#### COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM

Office of Community and Rural Affairs One North Capitol, Suite 600 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2027

# MONITORING WORKBOOK

(October 2016)

#### SMALL CITIES COMMUNITY BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM

Grantee		 	
County			
Type of Project			
Date of Monitoring		 	
Type of Monitoring ☐ F	inal □ Interim		
Conducted by		 	

## CDBG MONITORING GUIDELINES

Da	te of Environmental Release			
Da	te of Release of Funds			
1.	Indicate which environmental classification is applicable to this project per 2	4 CFR	58:	
	☐ Exempt Activities (Need verification letter) (24 CFR 58.34)			
	☐ Categorically Excluded Activities (24 CFR 58.35)			
	☐ Assessment Activities (24 CFR 58.36)			
	☐ Environmental Impact Statement (24 CFR 58.37)			
2.	Are maps included in ER file?	□ Ye	s 🗆 No	□ N/A
3.	Construction Contracts			
	Prime Contractor(s) Company Name Date Signed	Amou	ınt	
		Yes	No —	N/A
4.	Were constructions contracts signed prior to Release of Funds?			
	a. Was prior approval granted for incurring costs?			
	b. If so, list costs, dates incurred and date(s) authorization granted			
5.	Does the project have a Subrecipient?			
	a. If yes, complete the Subrecipient Agreement section at the end of the manual.			
6.	Did any relocation occur as a result of this project?			
	a. If yes, complete the Relocation section at the end of the manual.			

## **CIVIL RIGHTS**

		Yes	No	N/A
1.	When advertising job vacancies, (non-elected positions) does Grantee state they are an Equal Opportunity Employer?			
2.	When advertising job vacancies, does sub-recipient state they are an Equal Opportunity Employer?			
3.	Did Grantee have the EEO posters displayed?			
4.	Were these posters placed in a conspicuous location in the workplace where notices to applicants are customarily posted?			
5.	Have any equal employment opportunity complaints been filed against the grantee within the past five (5) years?			
	a. If yes, were complaints satisfactorily resolved?			
6.	Is Grantee's Drug Free Workplace policy posted in public areas or provided in writing to all employees?			
7.	Does grantee have Fair Housing notice displayed?			
8.	Has the Affirmatively Further Fair Housing CDBG File checklist been submitted?			
	a. What activity was chosen?			
	b. Is their evidence the activity is complete?			
9.	Does the grantee have counseling services available regarding fair housing to those in need?			
10.	Have there been any housing complaints filed against the grantee within the past five years?			
	a. If yes, were complaints satisfactorily resolved?			
11.	Was 10% MBE/WBE participation goal achieved on this project?			
12.	Did Grant Services receive a copy of the "Certificate of Accessibility" form?			
13.	Was Grant Services provided a copy of Section 3 Compliance form for all contractors?			
14.	Was Grant Services provided a copy of an updated Disclosure Report?			
15.	Has the grantee ensured that no conflict of interest, real or apparent, exists with respect to any contract supported by CDBG funds? (24 CFR 570.611)			

## **ACQUISITION**

#### ☐ SECTION NOT APPLICABLE

Nu	mber of permanent/temporary easements obtained				
Nu	mber of parcels obtained				
		Yes	No	N/A	
1.	Was acquisition documents reviewed at ROF's?				
	a. Date of approval				
2.	Did grantee pay or reimburse owner for closing costs?				
3.	Did monitor receive copies of canceled checks and closing statement to document that owner received payment in full?				
4.	Were any properties or easements acquired AFTER ROF?				
	a. If so, bring items back to office.				
5.	Were all conveyances by Warranty Deed(s) rather than Quit Claim Deed(s)?				
6.	Were all interests in real property conveyed to the Grantee or subrecipient under this project (easements, leasehold, interests and fee simple interest) recorded with applicable County Recorder's Office?				

## ENGINEERING OR ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES

## REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

Co	onsultant			
Da	te Contract Signed			
Co	ontract Amount			
Pro	ocurement Method			
Pa	id with Federal Funds? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No (If No, Procurement Procedures do	not app	ly.)	
1.	Was the Request for Qualifications published in a newspaper of general circulation?	Yes □	No □	<b>N/A</b> □
2.	Date Statement of Qualifications Due			
3.	Did the Grantee/Consultant agreement clearly establish:			
	a. Operating budget			
	b. Scope of work			
	c. Method of payment			
	d. Performance schedule			
	e. Description of services			
	f. Record retention requirements			
	g. Reporting to grantee requirements			
4.	Did Engineering/Architectural Contracts include "Third Party Contract Provisions"?			
5.	Was the performance of the consultant consistent with the terms of the agreement and CDBG guidelines?			
6.	Were any issues noted at ROF?			
	a. If yes, list			

## **GRANT ADMINISTRATION SERVICES**

## REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Co	onsultant		Administrator			
Da	te Contract Signed					
Co	ontract Amount					
Pro	ocurement Method	RFP	□ Other			
Pa	id with Federal Funds?	Yes □ No	(If No, Procurement Procedures do	not app	ly.)	
				<b>X</b> 7	NT	DT/A
1.	Was the Request for Proposal circulation?	s (RFP) pu	blished in a newspaper of general	Yes □	No	N/A □
	a. Date					
2.	Date Proposals Due					
3.	Did the Grantee/Consultant ag	greement c	learly establish:			
	a. Operating budget					
	b. Scope of work					
	c. Method of payment					
	d. Performance schedule					
	e. Description of services					
	f. Record retention requirem	nents				
	g. Reporting to grantee requi	irements				
4.	Did the Grant Administration Provisions"?	Contract in	nclude "Third Party Contract			
5.	Did it appear that the perform terms of their contract and CE		Consultant was consistent with the lines?			
6.	Were any issues noted at ROF	<b>3</b> ?				
	a. If yes, list					

## PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES

#### COMPETITIVE SEALED BID METHOD

		<b>y</b> es	No	N/A
1.	Was the Invitation for Bid (IFB) published at least twice in a publication of general circulation at least one week apart, with the second publication made at least seven (7) days before the date the bids were to be received?			
	a. Dates			
2.	Was the contract awarded based on a "Firm, Fixed Price" basis?			
3.	Prior to contract award, were the contractors eligibility verified?			
4.	Did the construction contract include the Complete Federal Construction Contract Provisions? (Check One:)			
	☐ Attached to contract ☐ Reference to bid specifications			
5.	Did the construction contract include the following Federal and State Bonding Requirements?			
	a. Bid Guarantee equivalent to 5% of bid price			
	b. Performance Bond in the amount of 100% of the contract price			
	c. Payment Bond in the amount of 100% of the contract price			

#### PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES

#### NONCOMPETITIVE NEGOTIATION

#### **□** SECTION NOT APPLICABLE

1.	Was this approval prior to ROF?	Yes □	No □	<b>N/A</b> □
	a. If yes, approval date			
	b. If no, approval date granted			
2.	Were any items purchased under this method?			
3.	Which of the following circumstances applied to this procurement?			
	a. Was item available only from a single source?			
	b. Did an emergency exist which would not permit the delay caused by competitive solicitation?			
	c. After solicitation of a number of sources, was competition determined to be inadequate			
	d. Was Noncompetitive Procurement authorized by Grant Services? (Required)			
4.	Is a "Cost-Price" analysis provided for all sole source procurement?			
	a. Was there an evaluation of specific elements of costs and proposed profit?			
	b. Was proposed cost data verified by a licensed or credible third party?			
	c. Was procurement contract based on a firm, fixed price?			
	d. Was the Cost-Price analysis performed or certified by professional engineer, licensed architect, or other qualified professional			
	PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES			
	SMALL PURCHASE METHOD			
	SECTION NOT APPLICABLE			
1.	Were any small purchases made? (If no, section does not apply)	Yes □	No □	<b>N/A</b> □
2.	Provider's name			
3.	Amount of purchase			
4.	Was the amount of the services, supplies or other property procured under the small purchases method less than the amount allowed (\$100,000)? <b>If no,</b>			
_	purchase is ineligible!	_	_	_
5.	For the small purchase(s) made for this project were written price quotations received from at least three qualified sources?	Ц	Ц	Ц
6	Was the product purchased from the lowest priced quotation?		П	

## LABOR STANDARDS

		Yes	No	N/A
1.	Did Grant Services receive the following required forms?			
	a. Notice of Contract Award			
	b. Preconstruction Conf. Acknowledgement			
	c. Notice to Start Construction			
	d. Notice of Completion/Final Inspect			
	e. Final Wage Compliance			
2.	Was the Contractor Certification available for review?			
3.	Was the Sub-Contractor Certification available for review?			
4.	Number of Sub-Contractors that worked on the project			
5.	Number of Sub-Contracts reviewed			
6.	Were the Federal Construction Contract Provisions including the HUD from 4010 attached to all the Sub-Contractors reviewed?			
7.	What was Bid Opening Date?			
8.	Date of Contract Award			
9.	Was the contract awarded within 90 days of bid opening?			
10.	Applicable Wage Decision			
11.	Was any volunteer labor used on the job?			
	a. If yes, are Labor Standards Forms 18 & 19 completed and available?			
	b. If so, did Community Assistance and HUD give necessary approval?			
12.	Was any work performed by city or county employees on this project? (Force Account)			
	a. If so, were records available to document the use of public employees?			

Labor Standards cont.	Yes	No	N/A
13. Were Certified Payrolls submitted weekly by all Contractors and Sub-Contractors and signed by authorized personnel?			
14. Was the first payroll for a period ending within a reasonable time after the stated start of construction date?			
a. 1st Payroll Date			
b. Start of Construction Date			
15. If Contractors submitted payroll information on a form other than the Certified Payroll form, was a WH-348, Statement of Compliance included with each payroll?			
16. Did any wage deficiencies occur during this project?			
a. If so, has proper restitution been made and documented?			
b. Have copies of restitution payments been provided to Grant Services?			
17. Were employee interviews conducted with at least 10% of each classification of workers?			
18. Did any contractor use Apprentices on this project?			
a. If yes, was verification in the project file to confirm each Apprentice's enrollment in a bonafide Apprenticeship Program?			
b. Did contractor always have a proper ratio of Journeymen on the project site when Apprentices were working?			

## WAGE MONITORING

#### WAGE/FRINGE DECISION

Wage Decision	County
---------------	--------

Classifications Used	Wages Due	F/B Due	<b>Total Due</b>

## WAGE MONITORING

#### PAYROLL REVIEW

Contractor Name	# of Payrolls	Employee Name	Classification	Wages Paid	F/B Paid	Total Paid	Comments

## FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

		Yes	No	N/A
1.	Was a separate ledger account created by Grantee for tracking grant funds and local matching funds specifically for this project?			
2.	Was Federal Cash Control Register maintained?			
3.	Was Contract Obligations Control listing all contracts maintained?			
4.	Were Contractor Expenditure Ledgers maintained?			
5.	Was Property Inventory form completed?			
6.	Was the Local Match Ledger form completed?			
7.	Were drawdowns limited to the minimum amount of funds needed to pay current expenses?			
8.	Do source documents backup expenditures and draws (invoices, billings)? (Check off on Ledgers)			
9.	Were Federal Funds greater than \$5,000 held longer than 5 business days?			
	a. If so, can the grantee justify why the funds drawn down were not disbursed pursuant to US Treasury requirements?			
10.	. Were change orders made by any contractor totaling more than 20% of their original contract price and a copy provided to Grant Services?			
	a. Has the engineer submitted a written statement explaining the unforeseen circumstances which caused the excessive amount of change orders?			

Financial Management cont.	Yes	No	N/A
11. List the pledged amount of local funds per the grant agreement:			
\$% of total project			
12. List the actual amount of local funds spent on this project:			
\$% of total project			
13. Did grantee meet the percentage of local matching funds pledged?			
14. If actual expenditures from local funds exceeded the amount pledged in the Grant Agreement, what was the source of the additional funds?			
15. Did grantee contribute local funds on a pro-rata matching basis toward all disbursements as required?			
16. Did grantee establish a "Retainage Account" required by state law for all public works projects in excess of \$100,000?			
17. Name of trustee for retainage agreement/account	□ Не	ld at St	ate
18. Did grantee make timely pro-rata contributions of local funds to retainage account(s) in addition to CDBG funds? (Required)			
19. Has retainage account been disbursed?			
a. If not, describe any outstanding Grantee/Contractor disputes or other factors delaying liquidation of retainage account			

#### SCHEDULE OF CDBG DRAWDOWNS AND DISBURSEMENTS

Draw #	Amount	Date Deposited	Date Disbursed	Check#	Amount Disbursed	Payee

## CDBG CLOSEOUT WORKSHEET

		Budget				Actual				
Item	Activity	CDBG	Local	Other	Total	CDBG	Local	Other	Total	
	TOTAL									

## NATIONAL OBJECTIVE

1.		hich of the following c th one of the national c			this CDBG assisted activity complied a)(2)?
		Activities benefiting land 570.483(b)]	ow and moderate inco	me persons [Ro	egulation References: 570.208(a)(1)
		☐ Area Benefit	☐ Limited Clientele	☐ Housing	☐ Job Creation and Retention
		Activities which aid i 570.208(b)(1) and 57	-	mination of slu	ms or blight [Regulation References:
		☐ Area Basis	☐ Spot Basis		
		•		•	s having a particular urgency or e 570.208(c) and 570.483(d)]
	A	CTIVITIES BEN	EFITING LOW A	ND MODEI	RATE INCOME PERSONS
			AREA I	BENEFIT	
		REGULATI	ON REFERENCES: 57	70.208(A)(1)	AND 570.483(B)(1)
1.		activity, the benefits of the residents are l			ents in a particular area, where at least
2.	Wa	as 51% LMI determine	d by		
		HUD Census Data			
		Income Survey (If yes	s, the following are rec	juired.)	
		1) Certified by	Date _		
		☐ Description of ser	vice area and how it w	as determined	
		☐ Population list and	d description of how it	was determine	ed
		☐ Copy of complete	d sample size calculate	or screen print	
		☐ Description of pro	ocess used to draw sam	ple from popu	lation
		☐ Copy of list of far	nilies that form initial	sample	
		☐ Copy of list of far	nilies actually sampled	1	
		☐ Description of wh	y families were replac	ed and procedu	are adopted
		☐ Comparison of su	rvey results with most	recent LMISD	
		☐ Income Survey Co	ertificate		
		☐ LMI Worksheet			

## ACTIVITIES BENEFITING LOW AND MODERATE INCOME PERSONS LIMITED CLIENTELE

REGULATION REFERENCES: 570.208(A)(2) AND 570.483(B)(2)

	activi sons.	ty which benefits	a limited clientele, at least 5	1% of whom are low and moderate income	
mo				enerally presumed to be principally low an presumptive category does this project	d
	Abus	ed Children	☐ Battered Spouses	☐ Handicapped Persons	
	Elder	rly Persons (62+)	☐ Migrant Farm Wor	ckers Persons with AIDS	
	Home	eless Persons	☐ Illiterate Persons		
		•	h nature and in such location low and moderate income per	that it may be concluded that the activity's rsons.	}
a.			cility/service, is there any rea and moderate income persons	ason to believe that this activity does not s? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
		yes, the reason for because:	or believing that this activity	does not meet the needs of low/mod persor	ıS
		_		gment of the population presumed to be to believe the majority are not low/mod, or	
			e activity, information on the dated or properly assessed as	e income status of participants is not being s it should be, or	
		_		ity would lead you to believe that the rity leads you to think otherwise.	
b.	Based		• •	lifies as benefiting low and moderate incon	16
	1) If	no, explain:			
		PREVENTIO	N OR ELIMINATION	OF SLUMS OR BLIGHT	
		REGULATION R	REFERENCE 570.208(B)(1)	) AND 570.483(C)(1) AND (2)	
	Areav	wide basis [	☐ Spot basis		

## **ELIGIBILE ACTIVITIES**

		Yes	No	N/A
1.	Were any ineligible activities conducted which were not in compliance with CDBG regulations?			
2.	Is grantee fully aware that the CDBG assisted facility must continue to be used for its intended purpose for five years from the date of close out?			
3.	Does grantee understand the reversion requirement of CDBG funds used for facilities which failed to meet the national objective or failed to retain their intended use for a minimum of 5 years after close out?			
4.	Were there any changes to the scope of the project or the intended beneficiaries during the process from beginning to end?			
	a. Did those changes affect the national objective or eligibility guidelines?			
5.	Have the national objective and project eligibility guidelines been met?			
6.	Were citizens well informed of the scope of this project?			
7.	Were there any citizen complaints?			
	a. Did grantee make every effort to resolve citizen complaints within a reasonable time period?			
8.	Was a site visit conducted as a part of the monitoring process?			
9.	Did the facility accommodate the national objective and program beneficiaries as projected?			
	Proposed Beneficiaries Actual Beneficiaries			
10.	If this project was for sewer or water, are all new users connected?			
11.	Was the facility being used for any purpose other than that intended?			
12.	Were any special assessments or user fees being charged or assessed?			
13.	Is the CDBG assisted facility fully insured with proof of insurance available?			
14.	Was it necessary to review intake documents at the project site?			
	a. Did intake documents substantiate 51% low to moderate income level of program beneficiaries?			
15.	Is project generating "Program Income"?			
	a. Does program income from all CDBG assisted projects exceed \$25,000 per calendar year?			
	b. Is grantee aware that CDBG Program Income must be disbursed, in accordance with CDBG guidelines, prior to the award or drawdown of additional CDBG funds?			

Eligible Activities cont.	Yes	No	N/A
16. Is grantee aware that when monitoring has been completed with no findings, or with findings resolved, the Form 2 – Financial Settlement/Expenditure Report must be submitted to Grant Services within 30 days of monitoring letter?			
17. Have all grant goals been met to complete Administrative Closeout (Form 3)?			
Monitor's Comments regarding execution of CDBG grant program and procedure	es:		
			_
			_

#### LIST OF DOCUMENTS TO BE RECEIVED BY GRANT SERVICES

	Affirmatively Further Fair Housing Checklist (Civil Rights Form 3)
	☐ Evidence selected activity completed
	Section 3 Compliance (Civil Rights Form 8)
	Certificate of Accessibility (Civil Rights Form 9)
	Updated Disclosure Report
	Notice of Completion/Final Inspection (Labor Standards Form 16)
	Final Wage Compliance (Labor Standards Form 15)
	Federal Cash Control Register (Financial Management Form 1)
	Contract Obligations Control (Financial Management Form 2)
	Contractor Expenditure Ledgers (Financial Management Form 3)
	Property Inventory form (Financial Management Form 4)
	Local Match Ledger form (Financial Management Form 5)
If not a	already received:
	Engineer/Architectural Services Contract
	Grant Administration Services Contract
	Notice of Contract Award (Labor Standards Form 7)
	Preconstruction Conference Acknowledgement (Labor Standards Form 8)
	Notice to Start Construction (Labor Standards Form 12)
If appl	icable:
	Small Purchase Method Documents
	Properties or Easements Acquired after ROF
	Restitution Payments
	Apprenticeship Program verification
	Change Orders for more than 20% and written statement from Engineer/Architect
	Justification for holding funds more than 5 days
	Income Survey Documents
	Intake Documents
	Photo(s) of Project

#### SUBRECIPIENT AGREEMENTS

#### ☐ SECTION NOT APPLICABLE

Sub	recij	pient Agency Name			
Dat	e Su	brecipient Agreement Signed			
			Yes	No	N/A
1.	Wa	s the Subrecipient Agreement reviewed at ROF's?			
2.	Dat	te Lien and Restrictive Covenant recorded?			
3.	We	re the Third Party Contract Provisions attached to the Subrecipient Agreement?			
4.	Dic	the grantee forward CDBG funds to the Subrecipient for payment to contractors?			
	a.	Was a separate ledger account created for tracking grant funds and local matching funds specifically for this project? (Required by I.C.36-1-8-12 effective 7/1/97)			
	b.	Was Federal Cash Control Register maintained and available for review			
	c.	Was Contract Obligations Control listing all contracts maintained and available for review?			
	d.	Were Contractor Expenditure Ledgers (separate ledger for each contractor) maintained and available for review?			
	e.	Was Property Inventory form completed and available for review?			
	f.	Were drawdowns limited to the minimum amount of funds needed to pay current expenses?			
	g.	Do source documents backup expenditures and draws (invoices, billings)?			
	h.	Were Federal Funds greater than \$5,000 held longer than 5 days?			
		1) If so, can the subrecipient justify why the funds drawn down were not disbursed under the required time frame?			
5.	Is t	he subrecipient's performance consistent with CDBG regulations?			
6.	. Is subrecipient aware of the minimum length of time the CDBG assisted facility must continue to be used for its intended purpose as specified in the contract agreement with the grantee?				
7.	wh	es subrecipient understand the reversion requirement of CDBG funds used for facilities ich failed to meet the National Objective or failed to retain the intended use for a nimum of five years after closeout?			
8.	24	es the Grantee have a procedure in place to monitor the subrecipient's compliance with CFR 570.503 for a period of five years after the Certificate of Completion is issued by ant Services?			

## RELOCATION

REFERENCE: HUD HANDBOOK NUMBER 1378

#### ☐ SECTION NOT APPLICABLE

		Yes	No	N/A
1.	Did each person occupying the property receive an informational brochure explaining their rights under the Uniform Relocation Assistance Act?			
2.	Did each person receive timely written notice of eligibility for relocation assistance?			
3.	Was each person personally interviewed to determine person's relocation needs and preferences and to explain rights?			
4.	Were appropriate social services provided?			
5.	Did grantee provide referrals to suitable replacement locations?			
6.	Did grantee provide appropriate technical aid to re-establish business operations?			
7.	Did grantee receive timely written identification of location and price (or rent and utility costs) of specific comparable replacement dwelling used as basis for determining maximum replacement housing payment?			
8.	Did person receive referrals to other comparable replacement housing units?			
9.	Did grantee inspect replacement housing unit to which person moved?			
10.	Did grantee inspect comparable replacement housing unit on which maximum replacement housing payment was based?			
11.	Did grantee inspect other housing units before referral or notify person at time of referral of requirement for inspection before payment			
12.	Did persons ordered to vacate, receive prior 90-day notice?			
13.	If a 90-day notice was issued, did person receive prior referral to comparable replacement housing?			
14.	If applicable, was 30-day vacate notice delivered?			
15.	Were payments made promptly, including advance payments, where appropriate?			
16.	Was rental charge reasonable?			
17.	Were dwelling units maintained in safe and habitable condition?			
18.	If temporarily relocated, was person reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses (increased housing costs and moving expenses to and from the temporary unit)?			
19.	Was housing decent, safe and sanitary?			
20.	Did tenant receive lease with rent and other terms and conditions in accordance with applicable standards?			
21.	Were there any relocation appeals?			
22.	Were persons whose appeal was partially or fully denied informed of right to appeal to State?			

## ACTIVITIES BENEFITING LOW AND MODERATE INCOME PERSONS HOUSING ACTIVITIES

REGULATION REFERENCE 570.208(A)(3) AND 570.483(B)(3)

1.	An eligible activity carried out for the purpose of providing or improving permanent residential structures which, upon completion, will be occupied by low and moderate income households. Which of the following eligible activities does this project address?			
		Rehabilitation (100% LMI)		
		Acquisition or public infrastructure (51% LMI)		
	A	ACTIVITIES BENEFITING LOW AND MODERATE INCOME PERSONS		
		JOB CREATION AND RETENTION		
		REGULATION REFERENCE 570.208(A)(4) AND 570.483(A)(4)		
1.	a f	n activity designed to create or retain permanent jobs where at least 51% of the jobs, computed on full time equivalent basis, involve the employment of low and moderate income persons. The tivity must meet the following criteria:		
		For an activity that creates jobs, grantee must document that at least 51% of the jobs are held by or have been made available to low and moderate income persons.		
		For an activity that retains jobs, the grantee must document that the jobs would actually be lost without the CDBG assistance and that either or both of the following conditions apply with respect to at least 51% of the jobs at the time the CDBG assistance is provided:		
		$\Box$ The job is known to be held by a low or moderate income person, <b>OR</b>		
		☐ The job can reasonably be expected to turn over within two years after project completion, and that steps will be taken to ensure that it will be taken to ensure that it will be filled by, or made available to, a low or moderate income person upon turnover.		
	a.	Provide the following information relative to this project:		
		1) Jobs goal		
		2) Jobs actual (at date of monitoring)		
		3) Number LMI		
		4) Percentage LMI		
		5) Were the jobs created those listed on the job creation agreement?		
		a) If not, is a justification provided?		
	b.	Describe methodology used to properly document compliance with job creation/retention regulatory requirements:		

## PARTICULAR URGENCY OR IMMINENT THREAT

REGULATION REFERENCE 570.208(c) and 570.483(d)

An activity will be considered to address this objective if the recipient certifies that the activity is designed to alleviate existing conditions which pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community and are: Of recent origin (within 18 months) AND
No other sources of funding available (documentation required)

## **Financial Monitoring Report**

Grantee:	Grant #:		Project:	
Drawdown/Reversion Summary: CDBG Funds Allocated: Less Drawdowns: Reversions:				
Expense/Refund Summary: Drawdowns Received: Program Income Received: Total Funds Received: Expenses at Monitoring: Refunds Due:				
Budget Compliance Summary:				
Program Activity:	Budgeted Amount Allocated	Grant Funds Expended	Program Income Applied	Total Expenses at Monitoring
Totals:				

#### MONITORING DATA ENTRY SHEET

Grantee	Grant Number		
Participating Industry			
Environmental Review Met	Release of Funds Date		
Administrative Firm	Administrator Name		
Date of Monitoring	Type (Final/Interim)		
Final Project Transaction Date			
Number of Findings Noted in Letter:	Procurement Environmental Review Financial Labor Standards Performance MBE/WBE Participation Reporting Local Match Job Creation/Beneficiaries Acquisition FHEO		
Date of Letter	Date Response is Due (if applicable)		
Number of Unresolved Findings	<b>Comments Regarding Unresolved Findings</b>		
Procurement			
Response Received	Date Findings Resolved		
Step 1C Due	Step 2 Due		
Monitor	Contractor Info. Entered in MITAS		
MITAS STAGES	MITAS TREE		

## **Grant Administrator Monitoring Summary**

Grantee	Grant Number		
Grant Administrator Name	Firm		
Date of Monitoring	Type (Final/Interim)		
Date of Monitoring Letter	-		
Date Findings Resolved	-		
this area. The administrator will have 30	- rected. Most issues found in a monitoring will fall under 0 days from the date on the monitoring letter to resolve olved within 30 days, it becomes a violation.		
• ,	annot be corrected. An example is a Semi-Annual Report . Each general finding will count as 1/3 of a violation. It		
Town or County. A Grantee Finding will Example: The City did not issue payment on the 6 <sup>th</sup> day. This does not mean the Grant Administrator has not overseen the would be a Grantee Finding as well as a	ements that are the primary responsibility of the City, not be counted against the Grant Administrator. It within 5 business days; however, payment was made Grant Administrator can ignore this requirement. If the his issue and payment was not made for 30 days, it General Finding.  multiple letters to the same Grant Administrator, it will		
Entered into Violations Record	_		