51	56	57	57	52	49
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	25	33	38	43	44	51	49	45	38
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	13	18	21	28	33	34	35	30	37
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	9	13	13	11	14	6	5	0	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	4	6	7	7	5	4	4	0	0

Abbreviation: Adm FIM cog, admission FIM cognitive score.

* Ten patients were excluded because of missing admission FIM cognitive scores.

	Anxio	lytic	Anticor	ıvulsant	Antidep	ressant	Antiparl	kinson	Antipsy	chotic	Нурп	otic	Narco Analg		Miscella Psycho		Stimu	ılant
Variable	%*	Р	%*	Р	%*	Р	%*	Р	%*	Р	%*	Р	%*	Р	%*	Р	%*	Р
Age (continuous) (y)	42±19 [†] ; -3 [‡]	<.001	4±22 [†] ; 5 [‡]	<.001	44±20 [†] ; -3 [‡]	.008	42±20 [†] ; -3 [‡]	.004	41±19 [†] ; -4 [‡]	<.001	44±20 [†] ; −1 [‡]	.526	42±20 [†] ; -8 [‡]	<.001	47±20 [†] ; 4 [‡]	.001	40±20 [†] ; −6 [‡]	<.002
Age (category) (y)		<.001		<.001		<.001		.009		<.001		.018		<.001		<.001		<.00
<30y	32	NA	41	NA	67	NA	26	NA	27	NA	28	NA	76	NA	13	NA	33	NA
≥30—<45y	40	NA	44	NA	75	NA	30	NA	32	NA	35	NA	82	NA	22	NA	30	NA
≥45—<65y	37	NA	50	NA	69	NA	25	NA	26	NA	31	NA	74	NA	21	NA	25	NA
≥65—<75y	26	NA	57	NA	59	NA	21	NA	17	NA	31	NA	61	NA	16	NA	20	NA
≥75—<85y	19	NA	58	NA	61	NA	16	NA	20	NA	28	NA	53	NA	23	NA	19	NA
≥85y	15	NA	44	NA	46	NA	18	NA	6	NA	17	NA	39	NA	17	NA	14	NA
Sex		.959		.408		.235		.082		<.001		.314		.516		.077		.48
Female	33	NA	48	NA	65	NA	22	NA	20	NA	28	NA	73	NA	16	NA	26	NA
Male	33	NA	46	NA	68	NA	26	NA	28	NA	31	NA	72	NA	19	NA	28	NA
Race/ethnicity		<.001		.011		<.001		.014		.004		<.001		.01		.003		.01
Asian/other/unknown	19	NA	30	NA	47	NA	18	NA	19	NA	17	NA	58	NA	9	NA	16	NA
Black	27	NA	49	NA	58	NA	31	NA	23	NA	24	NA	71	NA	14	NA	27	NA
White Non-Hispanic	35	NA	47	NA	71	NA	24	NA	27	NA	32	NA	74	NA	20	NA	29	NA
White Hispanic	24	NA	47	NA	60	NA	19	NA	15	NA	23	NA	70	NA	15	NA	21	NA
History of drug abuse		.001	.,	.957		<.001		.664		<.001		.178	. •	<.001		<.001		.51
No	31	NA	47	NA	65	NA	25	NA	23	NA	29	NA	70	NA	16	NA	28	NA
Yes	39	NA	47	NA	74	NA	26	NA	35	NA	32	NA	81	NA	27	NA	26	NA
History of alcohol abuse	33	.06	.,	.526	, ,	<.001		.075	33	<.001	J.L	.03	01	.511	_,	<.001		.64
No	31	NA	47	NA	64	NA	26	NA	21	NA	31	NA	72	NA	14	NA	28	NA
Yes	35	NA	46	NA	72	NA	23	NA	33	NA	27	NA	73	NA	26	NA	27	NA
History of psychosis/bipolar	33	.049	40	.05	12	.908	23	.90	33	<.001	LI	.556	7.5	.185	20	.091	<i>L1</i>	<.00
disorder/schizophrenia																		
No	32	NA	46	NA	67	NA	25	NA	24	NA	30	NA	72	NA	18	NA	28	NA
Yes	43	NA	57	NA	66	NA	24	NA	55	NA	33	NA	79	NA	25	NA	12	NA
Depression prior to or during acute care		<.001		<.001		<.001		.441		.002		<.001		<.001		.385		.11
No	30	NA	44	NA	61	NA	24	NA	24	NA	27	NA	70	NA	17	NA	27	NA
Yes	39	NA	53	NA	82	NA	26	NA	30	NA	36	NA	79	NA	19	NA	30	NA
Anxiety prior to or during acute care		<.001		<.001		<.001		.448		<.001		.064		<.001		.355		.50
No	29	NA	45	NA	64	NA	25	NA	24	NA	29	NA	69	NA	18	NA	28	NA
Yes	50	NA	55	NA	80	NA	23	NA	33	NA	34	NA	86	NA	19	NA	26	NA
Postresuscitation Glasgow Coma Scale score		<.001	-	<.001		<.001		<.001		.036		.003		<.001		.238		<.00
Intubated/sedated	41	NA	47	NA	78	NA	31	NA	29	NA	39	NA	79	NA	22	NA	36	NA

	An	xiolytic	Antic	Anxiolytic Anticonvulsant		Antidepressant	Antipa	Antiparkinson	Antip	Antipsychotic	£	Hypnotic	A A	Narcotic Analgesic	Misce Psycl	Miscellaneous Psychotropic	Sti	Stimulant
Variable	*%	Ь	*%	Ь	*%	Ь	*%	Ь	*%	Ь	*%	Ь	*%	Ь	*%	Ь	*%	Ь
Mild (13—15)	29	N	95	NA	65	NA	14	NA	21	NA	26	NA	76	NA	18	NA	15	AN
Moderate (9-12)	27	NA	33	NA	94	NA	17	N	24	N	28	NA	9/	N	13	N	24	NA
Severe (3—8)	36	NA	40	N	71	N	31	Ν	59	NA	31	N	73	N A	17	N	36	NA
Missing	29	ΑN	22	NA	09	N	23	N	23	N	28	NA	29	N	18	NA	23	NA

NOTE. A negative value indicates that the characteristic is less common for those who received the medication class as opposed to those who did not receive the medication class (eg, -3 for age indicates

patients who received anxiolytics were on average 3 years younger than those who did not). Abbreviation: NA, not applicable.

The difference between those who received the specified medication class and those who did not receive the specified medication class. Values are percentage of patients with that characteristic who received the specified medication class or as otherwise indicated. presented as mean \pm SD for those patients with that characteristic who received the specified medication class.

Results

Study sample

Our sample of 2130 patients with TBI was 73% men, 74% white, 37% married, and 51% employed at the time of injury. Average age of the sample was 45 years. Cause of injury was most commonly vehicular collisions (56%), followed by falls or flying objects (32%), violence (7%), and sports (2%). Mean RLOS was $27{\pm}20$ days. The mean Rasch-transformed FIM motor score at admission was $33{\pm}19$, and the mean Rasch-transformed FIM cognitive score was $37{\pm}20$. The mean time from injury to rehabilitation admission was $29{\pm}34$ days. The first article in this supplement 14 further summarizes the demographic and injury characteristics for the sample.

Patterns of medication administration

Medication use by admission FIM cognitive categories

Medication use is summarized by admission FIM cognitive score subgroup in tables 2 and 3, based on time-variant factors. For all medication classes except anticonvulsants, use was less frequent among those in the highest FIM cognitive score subgroup than in the lower groups. Conversely, medication use was greater for those with worse cognitive function at the time of rehabilitation admission. Use was higher in the 2 lower FIM cognitive score groups than in the middle and higher functioning subgroups for antiparkinson agents, stimulants, and anxiolytics, whereas antipsychotic and miscellaneous psychotropics had the opposite pattern. In general, higher admission FIM cognitive scores had less antidepressant use. For example, antiparkinson agents were used for 35% and 26% of the patients in the 2 lowest FIM cognitive score subgroups, with frequency decreasing with higher admission cognitive function. Anticonvulsant use was higher for the 2 highest FIM cognitive score groups; however, use did not substantially vary across the 5 subgroups.

The most commonly prescribed agents were narcotic analgesics (72% of the sample), followed in decreasing frequency by antidepressants (67%), anticonvulsants (47%), antianxiety agents (33%), hypnotics (30%), stimulants (28%), antiparkinson agents (25%), antipsychotics (25%), and miscellaneous psychotropics (18%). Expanded detail on the frequency of specific medications at the level of general mechanism within each pharmaceutical class by admission FIM cognitive score category is available in supplemental tables S1 and S2 (available online only at http://www.archives-pmr.org/).

Anxiolytic agents

The percentage of patients administered anxiolytic medication remained roughly the same from admission to discharge for the overall sample and for all FIM cognitive score subgroups. Only 19% received an anxiolytic during the first 2 days, and 19% received an anxiolytic during the last 2 days, with 33% receiving this class at some point during the stay. The primary anxiolytic prescribed was benzodiazepine, with 29% of patients receiving it at some point during the rehabilitation stay: approximately half of individuals received it on a regular basis, and half received it on a PRN basis. Lorazepam was the most common benzodiazepine prescribed, accounting for 68% of the benzodiazepine-based anxiolytics administered, followed by clonazepam (12%), and alprazolam (10%). H1 receptor antagonists (ie, hydroxyzine) were

S266 F.M. Hammond et al

	Anxioly	tic	Anticonvul	sant	Antidepres	ssant	Antiparkiı	nson
Variable	%*	Р	%*	P	º/o*	Р	%*	Р
Seizure any time up to rehabilitation discharge		.023		<.001		.153		.621
No seizure	32	NA	41	NA	68	NA	25	NA
Yes, ≥1 seizure	38	NA	80	NA	63	NA	26	NA
Days from injury to	$35\pm42^{\dagger}; 8^{\ddagger}$	<.001	$28\pm32^{\dagger}; -3^{\ddagger}$.036	$31\pm37^{\dagger}; 7^{\ddagger}$	<.001	$37\pm40^{\dagger};\ 10^{\ddagger}$	<.001
rehabilitation admission Admission FIM cognitive category		<.001		.031		<.001		<.001
Score <6	48	NA	50	NA	77	NA	53	NA
Score 7—10	44	NA	52	NA	76	NA	40	NA
Score 11–15	31	NA	46	NA	66	NA	21	NA
Score 16—20	28	NA	46	NA	69	NA	15	NA
Score >21	20	NA	41	NA	53	NA	6	NA
Admission FIM motor category	20	<.001	71	.167	33	<.001	Ü	<.001
Score <22.05	44	NA	50	NA	77	NA	43	NA
Score 22.05-28.75	30	NA	46	NA	58	NA	21	NA
Score 28.75-40.65	31	NA	46	NA	70	NA	16	NA
Score 40.65-44.25	23	NA	46	NA	67	NA	12	NA
Score 44.25-53.36	24	NA	46	NA	66	NA	14	NA
Score >53.36	21	NA	41	NA	51	NA	9	NA
% of days with <5h sleep	$36\pm23^{\dagger}; 8^{\ddagger}$	<.001	33±23 [†] ; 3 [‡]	<.001	32±22 [†] ; 2 [‡]	.079	34±22 [†] ; 5 [‡]	<.001
Average therapy level of effort	$4\pm1^{\dagger}; -0.4^{\ddagger}$	<.001	$4\pm1^{\dagger}; -0.2^{\ddagger}$	<.001	$4\pm1^{\dagger}; -0.3^{\ddagger}$	<.001	$4\pm1^{\dagger}; -0.8^{\ddagger}$	<.001
Maximum CSI brain injury component	59±25 [†] ; 16 [‡]	<.001	50±25 [†] ; 4 [‡]	<.001	52±25 [†] ; 12 [‡]	<.001	$67\pm23^{\dagger};\ 24^{\ddagger}$	<.001
Maximum CSI nonbrain injury component	$30\pm24^{\dagger}; 7^{\ddagger}$	<.001	26±22 [†] ; 3 [‡]	<.001	27±21 [†] ; 6 [‡]	<.001	30±23 [†] ; 6 [‡]	<.001
PTA duration (d)	49±54 [†] ; 18 [‡]	<.001	40±46 [†] ; 4 [‡]	.045	42±47 [†] ; 14 [‡]	<.001	$61\pm54^{\dagger};\ 32^{\ddagger}$	<.001
Time of PTA clearing	,	<.001	,	.151	,	<.001		<.001
Cleared PTA prior to rehabilitation admission	26	NA	47	NA	64	NA	11	NA
Cleared PTA on rehabilitation admission day	14	NA	40	NA	44	NA	12	NA
Cleared PTA after rehabilitation admission day	39	NA	50	NA	72	NA	36	NA
% of days with pain score >7	20±26 [†] ; 4 [‡]	<.001	20±27 [†] ; 5 [‡]	<.001	19±26 [†] ; 7 [‡]	<.001	$12\pm20^{\dagger}; -7^{\ddagger}$	<.001
% of rehabilitation stay agitated	16±25 [†] ; 10 [‡]	<.001	11±22 [†] ; 5 [‡]	<.001	11±21 [†] ; 5 [‡]	<.001	13±22 [†] ; 5 [‡]	<.001

NOTE. A negative value indicates that the characteristic is less common for those who received the medication class as opposed to those who did not receive the medication class (eg, 8 for days injury to rehabilitation admission indicates that the patient who received anxiolytics had on average 8 days longer from injury to rehabilitation admission than those who did not). Abbreviation: NA, not applicable.

- * Values are percentage of patients with that characteristic who received the specified medication class or as otherwise indicated.
- † Values are mean \pm SD for those patients with that characteristic who received the specified medication class.
- [‡] The difference between those who received the specified medication class and those who did not receive the specified medication class.

rarely used and were prescribed PRN more often than scheduled. Of the entire sample, 7% of patients received buspirone, which was predominately prescribed on a scheduled basis, with usage increasing over the RLOS.

Anticonvulsant agents

Nearly half (47%) of patients received an anticonvulsant at some point during their rehabilitation stay, with 35% receiving 1 during the first 2 days, 39% receiving 1 during the last 2

Antipsych	iotic	Hypnot	ic	Narcotic Ana	llgesic	Miscellane Psychotro		Stimula	nt
	P	%*	P	%*	P	%*	P	%*	Р
_	.208		.463	_	<.001		.011		.78
26	NA	30	NA	74	NA	17	NA	28	NA
23 29±32 [†] ; 0 [‡]	NA .998	28 $31\pm30^{\dagger};\ 2^{\ddagger}$	NA .159	64 $28\pm33^{\dagger}; -6^{\ddagger}$	NA .001	23 $29\pm33^{\dagger}; -0^{\ddagger}$	NA .937	28 $37\pm43^{\dagger};\ 10^{\ddagger}$	NA <.00
	<.001		<.001		.204		<.001	5 ,	<.00
38	NA	36	NA	71	NA	24	NA	57	NA
34	NA	37	NA	74	NA	19	NA	44	NA
28	NA	31	NA	73	NA	21	NA	25	NA
22	NA	25	NA	75 75	NA	19	NA	15	NA
10	NA	24	NA	69	NA	10	NA	8	NA
	<.001	24	<.001	03	<.001	10	.604	O	<.00
29	NA	37	NA	77	NA	19	NA	45	NA
30	NA	28	NA	72	NA	16	NA	27	NA
5	NA	27	NA	77	NA	19	NA	18	N/
23	NA	26	NA	79	NA	14	NA	14	NA
26	NA	27	NA	68	NA	17	NA	16	NA
17	NA	23	NA	59	NA	17	NA	14	NA
33±22 [†] ; 3 [‡]	.005	$36\pm23^{\dagger};~8^{\ddagger}$	<.001	33±23 [†] ; 7 [‡]	<.001	31±23 [†] ; 0 [‡]	.936	$31\pm20^{\dagger}; -0^{\ddagger}$.9
$4\pm 1^{\dagger}; -0.4^{\ddagger}$	<.001	$4\pm1^{\dagger}; -0.2^{\ddagger}$	<.001	$4\pm1^{\dagger};~0.0^{\ddagger}$.618	$4\pm1^{\dagger}; -0.3^{\ddagger}$	<.001	$4\pm1^{\dagger}; -0.6^{\ddagger}$	<.0
58±22 [†] ; 13 [‡]	<.001	55±25 [†] ; 10 [‡]	<.001	50±25 [†] ; 5 [‡]	<.001	55±24 [†] ; 8 [‡]	<.001	$66\pm23^{\dagger};\ 25^{\ddagger}$	<.00
30±23 [†] ; 7 [‡]	<.001	$30\pm23^{\dagger}; 7^{\ddagger}$	<.001	27±22 [†] ; 9 [‡]	<.001	$24\pm19^{\dagger};-1^{\ddagger}$.578	$28{\pm}21^{\dagger}; 5^{\ddagger}$	<.00
45±47 [†] ; 10 [‡]	<.001	44±45 [†] ; 9 [‡]	<.001	$37\pm42^{\dagger}; -2^{\ddagger}$.324	43±44 [†] ; 7 [‡]	.006	60±54 [†] ; 31 [‡]	<.00
	<.001		<.001		.007		<.001		<.00
17	NA	28	NA	78	NA	14	NA	12	NA
9	NA	9	NA	88	NA	14	NA	9	NA
32	NA	33	NA	73	NA	21	NA	40	NA
18±24 [†] ; 1 [‡]	.528	19±26 [†] ; 3 [‡]	.007	23±27 [†] ; 20 [†]	<.001	19±27 [†] ; 2 [‡]	.117	$11\pm18^{\dagger}; -8^{\ddagger}$	<.00
20±27 [†] ; 15 [‡]	<.001	13±24 [†] ; 6 [‡]	<.001	$9\pm20^{\dagger};~2^{\dagger}$.04	14±24 [†] ; 6 [‡]	<.001	13±23 [†] ; 6 [‡]	<.00

days, and 28% receiving 1 during both intervals. The most commonly used anticonvulsants were the calcium channel and sodium channel antagonists. The most common calcium channel antagonist used was levetiracetam (61% of agents in

this class administered to 21% of the sample); the most common sodium channel antagonists used were valproic acid (39% of agents in this class), phenytoin (37%), and carbamazepine (9%).

S268 F.M. Hammond et al

Antidepressant agents

Two thirds of the patients (67%) received an antidepressant at some point during their rehabilitation stay: 44% during the first 2 days, 55% the last 2 days, and 37% during both intervals. The most commonly used antidepressants were serotonin antagonist and reuptake inhibitors (SARIs) (ie, trazodone) and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (ie, citalopram, escitalopram, fluoxetine, paroxetine, sertraline); only a minority of patients received tricyclic antidepressants (ie, desipramine, nortriptyline, amitriptyline, doxepin), norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitors (ie, bupropion), noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressants (ie, mirtazapine), and serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (ie, duloxetine, venlafaxine, and milnacipran). Antidepressants were generally prescribed as scheduled with only occasional PRN use, with the exception of SARI (ie, trazodone), which was used in both manners, consistent the common practice of prescribing this agent for insomnia.

Antiparkinson agents

Antiparkinson agents were administered to only 25% of the patients at some point during rehabilitation, with use substantially increasing over the stay, from 11% receiving this class of medication during the first 2 days to 20% receiving this class during the last 2 days. The most commonly used antiparkinson medication was an N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonist (ie, amantadine), administered to 17% of the sample, followed by a dopamine agonist (ie, bromocriptine, pramipexole, ropinirole). These agents were generally administered on a scheduled basis with rare PRN use. Bromocriptine accounted for 95% of the dopamine agonists administered.

Stimulant agents

Stimulants were administered to only 28% of the sample. Similar to the antiparkinson and miscellaneous therapeutic agents, stimulants were predominately started after admission. Use of stimulants increased over the course of the stay, and these agents were commonly used among those with long RLOSs. Patterns of administration appear consistent within the various agents contained in the stimulant class. The most commonly used were the norepinephrine-dopamine-5HT agonists (ie, agents containing amphetamine, dextroamphetamine, or methylphenidate), which were used by 23% of the sample. Less commonly used were armodafinil/modafinil and the norepinephrine agonist atomoxetine. The stimulant agents were generally used on a scheduled basis.

Antipsychotic agents

Antipsychotic agents were received by a quarter of the sample at some point during their stay. The overall percentage of use did not increase during the stay, with 16% receiving it in the first 2 days, 15% receiving it in the last 2 days, and 10% receiving it during both intervals. Second-generation antipsychotics were administered more frequently (24% of the sample) than first-generation ones (3%). Second-generation medications were most commonly received as scheduled but were also used PRN. On the other hand, first-generation antipsychotics were more often administered PRN than scheduled. Those with longer RLOSs had slightly higher usage. Of the second-generation antipsychotics administered, quetiapine accounted for 48%, followed by risperidone (19%), olanzapine (15%), and ziprasidone (14%).

Hypnotic agents

Hypnotic agents were administered to 30% of the sample. Use increased slightly from admission to later in the stay and was

particularly common for those with longer RLOSs. Most commonly prescribed in this class were nonbenzodiazepine gamma-aminobutyric acid-A agonists (ie, zolpidem [88% of the class], eszopiclone [11%], zaleplon [<1%]), followed by occasional (5%) use of benzodiazepine gamma-aminobutyric acid-A agonists (ie, temazepam [62% of the class], midazolam [38% of the class]), and 3% use of other hypnotics (ie, chloral hydrate, propofol, phenobarbital). Melatonin agonists were rarely used. In general, hypnotics were slightly more likely to be used PRN than scheduled.

Miscellaneous psychotropic agents

Miscellaneous psychotropics were used relatively less often than other agents, with 18% of patients receiving 1 of these agents at some point during their rehabilitation stay. They were most commonly initiated later in the stay and more frequently administered to those with longer RLOSs. The most commonly prescribed in this class were acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (AChEIs) (ie, donepezil, physostigmine, rivastigmine) at 9% and others (ie, glatiramer acetate, interferon beta-1a, nicotine, varenicline) at 9%. The AChEIs were generally prescribed after rehabilitation admission and later in the stay. Use was greatest in the later weeks of the rehabilitation stay and for those with longer RLOSs. On the other hand, administration of the other psychotherapeutics was greatest during the first 2 days of rehabilitation, with decreased use over the remaining stay. For those with longer RLOSs, these agents were used less over time. These findings are largely accounted for by the prescription of nicotine or nicotine patch, which accounted for 98% of the use in the other category. This class of medications was most commonly administered as scheduled with occasional PRN use. The AChEIs were used PRN for 17% of the patients receiving this agent.

Narcotic analgesics

Most patients received narcotics during their rehabilitation stay (72% overall), with a high use across FIM categories, even among those with lower levels of function. Most of the use occurred at admission (55% of the sample during the first 2 days of rehabilitation), with decreased use occurring over the rehabilitation stay: 45% of the sample received narcotic analgesics during the last 2 days of rehabilitation. Narcotics were consumed for an average of 16 days, accounting for a mean 65% of the RLOS administered. Narcotics were received as both scheduled and PRN. PRN administration was used as commonly in the lower functioning group who are expected to have impaired communication as in the higher functioning groups. Scheduled use occurred across functional groups with less scheduled narcotic administration in the highest functioning group.

Relation of patient factors and medication administration

Table 4 shows the relation between receiving a medication from a psychotropic pharmaceutical class at any time during rehabilitation and preinjury characteristics and injury-related variables. Age was highly associated with receiving most medications, with the exception being hypnotics. In general, younger patients were more likely to receive anxiolytics, antidepressants, antiparkinsons, stimulants, antipsychotics, and narcotic analgesics. In contrast, older patients were more likely to receive

anticonvulsants and miscellaneous psychotropics. Men were more likely to receive antipsychotics. History of psychosis, bipolar disorder, or schizophrenia was also associated with being more likely to receive an antipsychotic, but it was unrelated to receiving other classes of medications. Anxiolytics, antidepressants, and hypnotics were less likely to be used in minority populations. Anxiolytics, anticonvulsants, antidepressants, and narcotic analgesics were more likely to be used when there was a history of depression or anxiety (premorbid history or during acute care). Antidepressants, antipsychotics, and psychotropics were more likely to be used when a patient had a prior history of substance abuse.

In contrast with table 4, table 5 shows the relation between having ever been administered a medication and patient characteristics during the rehabilitation stay. Multiple indices of more severe impairment (percentage of stay agitated, effort given in therapies, severity of brain impairment, severity of nonbrain comorbidities, length of PTA) were related to increased drug administration in nearly all categories. Other indices indicative of greater difficulties during rehabilitation (ie, percentage of days in pain, percentage of days with <5h of sleep) were related to increased medication administration, with the exceptions of antipsychotics and psychotropics. Having seizures during rehabilitation increased the likelihood of administration of anticonvulsants and narcotic analgesics.

Psychotropic medication exposure summary and concurrent use

Table 6 depicts the percentage of patients receiving specific quantities of psychotropic medications during rehabilitation, overall, and by admission cognitive category. Only 5% of the patients were never administered psychotropic medications during their rehabilitation stay, whereas 8.5% were prescribed only 1 of the psychotropic medications; 31.8% were prescribed ≥6 of these agents at some point during their stay. These results could occur if all 6 were prescribed simultaneously or sequentially (1 after the other) while the physician was searching for an effective drug. It is more likely that some were given at the same time, with some dropping off and others being added. During the first 2 days of rehabilitation, 5.5% of patients were on at least 6 psychotropic medications, whereas 13.5% were on at least 6 of these medications during the last 2 rehabilitation days. In general, those in the lower admission FIM cognitive score categories received a greater number of psychotropic medications (3-8 agents) than those in the higher FIM cognitive score categories, in which most received 0 to 5 agents.

Medication administration across sites

Medication administration patterns varied greatly across treatment sites as summarized in table 7. Sites with high antipsychotic use had lower use of anxiolytics and vice versa. Sites with high antiparkinson administration had less antipsychotic use and vice versa. For anticonvulsant use, most sites were similar except 1 site where 80% of patients received an anticonvulsant agent during their rehabilitation stay. With a range of 7% to 31%, miscellaneous psychotropic agents were used relatively infrequently at some sites. Antidepressant use was uncommon at 1 site (27%), with use ranging from 46% to 91% across the other sites. The site with the highest use of antidepressants had a practice pattern of using the antidepressants

SARIs and tertiary amine tricyclic antidepressants as their first-line treatment of insomnia. Across sites, antiparkinson agent use ranged from 1% to 57% and stimulants use ranged from 5% to 50%.

Discussion

This large sample, multicenter study documents the extent to which psychotropic medications are administered to treat patients with TBI during inpatient rehabilitation. In 9 broad categories of medications, the percentage of overall use varied from 18% to 72%, with a mean of 42% (see table 2, % ever received). Of the participants, 31.8% were exposed to at least 6 of the psychotropic agents studied during rehabilitation (see table 6). These results suggest a strong culture of intervention, ²⁰ with the prevalent use of unproven medications to advance recovery in this group of facilities that specialize in brain injury management; an urgent need to control patient behavior; and/or a strong desire to stimulate recovery. We found considerable variation across sites. Marked variation in clinical practice is likely a reflection of the relative lack of high-quality research available in neuropharmacology post-TBI. With the absence of solid data, clinicians may base their treatment decisions on information gleaned from accepted treatments for other impairment groups with similar problems to treat issues such as agitation, headache, pain, insomnia, and sleep disorder. In the absence of better evidence, the prescriber is often reliant on their subjective clinical impressions, expert opinion, and a multitude of case studies and open-label case series reinforced by and overlying natural recovery.

In this study, univariate analyses indicated potential differences related to age and race in the percentage of patients prescribed varying classes of medications. The extent to which younger patients may be more likely to be administered anxiolytics, antidepressants, antiparkinsons/stimulants, antipsychotics, and narcotic analgesics requires further analysis that controls for injury severity and secondary conditions. Further testing for nonlinear relations between age and medication administration (ie, both very young and very old patients being less likely to be prescribed medications) is also warranted.²¹ Anxiolytics, antidepressants, antipsychotics, hypnotics, and antiparkinson agents were less likely to be used with ethnic minorities, particularly those of Asian and Hispanic descent. Given the relatively small number of Asian and Hispanic patients in this sample, further investigation is warranted to evaluate the extent that injury severity and secondary conditions versus unmeasured factors (eg, differential cultural preferences, site differences in ethnicity and prescribing preferences) are related to medication use.

This study did not capture information about the primary symptom(s) that physicians targeted for each medication prescribed. Tables 4 and 5 indirectly provide insight into the potential variability in symptoms associated with the pharmaceutical classes of medication administered. For example, 29% of those who received anxiolytics did not have anxiety mentioned in their medical record (as having been present premorbidly, during acute care, or at the time of rehabilitation admission), suggesting that many may be treated with this class of medication for other reasons (eg, agitation, insomnia). Similarly, 61% of those who received antidepressants did not have mention of depression present premorbidly or during acute care, suggesting that pain, sleep disorders, and/or behavior are being treated by commonly

No. of		0verall		Adı	m FIM Cog	≤6	Adm	FIM Cog	7—10	Adm	FIM Cog 1	1-15	Adm	FIM Cog 1	6-20	Adn	n FIM Cog	≥21
Psychoactive Medications Received	% Ever Received	% Received First 2d	% Received Last 2d		% Received First 2d	% Received Last 2d	% Ever Received	% Received First 2d	% Received Last 2d	% Ever Received		% Received Last 2d	% Ever Received	% Received First 2d	% Received Last 2d	% Ever Received		% Received Last 2d
0	5.0	13.0	12.7	2.4	13.9	7.7	2.4	11.8	9.4	4.4	11.5	14.1	4.2	9.6	10.8	10.1	17.3	18.7
1	8.5	21.9	17.3	3.2	15.6	10.3	4.5	20.6	10.7	7.5	23.0	15.2	8.6	22.1	19.9	16.3	25.6	27.4
2	12.6	23.4	17.4	5.9	24.2	15.9	7.0	21.1	14.7	10.7	21.6	16.6	15.7	25.2	19.1	20.4	25.0	19.8
3	14.6	17.5	17.0	9.7	18.0	16.2	11.2	18.2	16.8	17.4	19.4	19.0	16.2	18.6	17.6	16.3	13.9	15.5
4	14.3	12.0	13.9	10.9	14.2	16.2	12.6	11.0	17.4	15.8	14.5	14.1	17.2	10.5	14.2	14.3	10.1	9.1
5	12.9	6.6	8.4	14.7	6.2	10.6	13.9	9.6	10.4	13.5	6.1	8.5	14.2	7.4	8.1	9.5	4.4	5.2
6	10.4	3.5	6.0	11.2	5.0	11.2	15.5	5.3	7.0	13.1	1.8	7.1	8.8	3.9	3.4	4.4	2.4	2.8
7	7.3	1.4	3.8	12.4	1.8	6.8	11.0	1.3	7.2	5.5	1.4	2.2	4.7	1.7	3.7	5.0	1.0	0.8
8	4.9	0.5	1.5	8.8	0.9	2.9	7.2	0.8	2.7	4.4	0.2	0.8	3.9	0.5	1.0	2.0	0.4	0.8
9	3.5	0.1	1.1	6.5	0.3	1.2	4.5	0.3	1.6	2.2	0.0	1.6	3.7	0.2	1.0	1.6	0.0	0.0
10	2.2	0.0	0.3	4.1	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	1.1	1.8	0.2	0.4	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0
>10	3.5	0.0	0.4	10.0	0.0	0.9	5.7	0.0	1.0	3.6	0.2	0.4	1.6	0.2	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0

Abbreviation: Adm FIM Cog, admission FIM cognitive subscale.

	Anxiolytic	Anticonvulsant	Antidepressant	Antiparkinson	Antipsychotic	Hypnotic	Narcotic Analgesic	Miscellaneous Psychotropic	Stimulant
Variable	% Patients Received*	% Patients Received*							
Site 1	39	42	52	10	14	23	77	11	12
Site 2	50 [†]	42	91 [†]	57 [†]	18	49 [†]	87	23	50 [†]
Site 3	17 [‡]	44	50	4	62 [†]	13 [‡]	79	9	40
Site 4	20	46	64	16	13 [‡]	18	78	7 [‡]	5 [‡]
Site 5	21	60	64	25	24	21	58	37 [†]	40
Site 6	20	51	46	11	27	13 [‡]	48	8	28
Site 7	33	52	89	25	35	29	90 [†]	31	25
Site 8	27	80 [†]	27 [‡]	46	23	31	49	21	6
Site 9	38	47	76	33	28	44	77	15	35
Site 10	22	22 [‡]	48	1 [‡]	15	15	33 [‡]	12	5 [‡]
Average	29	49	61	23	26	26	68	17	25

^{*} All *P* values were <.001 for differences across sites.

[†] Highest percentage for medication class. † Lowest percentage for medication class.

prescribed medications that were classified as antidepressants. For example, the SARI trazodone is often used in this population for sleep induction. Similar findings were observed for antipsychotics (24% lacked mention of premorbid history of psychosis, bipolar disorder, or schizophrenia). Of those administered anticonvulsants, 41% did not have a seizure during acute care or rehabilitation, indicating use for seizure prophylaxis or other reasons (eg, behavior control, pain management). The broad range of medication applications highlights the importance of patient education and communication with cotreating physicians regarding the targeted use of medications prescribed at the time of discharge from rehabilitation and after.

Our univariate analyses found statistically significant center effects across pharmaceutical classes. Given the wide variability between centers with regard to age, time from injury to rehabilitation admission, injury etiology and severity, and levels of functional impairment, ²² further analyses are required to determine the extent that center effects exist independent of other confounds. With the limited literature on neuropharmacology effectiveness post-TBI to guide treatment decisions, practice variation at least between physicians would not be surprising. ^{23,24}

Antiparkinson and stimulant administration were low in comparison with our expectations and in comparison with other psychotropic medications (narcotic analgesics, antidepressants, anticonvulsants, anxiolytics, hypnotics). Antiparkinson agents were administered to 25% of patients at some point during rehabilitation (most commonly amantadine and bromocriptine). In clinical practice, these medications are often used in the treatment of several rehabilitation-relevant issues, including poor arousal, agitation, disinhibition, lack of initiation, akinetic mutism, and cognitive impairment. Similarly, stimulant administration (28% of the sample received) was surprisingly low given that symptoms of inattention, lack of initiation, poor arousal, and slow processing speed are cardinal features of moderate and severe TBI. Stimulants were administered predominately to those with lower admission FIM cognitive scores. The most commonly used stimulants were methylphenidate, modafanil, and atomoxetine. Considering the greater use of other classes (eg, antidepressants, anticonvulsants, anxiolytics, hypnotics), perhaps antiparkinson and stimulant agents could have a greater role in the management of patients with TBI (eg, the agitated, confused, difficult to manage, or slow to recover patient)²⁵⁻³⁰ than is currently being used by some physicians. In studies of subacute TBI, patients receiving methylphenidates have shown short-term improvements in attention, concentration, motor memory, cognitive processing speed, and overall function.^{26,27} Scientific evidence suggests amantadine may help minimize the impact of many deficits commonly found after TBI, particularly disordered consciousness, cognitive impairments, and behavioral dysregulation. 3,29-31

Conversely, prescription of narcotics was surprisingly high, despite the risk of their cognitive sedating properties. Narcotic use is very high across all functional cognitive levels, with nearly 75% of all patients receiving these medications at least once during their stay. Although narcotics were overwhelmingly prescribed on a PRN basis, the median percentage of days that patients were administered these medications suggests that in practice they were fairly regularly used. Applying these findings clinically, the clinician is advised to use caution with administering pain medication and consider incorporation of objective measures of function and pain into the assessment and ongoing administration.

Antipsychotic agents were received by 25% of the sample at some point during their stay. It is common for practitioners to use this class of medication to assist with controlling agitation post-TBI. This particular use is somewhat controversial because the blocking of dopamine is not always considered to be productive in terms of recovery. However, second-generation antipsychotics have less D2 dopamine receptor effects and are thought to be preferable over first-generation agents; however, they still have a considerable side-effect profile. Second-generation antipsychotics have been proposed by some in the field as preferred treatment for agitation and psychosis as a result of TBI. 32,33 Quetiapine accounted for 48% of the second-generation antipsychotics administered, followed by risperdone (19%), olanzapine (15%), and ziprasidone (14%).

Future research directions

The use of this multicenter, longitudinal data to evaluate the effectiveness of medication treatments in real-world clinical settings offers both opportunities and challenges. Findings from this initial investigation of medication administration patterns during TBI inpatient rehabilitation provide valuable data that can inform the research design of future medication comparative effectiveness studies. Of the patients in our study, 90% were administered ≥2 psychotropic medications during their stay, with 60% administered between 3 and 7. Because of the administration of multiple medications at the same time or within the short time frame of rehabilitation, future research requires that study designs carefully evaluate the effects of psychotropic medications alone and in combination on the primary outcomes of interest. Future research will also need to take into account dosing levels and duration of treatment, while controlling for participant-specific effects. Mixed-effects quantile stratification propensity adjustment strategies for longitudinal analyses may be suited for such treatment effectiveness analyses.^{34,35} Based on our findings, participant effects that should be considered for stratified propensity adjustment for each primary outcome include age, timing of administration, history of axis I mental health disorders, severity of cognitive impairment, and pain. The potential confounding effects of center and race should be further evaluated to determine whether these are true effects or are encapsulated within the covariates already listed for potential stratification adjustment. Evaluation and, where necessary, adjustment of individual covariates for nonlinear relations and outlier effects are essential given the frequent observance of large SDs.

Study limitations

The findings of this study represent the patterns of administration at highly specialized brain injury rehabilitation centers and may not represent the patterns of use at all rehabilitation units. In particular, this study may be unique in regard to the medical complexity and neurologic functional level of the patients, training and experience of the clinicians, academic environment, resources of the facilities, and demographics of the study sample (primarily white). The acute care hospital medical records were not consistently available; therefore, we did not include medications used during acute care. The study focused on key agents commonly used to improve arousal, improve behavior, improve function, and control central nervous system issues associated with TBI. The study was limited

S272 F.M. Hammond et al

to 9 medications categories. There are several psychotropic medications that were not examined here but were administered (eg, alpha agonist and beta-blocking antihypertensive agents, metoclopramide, proton pump inhibitors, a host of agents with anticholinergic effects).

The targeted goals for medication prescription are not known in this study. Medications designed and approved for 1 use are commonly used for other purposes. For instance, antidepressants may be useful for correction of sleep disorders, pain, and anxiety and depression. Anxiolytics may be used for sleep and behavior modification and anxiety. Anticonvulsants are commonly used for neuropathic pain and mood stabilization and seizure prevention or management. Antipsychotics may be administered for insomnia, anxiety, psychosis, and agitation. The present study reveals the type of psychotropic agents used but not the purpose. Data about severity of injury, duration of PTA, agitation, pain, seizures, sleep, and cognition were assessed for association with administration of these agents and thereby provide some information on use. However, caution should be used in presuming the use of the medications in this study.

Conclusions

Many psychotropic medications are used during inpatient rehabilitation. A wide variety of applications are perceived for each class of psychotropic medications and individual agents within classes. Knowledge of prescribing patterns may inform further research (eg, comparative effectiveness studies). In general, lower admission FIM cognitive score groups were administered more of the medications under investigation compared with those with higher cognitive function at admission. Considerable site variation existed regarding medications administered.

Keywords

Amantadine; Antidepressive agents; Antipsychotic agents; Brain injuries; Central nervous system stimulants; Drug therapy; Medication therapy management; Patient care; Physician's practice patterns; Polypharmacy; Rehabilitation

Corresponding author

Flora M. Hammond, MD, 4141 Shore Dr, Indianapolis, IN 46254. *E-mail address:* flora.hammond@rhin.com.

Acknowledgments

We thank the clinical and research staff at each of the 10 inpatient rehabilitation facilities represented in the Improving Outcomes in Acute Rehabilitation for TBI Study and Individualized Planning for the First Year Following Acute Rehabilitation, collectively known as the Traumatic Brain Injury—Practice Based Evidence study, for their contributions. The study site directors included the following: John D. Corrigan, PhD, and Jennifer Bogner, PhD (Ohio Regional TBIMS at Ohio State University, Columbus, OH); Nora Cullen, MD (Toronto Rehabilitation Institute, Toronto, ON, Canada); Cynthia L. Beaulieu, PhD (Brooks Rehabilitation Hospital, Jacksonville, FL); Flora M. Hammond, MD (Carolinas Rehabilitation, Charlotte, NC [now at Indiana University]); David

K. Ryser, MD (Neuro Specialty Rehabilitation Unit, Intermountain Medical Center, Salt Lake City, UT); Murray E. Brandstater, MD (Loma Linda University Medical Center, Loma Linda, CA); Marcel P. Dijkers, PhD (Rehabilitation Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY); William Garmoe, PhD (Medstar National Rehabilitation Hospital, Washington, DC); James A. Young, MD (Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL); and Ronald T. Seel, PhD (Brain Injury Research, Shepherd Center, Atlanta, GA).

We also thank the staff of the Institute for Clinical Outcomes Research, International Severity Information Systems, Salt Lake City, UT, who contributed significantly to the success of this study: Susan D. Horn, PhD (Senior Scientist); Randall J. Smout, MS (Vice President, Analytic Systems); Ryan S. Barrett (Project Manager and Analyst); Michael Watkiss (Study Coordinator); and Patrick B. Brown (Project Manager and Systems Administrator). We also thank Gale G. Whiteneck, PhD (Craig Hospital, Englewood, CO) for her help.

References

- Mysiw WJ, Bogner JA, Corrigan JD, Fugate LP, Clinchot DM, Kadyan V. The impact of acute care medications on rehabilitation outcome after traumatic brain injury. Brain Inj 2006;20:905-11.
- Francisco GE, Walker WC, Zasler ND, Bouffard MH. Pharmacologic management of neurobehavioral sequelae of traumatic brain injury: a secondary survey of current physiatric practice. Brain Inj 2007;21: 1007-14
- Giacino JT, Whyte J, Bagiella E, et al. Placebo-controlled trial of amantadine for severe traumatic brain injury. N Engl J Med 2012;366: 819-26.
- Madeira G, Montmirail C, Decat M, Gersdorff M. TRT: results after one year treatment. Laryngol Otol Rhinol 2007;128:145-8.
- Feeney DM, Gonzalez A, Law WA. Amphetamine, haloperidol, and experience interact to affect rate of recovery after motor cortex injury. Science 1982;217:855-7.
- Hoffman AN, Cheng JP, Zafonte RD, Kline AE. Administration of haloperidol and risperdone after neurobehavioral testing hinders the recovery of traumatic brain injury-induced deficits. Life Sci 2008;83:602-7.
- Massagli TL. Neurobehavioral effects of phenytoin, carbamazepine, and valproic acid: implications for use in traumatic brain injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1991;72:219-26.
- Balon R. SSRI-associated sexual dysfunction. Am J Psychiatry 2006; 163:1504-9.
- Edwards JG. Unwanted effects of psychotropic drugs. Effects on human physiological systems, mechanisms and methods of assessment. In: King DJ, editor. Seminars in clinical psychopharmacology. 2nd ed. London: Gaskell; 2004. p 573-600.
- Edwards JG. Unwanted effects of psychotropic drugs. Drug interactions, effects during pregnancy and breast-feeding, pharmacovigilance and medico-legal considerations. In: King DJ, editor. Seminars in clinical psychopharmacology. 2nd ed. London: Gaskell; 2004. p. 601-60.
- Murphy MP, Carmine H, Kolakowsky-Hayner S. Modifiable and nonmodifiable risk factors for falls after traumatic brain injury: an exploratory investigation with implications for medication use. Rehabil Nurs 2014;39:113-22.
- Yasseen B, Colantonio A, Ratcliff G. Prescription medication use in persons many years following traumatic brain injury. Brain Inj 2008; 22:752-7.
- Fugate LP, Spacek LA, Kresty LA, Levy CE, Johnson JC, Mysiw WJ.
 Measurement and treatment of agitation following traumatic brain
 injury: II. A survey of the brain injury special interest group of the
 American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. Arch
 Phys Med Rehabil 1997;78:924-8.

- Horn SD, Corrigan JD, Bogner J, et al. Traumatic Brain Injury— Practice Based Evidence study: design and patients, centers, treatments, and outcomes. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2015;96(8 Suppl 3):S178-96.
- Ryser DK, Egger MJ, Horn SD, Handrahan D, Gandhi P, Bigler ED. Measuring medical complexity during inpatient rehabilitation following traumatic brain injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2005;86: 1108-17
- Heinemann AW, Linacre A, Wright BD, Hamilton B, Granger C. Measurement characteristics of the functional independence measure. Top Stroke Rehabil 1994;1:1-15.
- Bogner J, Barrett RS, Hammond FM, et al. Predictors of agitated behavior during inpatient rehabilitation for traumatic brain injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2015;96(8 Suppl 3):S274-81.
- Horn SD, Corrigan JD, Beaulieu CL, et al. Traumatic brain injury patient, injury, therapy, and ancillary treatments associated with outcomes at discharge and 9 months postdischarge. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2015;96(8 Suppl 3):S304-29.
- 19. Forchheimer MB, Richards JS, Chiodo AE, Thomas N, Bryce TN, Dyson-Hudson TA. Cut point determination in the measurement of pain and its relationship to the psychosocial and functional measures after traumatic spinal cord injury: a retrospective model spinal cord injury system analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2011; 92:419-24.
- Whyte J. Pharmacologic treatment of cognitive and behavioral sequelae of traumatic brain injury: practicing in the absence of strong evidence. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med 2010;46:557-62.
- Corrigan JD, Horn SD, Barrett RS, et al. Effects of patient preinjury and injury characteristics on acute rehabilitation outcomes for traumatic brain injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2015;96(8 Suppl 3):S209-21.
- 22. Seel RT, Barrett RS, Beaulieu CL, et al. Institutional variation in traumatic brain injury acute rehabilitation practice. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2015;96(8 Suppl 3):S197-208.
- Ontario Neurotrauma Foundation. Evidence-based review of moderate to severe acquired brain injury. Available at: http://www.abiebr.com/. Accessed May 20, 2015.
- Warden DL, Gordon B, McAllister TW, et al. Guidelines for the pharmacologic treatment of neurobehavioral sequelae of traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma 2006;23:1468-501.

- 25. Talsky A, Pacione LR, Shaw T, et al. Pharmacological interventions for traumatic brain injury. Psychostimulants, antidepressants, and other agents may speed the recovery of patients suffering from the functional deficits that follow an insult to the brain. BCMJ 2010;53: 26-31.
- Whyte J, Hart T, Schuster K, Polansky M, Coslett H. Effects of methylphenidate on attentional function after traumatic brain injury. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 1997;76:440-50.
- 27. Plenger P, Dixon E, Castillo R, Frankowski R, Yablon SA, Levin HS. Subacute methylphenidate treatment for moderate to moderately severe traumatic brain injury: a preliminary doubleblind placebo-controlled study. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1996;77: 536-40.
- Siddall OM. Use of methylphedinate in traumatic brain injury. Ann Pharmacother 2005;39:1309-13.
- Schneider W, Drew-Cates J, Wong T, Dombovy ML. Cognitive and behavioural efficacy of amantadine in acute traumatic brain injury: an initial double-blind placebo-controlled study. Brain Inj 1999;13: 863-72
- Zafonte R, Lexell J, Cullen N. Possible applications for dopaminergic agents following traumatic brain injury: part 1. J Head Trauma Rehabil 2000;15:1179-82.
- Meythaler J, Brunner R, Johnson A, Novack TA. Amantadine to improve neurorecovery in traumatic brain injury-associated diffuse axonal injury: a pilot double-blind randomized trial. J Head Trauma Rehabil 2002;31:300-13.
- 32. Elovic EP, Lansang R, Li Y, Ricker JH. The use of atypical antipsychotics in traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil 2003;18:177-95.
- 33. Burnett DM, Kennedy RE, Cifu DX, Levenson J. Using atypical neuroleptic drugs to treat agitation in patients with brain injury: a review. NeuroRehabilitation 1999;13:165-72.
- Leon AC, Hedeker D, Teres JJ. Bias reduction in effectiveness analyses of longitudinal ordinal doses with a mixed-effects propensity adjustment. Stat Med 2007;26:110-23.
- Leon AC, Hedeker D. A comparison of mixed-effects quantile stratification propensity adjustment strategies for longitudinal treatment effectiveness analyses of continuous outcomes. Stat Med 2007; 26:2650-65.

_	_	
≥	2	
e	=	
<	3	
<	S	
5	-	
٠.	ı,	
ч	,	
Ξ	3	
C)	
=	3	
Ξ	ä	
<	1	
a	š	
22	۲	
٧.	•	
_	J	
C	ر	
Ξ	3	
-	٥	
Ξ	۲	
፦	ı	
C	ę	
Ξ	3	
С	כ	

Supplemental Table S1	Expanded psychoacti	ve med	lications da	ata adminis	stered by p	harmaceuti	ical class a	nd level of ad	mission cogni	tive functio	n			
Pharmaceutical Class by Mechanism	FIM Cognitive Score at Admission	n*	% Ever Received	% Received First 2d	% Received Last 2d	% Received First and Last 2d	% Received ≥5d	Mean No. of Days (for Those Received)	Median No. of Days (for Those Received)	Mean % Stay (for Those Received)	Median % Stay (for Those Received)	% Days PRN	% Days Scheduled	% Days Unknown PRN/ Scheduled
Anxiolytics	Overall	2130	33	19	19	11	23	17	12	56	58	37	53	10
	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	48	24	26	14	31	21	18	50	50	40	45	14
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	374	44	23	26	12	32	19	14	53	52	37	55	7
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	31	18	18	11	22	17	13	60	67	36	57	7
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	28	20	17	13	20	13	9	62	73	33	56	11
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	20	13	12	7	14	12	10	60	65	39	50	11
Anxiolytics: GABA-A	Overall	2130	29	17	15	8	18	16	10	50	44	44	47	9
J	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	46	22	21	11	27	18	10	43	27	44	42	14
	Adm FIM coq 7—10	374	39	22	20	9	26	18	13	50	47	44	49	7
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	26	15	14	8	17	16	12	55	54	43	51	6
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	24	17	12	9	15	11	8	52	43	43	48	9
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504		12	9	6	11	11	7	55	51	46	45	9
Anxiolytics: H1	0verall	2130		>0	>0	>0	>0	9	5	36	27	59	27	14
,	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339		>0	>0	>0	0	12	15	51	38	67	33	0
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	1	>0	>0	0	0	16	3	26	7	60	40	0
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	>0	0	0	0	0	2	2	8	5	100	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	>0	>0	>0	>0	0	9	9	60	50	33	4	62
	Adm FIM coq >21	504	1	>0	>0	>0	0	7	6	42	32	40	44	17
Anxiolytics: other	Overall	2130	7	3	6	2	6	21	17	68	77	2	85	13
J	Adm FIM coq <6	339	9	3	6	2	9	26	25	63	68	3	78	19
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	10	3	8	2	9	22	17	62	63	3	87	11
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	8	4	6	3	7	22	15	71	82	0	92	8
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	8	4	7	3	6	15	13	72	88	3	84	13
	Adm FIM coq >21	504	3	1	2	>0	3	20	17	85	84	0	85	15
Anticonvulsants	Overall	2130	47	35	39	28	43	23	17	81	100	6	76	19
	Adm FIM coq <6	339	50	32	40	25	48	35	28	76	93	8	71	21
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374		34	42	26	48	27	23	77	94	3	85	12
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	46	34	39	28	44	22	18	83	100	4	80	16
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	46	38	41	33	43	19	15	87	100	4	73	22
	Adm FIM coq >21	504	41	37	32	28	36	13	12	83	100	10	67	24
nticonvulsants: Ca+	Overall	2130	31	21	26	17	28	23	17	80	100	7	71	23
channel antagonist	Adm FIM coq <6	339	30	19	25	15	29	36	29	75	90	10	67	22
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374		20	26	14	31	28	23	73	82	4	81	14
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	29	19	25	17	28	22	19	81	100	4	74	22
	Adm FIM cog 16—20	408		24	29	21	29	19	15	87	100	5	69	26
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504		24	25	20	25	13	12	85	100	10	61	29
										,			continued on	

Pharmaceutical Class by Mechanism	FIM Cognitive Score at Admission	n*	% Ever Received	% Received First 2d	% Received Last 2d	% Received First and Last 2d	% Received ≥5d	Mean No. of Days (for Those Received)	Median No. of Days (for Those Received)	Mean % Stay (for Those Received)	Median % Stay (for Those Received)	% Days PRN	% Days Scheduled	% Days Unknown PRN/ Scheduled
Anticonvulsants: GABA-A	Overall	2130	>0	>0	>0	>0	0	14	14	46	43	0	100	0
(agonist)	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	>0	0	0	0	0	23	23	26	26	0	100	0
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	374	>0	0	0	0	0	23	23	58	58	0	100	0
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	>0	>0	>0	>0	0	5	5	71	71	0	100	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	>0	0	0	0	0	5	5	28	28	0	100	0
Anticonvulsants: Na+	0verall	2130	25	17	18	12	22	21	16	73	91	5	82	13
channel antagonist	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	31	15	22	10	30	29	24	66	76	5	73	22
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	374	31	18	24	13	28	23	20	69	78	4	88	8
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	24	17	18	13	22	21	17	77	97	5	89	5
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	23	19	18	14	21	18	14	81	100	3	79	18
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	18	17	11	10	14	13	10	74	100	8	79	13
Anticonvulsants: other	0verall	2130	>0	>0	>0	>0	0	27	26	80	100	33	67	0
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	374	>0	>0	0	0	0	11	11	39	39	100	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	>0	>0	>0	>0	0	44	44	100	100	0	100	0
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	>0	>0	>0	>0	0	26	26	100	100	0	100	0
Antidepressants	Overall	2130	67	44	55	37	61	23	18	78	93	27	60	13
,	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	77	47	64	40	73	34	29	79	94	23	62	15
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	76	48	63	39	72	26	22	78	90	25	65	10
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	66	46	54	38	60	21	19	80	95	30	57	13
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	69	49	58	42	62	17	14	78	95	28	57	15
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	53	35	41	28	42	15	12	74	90	30	57	13
Antidepressants: NDRI	Overall	2130	1	>0	1	>0	1	12	10	69	87	7	83	10
	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339		>0	>0	>0	0	28	29	84	100	0	100	0
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	1	>0	>0	>0	0	15	10	54	54	0	75	25
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	1	>0	1	>0	1	11	9	58	40	0	86	14
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	>0	>0	>0	>0	0	9	10	73	92	33	67	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	2	2	2	1	2	9	8	74	94	5	86	8
antidepressants: NaSSA	Overall	2130	3	>0	3	>0	3	21	16	57	64	9	76	15
	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	5	>0	4	>0	4	16	14	36	30	13	52	35
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	5	1	4	>0	5	33	32	67	82	5	84	11
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	2	>0	2	>0	2	19	16	57	62	8	83	8
	Adm FIM cog 16—20	408	4	2	3	1	3	12	12	62	68	13	77	11
	_							22		84	86	0	100	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	22	20	84	86		100 continued on	

		_
	<	Ξ
	<	Ξ
	-	-
	~	•
	- 3	3
	~	
	5	
	•	
	Ω	د
	Ξ	3
	r)
	-	۲
	=	5
	-	Ξ
	<	Ξ
	a	5
	U	٦
	1	
•	C	3
	-	٦
	-	⊀
	-	٠
	-	۲
	٠.	
	c)
	Ξ	3
C	c	3
ı		7

Pharmaceutical Class by Mechanism	FIM Cognitive Score at Admission	n*	% Ever Received	% Received First 2d	% Received Last 2d	% Received First and Last 2d	% Received ≥5d	Mean No. of Days (for Those Received)	Median No. of Days (for Those Received)	Mean % Stay (for Those Received)	Median % Stay (for Those Received)	% Days PRN	% Days Scheduled	% Days Unknown PRN/ Scheduled
Antidepressants: SARI	Overall	2130	53	35	36	25	45	20	16	70	83	39	50	11
·	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	63	40	42	30	57	30	26	73	90	31	58	11
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	62	40	42	27	57	23	20	70	81	36	54	10
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	54	36	37	27	47	19	17	72	89	41	49	10
	Adm FIM coq 16—20	408	53	37	37	27	45	15	13	71	83	41	47	13
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	37	25	25	16	27	11	9	64	72	47	41	12
Antidepressants: SNRI	0verall	2130	5	2	4	2	4	19	16	67	73	4	79	17
,	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	4	1	4	1	4	26	24	59	50	10	69	21
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	4	>0	4	>0	4	27	20	68	68	>0	89	11
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	5	1	4	1	4	18	19	60	71	4	74	22
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	6	3	5	3	5	14	13	74	81	2	86	12
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	4	2	4	1	4	13	10	71	81	5	75	20
Antidepressants: SSRI	Overall	2130	26	12	24	10	25	23	18	74	84	2	79	19
,	Adm FIM coq <6	339	32	9	29	6	31	32	27	65	66	4	70	26
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	31	13	29	11	29	26	22	70	77	2	83	15
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	24	12	23	11	24	22	18	79	93	>0	80	19
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	27	13	25	13	25	18	15	77	92	2	80	18
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	19	11	16	10	17	19	15	81	95	3	82	15
Antidepressants: TCA—	Overall	2130	2	>0	>0	>0	2	21	13	55	55	7	76	18
secondary amines	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	3	0	1	0	2	22	23	54	55	5	53	42
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	1	0	>0	0	0	18	16	41	36	0	100	0
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	1	>0	>0	>0	1	21	12	60	67	0	86	14
	Adm FIM cog 16—20	408	1	>0	>0	>0	1	6	7	47	45	4	66	30
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	2	>0	>0	>0	2	29	26	65	63	18	82	0
Antidepressants: TCA—	Overall	2130	3	>0	2	>0	3	16	14	62	67	5	72	23
tertiary amines	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	4	>0	3	>0	4	20	17	53	54	0	73	27
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	3	>0	2	>0	3	14	11	53	54	11	73	17
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	2	>0	2	>0	2	13	10	55	55	8	58	33
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	4	2	3	1	4	16	14	71	77	0	81	19
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	2	>0	2	>0	2	16	14	76	82	11	67	22
Antiparkinson	Overall	2130	25	11	20	8	23	25	21	73	83	3	83	14
	Adm FIM coq <6	339	53	24	40	17	49	30	26	70	79	4	84	12
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	40	18	31	13	36	25	22	71	85	1	87	12
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	21	8	19	7	20	24	19	78	86	2	82	15
	Adm FIM cog 16—20	408	15	4	12	4	13	16	14	71	75	5	72	23
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	6	4	6	3	5	14	14	80	100	5	73	23

F.M. Hammond et al

	_	-
	2	>
	3	>
	>	>
		3
	⋛	>
	-	-
	۰	
		υ
	-	₹
	-	ĸ.
	٠.	•
	=	3
	=	٥.
	۰	-
	2	•
	а	D.
	ü	Ä.
	۳	
•	τ	3
	=	5
	_	~
	-	•
	-	₹.
	۰	
	c	7
	-	Ę
	7	S
۹	v	4

Pharmaceutical Class by Mechanism	FIM Cognitive Score at Admission	n*	% Ever Received	% Received First 2d	% Received Last 2d	% Received First and Last 2d	% Received ≥5d	Mean No. of Days (for Those Received)	Median No. of Days (for Those Received)	Mean % Stay (for Those Received)	Median % Stay (for Those Received)	% Days PRN	% Days Scheduled	% Days Unknown PRN/ Scheduled
Antipsychotic: second	Overall	2130	24	15	15	9	21	20	15	67	78	22	63	15
generation/atypical	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	339	37	16	22	9	33	27	20	58	56	29	48	23
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	33	20	23	13	30	22	18	68	79	22	63	15
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	26	19	17	13	22	18	16	74	92	15	76	9
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	21	15	11	7	17	15	13	65	75	17	71	12
	Adm FIM $cog \ge 21$	504	9	7	6	4	7	13	11	71	79	31	53	15
Hypnotic	Overall	2130	30	14	20	10	23	18	13	60	67	48	42	10
	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	339	36	14	23	9	29	24	21	57	58	34	51	16
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	374	37	17	26	12	31	22	18	62	70	41	50	9
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	31	15	20	10	25	18	14	61	68	47	46	7
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	25	13	16	8	16	11	9	57	64	55	35	9
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	24	13	16	9	17	11	8	62	70	68	23	10
Hypnotic: GABA-A	Overall	2130	5	1	3	>0	3	11	6	39	28	46	48	6
agonist (benzodi-	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	339	4	>0	2	0	2	10	7	25	20	39	55	7
azepine)	Adm FIM cog 7-10	374	6	>0	4	>0	4	16	15	44	42	33	63	4
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	5	>0	3	>0	3	13	10	46	36	36	64	0
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	4	1	2	>0	2	7	3	35	28	67	29	4
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	4	2	2	>0	1	6	2	39	25	61	24	14
Hypnotic: GABA-A	Overall	2130	25	13	17	8	20	18	14	61	67	51	42	8
agonist (nonbenzodi-	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	30	12	19	7	26	25	23	60	67	35	52	13
azepine)	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	32	16	22	11	28	23	19	64	71	42	50	8
' /	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	26	13	15	8	20	17	14	59	63	54	42	4
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	21	11	15	7	14	12	9	60	66	56	37	7
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	19	11	13	8	15	12	8	64	71	70	23	7
Hypnotic: melatonin	Overall	2130	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	18	10	54	47	8	54	38
agonist	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	2	>0	1	>0	1	30	25	56	55	0	50	50
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374		0	>0	0	0	12	12	71	71	0	100	0
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495		>0	>0	0	0	7	8	37	37	25	25	50
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504		>0	>0	>0	0	10	10	73	73	0	100	0
Hypnotic: other	Overall	2130	3	>0	2	>0	2	16	5	43	25	39	34	27
JF 200. 0 201.01	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	5	1	3	>0	3	21	13	42	47	30	53	18
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	3	>0	2	>0	2	19	13	50	65	46	38	15
	Adm FIM cog 7 10	495	3	>0	2	>0	2	21	15	62	78	18	46	36
	Adm FIM cog 11 13	408	2	>0	>0	0	0	4	2	17	11	50	13	38
	Adm FIM cog 10 20 Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	2	>0	>0	>0	0	5	2	30	9	64	0	36

Pharmaceutical Class by Mechanism	FIM Cognitive Score at Admission	n*	% Ever Received	% Received First 2d	% Received Last 2d	% Received First and Last 2d	% Received ≥5d	Mean No. of Days (for Those Received)	Median No. of Days (for Those Received)	Mean % Stay (for Those Received)	Median % Stay (for Those Received)	% Days PRN	% Days Scheduled	% Days Unknown PRN/ Scheduled
Narcotic analgesic		2130	72	55	45	36	59	16	13	65	77	63	26	11
•	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	71	50	35	26	59	21	17	56	51	63	25	12
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	74	50	40	29	60	18	14	57	59	61	30	9
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	73	56	42	35	59	16	13	62	70	64	26	10
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	75	60	51	43	60	14	12	69	90	57	32	12
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	69	59	52	46	58	14	11	78	100	69	19	12
Miscellaneous	0verall	2130	18	8	15	6	16	19	15	69	75	9	78	13
psychotropic	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	24	4	22	3	23	26	21	58	59	16	76	8
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	19	7	14	5	17	21	17	65	68	10	77	13
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	21	10	17	8	20	17	16	73	81	4	84	12
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	19	12	16	9	17	16	14	75	90	5	78	17
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	10	7	7	6	8	13	9	72	98	14	69	18
Miscellaneous	0verall	2130	9	1	8	1	8	21	15	58	58	17	75	8
psychotropic:	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	18	1	17	1	17	26	20	54	56	21	73	6
AChEI	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	11	1	9	1	10	21	17	56	56	15	73	12
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	10	2	9	2	9	16	13	63	65	9	85	6
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	5	1	5	1	5	17	14	62	60	9	78	13
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	2	>0	2	>0	2	15	10	61	50	57	33	10
Miscellaneous	0verall	2130	1	>0	1	>0	1	20	15	68	77	9	70	21
psychotropic: NMDA	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	2	>0	2	>0	2	33	16	64	65	18	40	43
antagonist	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	2	>0	>0	>0	0	22	11	53	52	0	83	17
, and the second	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	2	>0	2	>0	1	16	18	79	89	3	72	24
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	2	>0	2	>0	1	11	14	72	86	15	85	0
Miscellaneous	0verall	2130	9	6	7	5	8	17	14	77	92	3	81	17
psychotropic: other	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	5	2	4	1	5	24	21	71	79	0	90	10
, ,	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	8	5	5	3	8	19	15	74	88	9	79	13
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	11	8	8	5	11	19	17	80	95	>0	83	16
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	13	10	10	7	12	15	13	78	94	2	79	20
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	8	7	6	5	6	12	10	75	100	3	78	19
itimulant	0verall	2130	28	7	22	6	26	23	18	66	72	5	83	12
	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	57	16	41	12	54	29	27	67	75	4	79	17
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374		10	36	9	43	25	22	68	78	3	89	8
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	25	5	20	4	23	19	16	63	64	5	84	11
	Adm FIM cog 16—20	408	15	5	12	4	13	13	11	65	67	9	78	14
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	8	2	7	2	6	11	9	64	64	10	79	10

Pharmaceutical Class by Mechanism	FIM Cognitive Score at Admission	n*	% Ever Received	% Received First 2d	% Received Last 2d	% Received First and Last 2d	% Received ≥5d	Mean No. of Days (for Those Received)	Median No. of Days (for Those Received)	Mean % Stay (for Those Received)	Median % Stay (for Those Received)	% Days PRN	% Days Scheduled	% Days Unknown PRN/ Scheduled
Stimulant: NE agonist	0verall	2130	3	>0	2	>0	2	23	17	56	57	0	92	8
	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	339	4	>0	4	>0	4	33	29	60	53	0	100	0
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	6	0	5	0	5	22	23	57	72	0	94	6
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	3	>0	2	>0	3	26	15	62	69	0	77	23
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	1	>0	>0	0	0	5	6	36	27	0	100	0
	Adm FIM $cog \ge 21$	504	>0	>0	>0	0	0	16	16	39	39	0	100	0
Stimulant: NE-DA-5HT	0verall	2130	23	5	18	4	21	23	18	64	70	6	81	13
agonist	Adm FIM $\cos \leq 6$	339	51	11	38	8	48	28	27	64	72	5	77	18
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	35	7	29	6	33	25	22	65	72	4	89	7
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	21	4	17	3	19	19	16	61	62	6	82	12
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	13	5	10	3	10	13	11	64	72	11	76	13
	Adm FIM $cog \ge 21$	504	6	2	5	2	5	11	10	63	64	10	75	14
Stimulant: other	0verall	2130	6	2	4	1	5	22	15	64	67	1	83	16
	Adm FIM $\cos \leq 6$	339	11	6	4	3	10	29	18	57	49	0	69	31
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	10	3	9	2	10	25	22	72	86	>0	84	15
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	495	4	1	3	>0	3	16	10	60	61	>0	100	0
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	3	>0	2	>0	2	12	9	60	56	0	82	18
	Adm FIM $cog \ge 21$	504	2	>0	2	>0	1	9	6	59	50	11	89	0

Abbreviations: Adm FIM cog, admission FIM cognitive subscale; COMT, catechol-O-methyltransferase; DA, dopamine; GABA-A, gamma-aminobutyric acid-A; MAO, monoamine oxidase; NaSSA, noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressant; NDRI, norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitor; NE, norepinephrine; NE-DA-5HT, norepinephrine-dopamine-5HT; NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate; SNRI, serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; TCA, tricyclic antidepressant.

Complemental Table C4 (continued)

^{*} Ten patients were excluded because of missing admission FIM cognitive scores.

Pharmaceutical Class by Mechanism	FIM Cognitive Score at Admission	Received Week 1 (admit)	Received Week 2	Received Week 3	Received Week 4	Received Week 5	Received Week 6	Received Week 7	Received Week 8	Received Week 9
Sample size by week (n)*	Overall	2130	2008	1551	1065	707	482	339	215	153
	Adm FIM cog ≤6	339	333	323	288	223	160	107	79	59
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	374	371	337	266	185	121	90	56	34
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	495	482	387	236	127	73	48	30	27
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	408	381	253	126	74	50	42	24	17
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	504	432	242	140	91	71	47	23	16
Anxiolytics (%)	Overall	24	22	23	23	26	28	30	32	31
	Adm FIM cog ≤6	31	30	29	30	30	29	30	33	27
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	30	27	27	26	30	35	40	41	38
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	24	23	21	20	24	29	29	30	37
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	23	19	19	19	19	20	24	21	29
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	16	14	14	13	14	15	15	22	19
Anxiolytics: GABA-A (%)	Overall	22	18	18	19	21	23	24	27	25
, ,	Adm FIM cog ≤6	29	26	24	26	25	24	25	28	22
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	29	24	23	22	25	27	31	34	32
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	20	19	17	17	19	23	25	23	26
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	19	14	15	13	14	18	19	21	29
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	14	11	10	8	11	13	11	17	19
Anxiolytic: H1 (%)	Overall	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0
, ,	Adm FIM $\cos \leq 6$	>0	>0	>0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	>0	>0	>0	>0	1	2	2	2	3
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	0	>0	>0	0	>0	1	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 16—20	>0	>0	>0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	>0	>0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anxiolytic: other (%)	0verall	4	5	6	7	8	9	9	9	10
	Adm FIM cog ≤6	3	5	7	8	9	9	11	11	12
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	5	6	7	7	9	11	11	9	9
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	5	5	6	6	9	11	8	10	15
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	5	6	5	8	8	6	10	4	6
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	2	3	4	5	3	3	4	4	0
Anticonvulsant (%)	0verall	39	39	39	41	42	43	42	46	48
, ,	Adm FIM cog ≤6	37	38	38	42	41	45	43	49	59
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	39	39	41	45	52	52	51	52	53
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	38	40	41	43	46	48	46	50	52
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	41	41	40	41	49	44	40	29	24
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	39	34	31	23	12	13	13	22	19
	-								(continued on	

Pharmaceutical Class by Mechanism	FIM Cognitive Score at Admission	Received Week 1 (admit)	Received Week 2	Received Week 3	Received Week 4	Received Week 5	Received Week 6	Received Week 7	Received Week 8	Received Week 9
Anticonvulsant: Ca+ channel	Overall	24	25	26	27	29	29	29	30	31
antagonist (%)	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	23	23	23	25	26	30	28	29	37
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	23	25	28	31	36	36	38	38	35
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	21	25	27	29	31	36	31	33	33
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	26	29	28	30	38	30	26	17	18
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	25	24	21	17	8	7	4	13	6
Anticonvulsant: GABA-A	Overall	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	0
(agonist) (%)	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	0	0	0	0	>0	>0	>0	1	0
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	0	>0	>0	>0	>0	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	>0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	>0	>0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anticonvulsant: Na+ channel	Overall	19	19	19	20	21	22	23	27	31
antagonist (%)	Adm FIM cog ≤6	19	20	20	22	20	22	24	29	34
· , ,	Adm FIM cog 7—10	21	22	23	24	28	27	22	25	35
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	19	19	18	21	24	26	27	33	33
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	21	20	17	18	23	30	31	25	24
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	17	13	13	10	7	7	11	13	13
Anticonvulsant: other (%)	Overall	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	0	0
` ,	Adm FIM cog 7-10	>0	>0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	1	2	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	>0	>0	>0	>0	0	0	0	0	0
Antidepressant (%)	Overall	56	59	62	65	66	67	66	67	69
· , ,	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	59	65	65	68	71	72	71	63	73
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	61	65	69	68	71	72	74	70	62
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	58	59	62	67	61	63	65	73	78
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	60	63	64	64	68	60	52	63	59
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	44	47	47	48	51	54	53	65	63
Antidepressant: NDRI (%)	0verall	1	1	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	0
. , ,	Adm FIM cog ≤6	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	1	0
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	>0	>0	>0	>0	1	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	>0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	>0	>0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	2	2	>0	>0	0	0	0	0	0
Antidepressant: NaSSA (%)	Overall	2	2	3	3	3	4	6	7	7
. ,	Adm FIM cog ≤6	1	2	2	3	4	4	5	3	3
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	3	4	4	4	5	7	11	16	15
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	1	1	2	2	0	1	2	7	7
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	2	3	4	2	1	2	5	4	6
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	>0	1	2	3	3	0	0	0	0
									(continued on	

Pharmaceutical Class	FIM Cognitive Score	Received	Received	Received	Received	Received	Received	Received	Received	Received
by Mechanism	at Admission	Week 1 (admit)	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5	Week 6	Week 7	Week 8	Week 9
Antidepressant: SARI (%)	Overall	45	46	48	48	47	47	43	42	39
	Adm FIM $\cos \leq 6$	52	54	55	55	53	53	49	43	46
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	52	51	55	52	53	55	53	43	32
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	47	47	48	50	44	48	50	53	52
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	48	46	46	47	49	40	29	42	35
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	32	31	28	24	19	21	17	13	6
Antidepressant: SNRI (%)	Overall	2	3	3	4	4	5	4	5	3
	Adm FIM $\cos \leq 6$	1	2	2	3	4	4	4	4	0
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	2	2	4	3	3	4	6	7	9
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	2	4	4	6	6	7	2	0	4
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	4	5	5	3	4	4	5	4	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	3	4	2	4	3	3	4	4	6
Antidepressant: SSRI (%)	Overall	16	20	23	26	30	32	34	38	42
, , ,	Adm FIM $\cos \leq 6$	13	18	18	22	29	34	37	39	51
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	17	21	25	30	32	36	39	36	32
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	16	19	23	27	29	29	33	37	37
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	18	25	26	29	34	30	26	29	29
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	14	17	20	21	24	28	28	48	50
Antidepressant: TCA—secondary	Overall	>0	>0	1	1	2	2	3	4	5
amines (%)	Adm FIM $\cos \leq 6$	>0	1	2	2	2	3	3	4	5
· ,	Adm FIM cog 7-10	>0	>0	>0	>0	1	0	0	2	3
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	1	>0	>0	>0	>0	1	2	3	4
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	>0	0	>0	>0	1	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	>0	2	2	4	7	7	11	13	13
Antidepressant: TCA—tertiary	0verall	2	2	3	3	3	2	1	1	3
amines (%)	Adm FIM cog ≤6	1	2	2	3	3	2	3	3	3
` ,	Adm FIM cog 7—10	1	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	2	2	2	2	2	3	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	3	3	4	5	4	2	2	4	6
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	>0	2	2	3	2	3	0	0	6
Antiparkinson (%)	0verall	16	21	25	29	32	32	32	31	27
(11)	Adm FIM cog ≤6	35	41	41	44	44	45	45	42	36
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	26	29	32	35	38	42	43	39	32
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	15	19	22	25	28	30	25	27	30
	Adm FIM cog 16—20	9	14	15	18	18	12	14	13	12
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	4	6	7	6	4	3	0	0	0
Antiparkinson: COMT inhibitor (%)	Overall	>0	>0	>0	>0	0	0	0	0	0
(,0)	Adm FIM cog ≤6	>0	>0	>0	>0	0	0	0	0	0
		, -					•	•		
									(continued on	next page)

≥	
≥	
Ω	
С	
π	
10	
r	
⊆	

Pharmaceutical Class by Mechanism	FIM Cognitive Score at Admission	Received Week 1 (admit)	Received Week 2	Received Week 3	Received Week 4	Received Week 5	Received Week 6	Received Week 7	Received Week 8	Receive Week 9
Antiparkinson: DA agonist (%)	Overall	6	8	9	11	13	13	12	11	11
	Adm FIM cog ≤6	12	15	14	15	16	15	14	14	14
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	9	12	13	15	18	21	21	16	18
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	7	8	8	10	12	15	13	10	11
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	4	5	4	7	5	2	2	0	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
Antiparkinson: MAO inhibitor (%)	0verall	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0
	Adm FIM cog ≤6	0	>0	>0	>0	>0	1	>0	1	0
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	1	>0	>0	1	2	2	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	>0	>0	>0	0	0	0	2	3	4
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	>0	>0	>0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	>0	>0	>0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Antiparkinson: NMDA antagonist (%)	Overall	10	13	16	19	20	21	21	22	18
	Adm FIM cog ≤6	26	31	31	33	32	33	36	34	29
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	15	17	19	19	19	21	22	21	15
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	7	10	12	14	15	16	10	13	15
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	4	8	10	10	11	10	12	13	12
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	2	3	4	3	3	3	0	0	0
Antiparkinson: other (%)	0verall	>0	1	1	1	2	>0	>0	>0	1
,	Adm FIM cog ≤6	1	1	1	>0	0	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	1	2	2	2	3	2	3	4	6
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	>0	1	1	>0	2	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 16—20	>0	1	2	2	4	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	>0	>0	>0	>0	1	1	0	0	0
Antipsychotic (%)	Overall	20	19	19	20	21	22	23	24	29
- 13 ()	Adm FIM cog ≤6	25	24	24	27	27	30	33	35	42
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	26	25	23	23	23	25	27	27	29
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	24	21	20	21	19	15	17	17	26
	Adm FIM cog 16—20	19	19	19	15	16	18	17	8	6
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	9	7	8	7	7	7	6	4	6
Antipsychotic: first generation/	Overall	2	>0	>0	>0	1	>0	>0	>0	3
typical (%)	Adm FIM cog ≤6	2	0	0	>0	>0	>0	0	0	2
37.000 (70)	Adm FIM cog 7—10	2	>0	>0	>0	2	0	0	2	3
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	2	1	2	>0	>0	1	2	3	7
	Adm FIM cog 16—20	2	>0	>0	>0	1	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	>0	>0	>0	>0	0	0	0	0	0
	Admirin cog ZZI	<i>></i> 0	/ 0	/ 0	/ 0	J	0	J	J	0

Pharmaceutical Class by Mechanism	FIM Cognitive Score at Admission	Received Week 1 (admit)	Received Week 2	Received Week 3	Received Week 4	Received Week 5	Received Week 6	Received Week 7	Received Week 8	Received Week 9
Antipsychotic: second	Overall	19	19	19	20	20	22	22	23	26
generation/atypical (%)	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	23	24	24	26	26	30	33	35	41
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	25	25	22	22	22	25	27	25	26
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	22	20	19	20	19	15	15	13	19
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	18	19	18	14	15	18	17	8	6
	Adm FIM $cog \ge 21$	8	7	7	6	7	7	6	4	6
Hypnotic (%)	Overall	21	22	24	26	26	26	25	25	27
	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	21	24	23	26	26	25	22	25	25
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	25	26	29	32	30	34	33	34	38
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	23	23	26	31	32	36	35	33	33
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	18	18	19	18	18	12	10	0	6
	Adm FIM $cog \ge 21$	20	18	18	16	14	14	15	13	19
Hypnotic: GABA-A agonist	Overall	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	5
(benzodiazepine) (%)	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	1	1	1	2	2	2	>0	4	5
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	2	3	3	5	5	5	7	5	6
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	2	2	3	5	5	4	4	7	4
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	2	2	2	2	3	4	2	0	6
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	3	2	3	1	0	1	0	0	0
Hypnotic: GABA-A agonist	Overall	18	19	21	23	23	22	22	21	23
(nonbenzodiazepine) (%)	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	18	20	20	23	23	21	20	22	22
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	21	22	26	28	26	30	28	30	38
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	19	20	22	26	26	29	29	27	22
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	16	16	17	17	16	10	10	0	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	16	15	14	14	14	14	15	13	19
Hypnotic: melatonin agonist (%)	Overall	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0
	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	>0	1	1	2	1	1	>0	1	2
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	>0	>0	>0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	>0	>0	>0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	>0	>0	>0	>0	0	0	0	0	0
Hypnotic: other (%)	Overall	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	6
(")	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	1	3	2	2	3	3	3	5	7
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	3
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	1	2	2	2	6	5	6	10	11
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	>0	1	>0	>0	0	0	0	0	6
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	1	2	1	>0	0	0	0	0	0
									(continued or	next page

F.M. Hammond et al

_	-	
>	>	
	3	
s	>	
	5	
<	5	
5		
۰		
Ω	د	
-	4	
-	s	
٠.	•	
Ξ	3	
=	9	
≤	ξ	
á	S	
2	5	
5	5	
ĭ	5	
200	ב ב	
ĭ		
ĭ	200	
ĭ		
ĭ	SOC DES	
	Son park	
ĭ	or pmr	

Pharmaceutical Class by Mechanism	FIM Cognitive Score at Admission	Received Week 1 (admit)	Received Week 2	Received Week 3	Received Week 4	Received Week 5	Received Week 6	Received Week 7	Received Week 8	Received Week 9
Narcotic analgesic (%)	Overall	65	60	55	49	49	45	40	42	40
	Adm FIM cog ≤6	59	56	52	47	46	45	41	42	41
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	63	59	52	47	50	48	44	48	38
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	67	60	55	51	50	52	46	47	48
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	69	63	58	52	54	36	31	25	29
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	66	62	59	48	42	37	30	35	38
Miscellaneous psychotropic (%)	Overall	11	13	15	14	16	18	19	20	18
	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	6	10	15	19	20	23	27	28	25
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	11	13	13	13	16	18	14	20	15
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	14	16	18	16	16	15	23	23	19
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	15	18	18	14	14	14	12	13	12
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	8	8	7	6	7	10	9	4	0
Miscellaneous psychotropic:	Overall	3	5	7	9	10	13	14	17	14
ACHEI (%)	Adm FIM cog ≤6	2	6	10	14	15	21	25	25	24
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	4	6	8	9	11	13	10	18	12
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	4	6	8	8	9	11	17	17	11
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	3	5	6	6	5	8	7	8	6
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	>0	2	2	3	2	3	2	0	0
Miscellaneous psychotropic:	Overall 0	>0	>0	1	>0	>0	>0	>0	1	2
NMDA antagonist (%)	Adm FIM cog ≤6	>0	>0	>0	1	>0	>0	>0	1	2
<i>5</i> , ,	Adm FIM cog 7—10	>0	1	>0	>0	1	2	2	4	6
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	1	1	2	1	>0	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	1	2	2	>0	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous psychotropic:	Overall	8	8	7	5	6	5	4	3	3
other (%)	Adm FIM cog ≤6	3	3	5	5	4	3	2	3	2
(11)	Adm FIM cog 7—10	7	8	6	4	5	6	3	0	0
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	10	10	10	8	8	5	6	7	7
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	12	12	11	9	8	6	5	4	6
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	7	7	5	4	4	7	6	4	0
timulant (%)	Overall	15	21	27	33	38	39	38	35	34
(1.)	Adm FIM cog ≤6	30	42	46	51	56	57	57	52	49
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	25	33	38	43	44	51	49	45	38
	Adm FIM cog 11—15	13	18	21	28	33	34	35	30	37
	Adm FIM cog 16—20	9	13	13	11	14	6	5	0	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	4	6	7	7	5	4	4	0	0

Supplemental Table S2 (continued)										
Pharmaceutical Class by Mechanism	FIM Cognitive Score at Admission	Received Week 1 (admit)	Received Week 2	Received Week 3	Received Week 4	Received Week 5	Received Week 6	Received Week 7	Received Week 8	Received Week 9
Stimulant: NE agonist (%)	Overall	>0	1	2	3	4	4	5	7	7
	Adm FIM $\cos \leq 6$	1	2	2	3	5	6	7	8	7
	Adm FIM cog 7—10	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	9
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	1	1	2	4	5	4	6	10	11
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	>0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	>0	0	>0	>0	1	1	2	0	0
Stimulant: NE-DA-5HT agonist (%)	0verall	11	17	22	28	32	34	33	31	31
	Adm FIM $cog \leq 6$	23	35	40	45	49	50	50	47	46
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	17	25	28	33	36	45	43	43	35
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	9	14	18	24	28	30	29	20	30
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	7	10	12	11	12	6	5	0	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	3	4	5	5	4	3	2	0	0
Stimulant: other (%)	0verall	4	5	5	5	6	7	6	9	8
	Adm FIM $\cos \leq 6$	8	8	7	7	7	9	7	10	12
	Adm FIM cog 7-10	7	9	8	9	8	11	11	14	12
	Adm FIM cog 11-15	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	7	7
	Adm FIM cog 16-20	1	2	2	2	4	0	0	0	0
	Adm FIM cog ≥21	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0

Abbreviations: Adm FIM cog, admission FIM cognitive subscale; COMT, catechol-O-methyltransferase; DA, dopamine; GABA-A, gamma-aminobutyric acid-A; MAO, monoamine oxidase; NaSSA, noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressant; NDRI, norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitor; NE, norepinephrine; NE-DA-5HT, norepinephrine-dopamine-5HT; NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate; SNRI, serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; TCA, tricyclic antidepressant.

^{*} Ten patients were excluded because of missing admission FIM cognitive scores.