from the house Democratic Caucus, who
informed us that our reports were due the
next day, Friday the 19th, at noon. And
stating that we could file them online, send
them to Matt himself, send them to then
political director of the House Caucus Grand
Official or send them to Abbey Taylor.

On the next day, Friday, October 19th, at 9:49 as my emails also show, I sent an email with my campaign finance report attached directly to Abbey Taylor.

At roughly 10:30 that morning, Friday, October 19th, got a call from grand official about an unrelated campaign matter.

He said, "Oh, by the way, I got a list of candidates from Abbey Taylor of candidates who have not filed their campaign finance reports yet."

I said, "No problem. I filed them about 30 to 40 minutes ago."

He said, "Okay. I'll check with Abbey and let you know."

Important to note, I'm not blaming anybody in this situation. As you'll see, I was failed by technology, not by any person.

2.0

So, again, at this point, I never got -- I never received any response to the email with the campaign finance report. No bounce-back that it didn't go through. No response. No confirmation from Abbey Taylor, which was fine because I imagined that everyone was trying to get their things in at that point, and she was just bogged down.

So, again, I thought I was good until October 24th -- Wednesday, October 24th, when I got a letter in the mail stating that my campaign finance report was delinquent.

As a first-time candidate, I went into full-on panic mode. And I'm not sure what she could have done, but I decided to call Abbey Taylor's office at that point after hours. Again, not sure why. But I panicked, and I left her a voicemail because obviously she wasn't in.

She got back with me the very next morning. Very first thing. I can't remember whether it was a phone call or an email. But at 8:06 as my email shows, I resent -- after talking with Abbey -- I resent my campaign finance report. And she acknowledged, again,

2.3

2.5

that	she	did not get i	Lt, that	the issue was
				That fact came
to li	ght	after trying	faxing,	emailing again

What finally worked was we had to break it into three separate emails in order to get it to go through. So, again, given all this information, I just -- I ask for your mercy. It wasn't like -- it was not laziness on my part. It wasn't -- my campaign finance report -- I don't know technically or legally -- I sent it in two hours before the report was due in a fashion that I was told I could.

Going forward obviously as now required by law, I'll be putting them in online. And after this incident with supplemental reports and my annual report, I felt like I became a little bothersome to Abbey because I would send it, I would wait about an hour, and I would send a confirmation email, "Hey. Did you get this?"

So, again, as with most people, no malicious intent on my part.

MS. TAYLOR: Hey. We're good friends

- 1 now.
- MR. MILLER: Yes, we are.
- MR. OKESON: Just to clarify, your
- 4 contention is that you attempted to send it
- 5 in email.
- 6 MR. MILLER: Correct.
- 7 MR. OKESON: Your assumption was that
- 8 it successfully went through.
- 9 You did not receive it?
- MS. TAYLOR: Correct.
- MR. MILLER: Typically, you'll get --
- 12 if a file's too large or something, you'll
- get a bounce-back email saying never
- 14 received.
- MS. NUSSMEYER: Mr. Chairman, if I
- 16 may, I looked at the emails and that is
- 17 Abbey's email address, abtaylor@iec.in.gov.
- 18 And I don't know if it got caught up in a
- 19 spam filter or what have you --
- MR. OKESON: Well, I was going to
- 21 | ask --
- MS. TAYLOR: I think the file size was
- 23 too big. It never did come through. I don't
- 24 remember having to --
- MR. OKESON: You reviewed your spam

1	folder?
2	MS. TAYLOR: Yeah.
3	MS. NUSSMEYER: Generally speaking,
4	25,000 is where it starts to come back. So
5	it's possible.
6	MR. OKESON: Is there precedence
7	for Mr. King the Chair recognizes
8	Mr. King to make a statement on that, please.
9	MR. KING: Mr. Chairman and members
10	of the commission, just to mention that as
11	the committee did just now allude to there
12	has been a change in law effective July 1st
13	so that from this point going forward, all
14	candidates for legislative office will be
15	required to file online. And so we will not
16	have problems in the future regarding faxes,
17	attachment sizes not making it through.
18	And there is precedence in the
19	commission's prior proceedings where a
20	technical glitch on commission's end has been
21	grounds for the commission to adopt a motion
22	to dismiss.
23	MR. OKESON: Would anyone care to make
24	a motion to that effect?

MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: I would move

to dismiss this proceeding on the basis of 1 the technical failures that seemed to have 2 3 occurred at this time. MR. OKESON: Do I have a second? 4 MS. WILSON OVERHOLT: Second. 5 MR. OKESON: All those in favor 6 7 signify by saying, "Aye." 8 ALL TOGETHER: Aye. 9 MR. OKESON: Any opposed, "Nay." The ayes have it. Dismissed. 10 11 MR. MILLER: Thank you. 12 MR. OKESON: Next. 13 Go ahead and state your name, please, and spell it into the microphone. 14 15 MR. KHARBANDA: Mr. Chairman and 16 members of the commission, and I'm Indiana 17 Election Committee. My name is Jesse Kharbanda, J-e-s-s-e, K-h-a-r-b-a-n-d-a. 18 19 I was a first-time candidate for public 20 office in 2016. And this is my first 21 appearance before the commission. 22 cause --23 MR. OKESON: What was the committee 2.4 name? 25 MR. KHARBANDA: JK All the Way. And

1	the cause number is 2018-6793-85.
2	MS. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman, this is
3	on page 9. It's JK All the Way. Cause
4	number 2018-6793-85. This is a motion to
5	reconsider. This committee was before the
6	board last August. And he's bringing it as a
7	motion to reconsider.
8	MR. OKESON: Is there anything that
9	would be left from that particular meeting
10	that would provide any information on this?
11	MS. TAYLOR: He was not present at
12	that meeting. So he was just assessed the
13	fine at the time.
14	MR. OKESON: Got you.
15	MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Did you
16	receive notice of the last meeting?
17	
18	explain the context as to timing of receiving
19	that notice.
20	MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Let's
21	shorthand it here.
22	MR. KHARBANDA: Sure.
2 3	MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: You
24	weren't you weren't here at the last

meeting. Why was it? Was it because the

1	notice came too late?
2	MR. KHARBANDA: No. I didn't realize
3	that I had received a notice. The notice
4	doesn't come to my home address. It goes to
5	a PO Box. And I had a series of health
6	issues that I wanted to spell out to kind of
7	explain why that's the case.
8	MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Before you do
9	that, do we have a time limit after judgment
10	is entered, or an order entered for someone
11	to speak to appeal those?
12	MR. OKESON: Mr. Simmons, do you care
13	to address that?
14	MR. SIMMONS: Mr. Chairman, members of
15	the commission, we actually addressed the
16	question to attorney general on that whether
17	there would be any limit to that that makes
18	it whatever commission policy is on that is
19	fine with us. So
2 0	MR. OKESON: Right back at you. So
21	we're reconsidering is there a file in
2 2	this matter?

annual which was due January 2018. Right

now, I don't remember the exact date.

MS. TAYLOR: Yes. This is the 2017

23

24

- 1	
1	SPEAKER: January 17 of '18.
2	MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: So
3	Mr. Kharbanda, if we were to reopen this,
4	would you be willing to pay the 25 percent
5	since you didn't have any prior violations?
6	MR. KHARBANDA: I would just like to
7	explain my my hope is that this can be
8	dismissed. That is my hope. And I want to
9	sort of lay it out. I've got
10	documentation
11	MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Oh, as to why
12	you were late to filing?
13	MR. KHARBANDA: Yes. Exactly.
14	MR. OKESON: I'm having trouble
15	finding the order from the last meeting.
16	MS. TAYLOR: I looked and couldn't
17	find it.
18	MR. OKESON: So there's no copy of the
19	order?
20	MR. SIMMONS: Not that I can find.
21	MR. OKESON: Okay. Yeah. Go ahead.
22	While we're reviewing this, why don't you
23	present any information you'd like us to
24	considered in your matter.
25	MR. KHARBANDA: Thank you,

- Mr. Chairman. I had filed my 2016 annual report from my candidate committee on time in January 2017. Furthermore, I filed my 2018 annual report on time in January of this year.
 - However, I did not file a 2017 annual report on time in January 2018. I did file it in January this year. I had intended to file my 2017 annual report on Saturday, January 13, 2018, but ended up being in the Methodist Hospital emergency room on Thursday, January 11th and Friday, January 12th with an upper respiratory bacterial infection and a situation of severe dehydration.

I was still quite ill even after I was discharged from Methodist Compounding that infection was my contending with an original emergency room bill of \$10,433, and an original ambulance bill of \$1,939.

Documentation for both bills are attached, which I would be happy to give to the commissioners and the counsel.

MR. OKESON: Please present that to Co-counsel Simmons.

2.1

2.5

MR. KHARBANDA: As you can imagine, commissioners, the repercussions of my emergency room visit threw off everything in my life for a while, including my plan to draft the 2017 annual report on January 13, 2018. My health challenges, furthermore, were not restricted to my emergency room visit.

In January 2018, I ended up being in urgent care in South Bend on March 21, 2018. And over the course of 2018, I ended up having an additional ten different medical appointments, Largely, to deal with very severe sinus, ear, and nose inflammation-related issues.

I regret that all of these unexpected issues led me to overlook filing the 2017 annual report in 2018. I did file the 2017 report in January 2019. Please do note that I received no campaign contributions in 2017, 2018, or this present year.

I would be very grateful if you could please dismiss this case and waive the thousand-dollar penalty in light of the considerable extenuating circumstances with

2.0

- regard to my health in 2018. As well as considerable unexpected medical expenses in 2018.
- I assure you that I will diligently

 file my campaign finance reports going

 forward just as I have done this year. Thank

 you very much.
 - MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Can I ask when was this report due?
- MS. TAYLOR: January 17, 2018.
- MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Why did it take you a year to file the report? I mean,
 I understand if you were in the hospital at the time. But why a year?
 - MR. KHARBANDA: Honestly, I was just so consumed with health issues throughout that year that it just left my radar. You know, I've never had a year of this much physical challenge, ten medical appointments, one visit to the urgent care, two-day visit to the emergency room. It just was a year of real trial for my health. This year's much better. I don't foresee anything serious happening that would prevent me being very diligent, very timely in the future. And I

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- 1 just would appreciate your clemency given the
- 2 health and financial challenges I faced in
- 3 2018.
- MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Did you have
- 5 a treasurer?
- 6 MR. KHARBANDA: I was the treasurer.
- 7 This reflects, again, as far as it's been
- 8 my -- you know, noviceness in running for
- 9 office.
- MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: But you're
- 11 keeping your committee open?
- MR. KHARBANDA: I'm willing to close
- my committee if that would move the
- 14 commission to dismiss this case.
- SPEAKER 1: Do you have any balance in
- 16 your account?
- 17 MR. KHARBANDA: I do. I don't know
- 18 how much precisely, but it's fairly modest.
- MS. TAYLOR: The last report showed a
- 20 balance of \$4,884.15.
- 21 MR. KHARBANDA: But I want to mention
- 22 that there are debts that I -- there are
- 23 expenses that I incurred that are debts to my
- 24 committee. So once those are reimbursed by
- 25 the committee, it will be a very small --

- 1 very modest amount of money. I would
- 2 think -- I don't know if you --
- MS. TAYLOR: \$1400 in debts?
- 4 \$1,419.92.
- 5 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: That's a
- 6 balance of a \$2600 or so.
- 7 MS. TAYLOR: Yeah.
- MR. OKESON: Counsel Simmons, I assume
- 9 there's precedence for financial and health
- 10 hardships in the past matters similar to
- 11 this?
- MR. SIMMONS: Sure. That's within the
- 13 discretion of the commission.
- 14 MR. OKESON: Co-counsel Kochevar, do
- 15 | you have anything you'd like to add?
- MR. KOCHEVAR: No, I concur that it's
- 17 at the discretion of the commission. The
- 18 commission has considered health and official
- 19 hardships in the past.
- MR. OKESON: Is there a motion of any
- 21 sort for anything?
- MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: You know, my
- 23 hesitation here is just that -- it kind of
- 24 | puts us in a trick box. Because at what
- 25 | point -- I mean, you were in the hospital on

the 11th and 12th. This report was due on 1 the 17th. It took the year to get it in. 2 And I understand we've all been there having 3 4 bad years. But what point do we say, "Okay. 5 This person's illness implicated that." I mean, two days out, three days out, 6 7 five days out? I mean, that's the problem I 8 have here. So I'm going to go back to my first question. Would you be willing to pay 9 10 25 percent of the penalty? 11 MR. KHARBANDA: Well, my hesitation is 12 simply that I have a sterling record in my 13 professional life, and I'd like that 14 reflected in my brief tenure in my political 15 life. I simply would like to not have the 16 black mark. It's not so much money. 17 just the desire to have a sterling record, not to have a black mark. 18 19 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: You realize 20 that after you would pay it, which you could 21 use your campaign funds to pay it --22 MR. KHARBANDA: Yes.

could close the committee. And then any

subsequent committee you'll open, this will

MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: -- that you

2.3

24

1 | not be reflected on it.

2.1

2.3

MR. KHARBANDA: I understand. I understand. I'm not trying to be stubborn. I appreciate your point. I think that the distinction is -- if this was, you know, a one-time illness, which we all have throughout the year, you know, that would be one matter.

But I think this is a qualitative difference. You know, two days in the emergency room. And then pretty much having to take much of the next week off because of illness. I mean, I remember missing a meeting where we were presenting, in my professional life, an opportunity to be before foundations.

I mean, it was a rather consequential health episode that lasted for several days beyond that, including beyond the deadline of -- for the report. And then, furthermore, the ten medical appointments that I've had. There's no comparison in my 40-plus years of that type of health hardship. In my entire life. I assure you.

And, you know, you have that

- documentation that demonstrates it there.
 You know, just the magnitude of the health
 issue would not have been \$10,000 if it
- 4 weren't a serious health issue.

I just please ask for your -- one time. This will be the only time I'll appear before the commission because I pride myself on being diligent. And I just, you know, appreciate your clemency in a lot of the health and financial circumstances.

MR. OKESON: Any other questions?

MS. BECKLEY: I guess as far as discussion, I don't have a problem with that he filed late. I think that would be, within my view, something that's extenuating circumstances. It's the ten months afterwards where I'm struggling to get beyond at least a 25 percent penalty.

You have the extra funds. It does not seem like you're planning to use them. It seems like you might be considering to close the committee. I don't know. I kind of defer to what you guys would think on that matter.

MR. OKESON: Should we go for a motion

- 1 | first before we get into discussion?
- MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: My motion
- 3 would be to impose the recommended percentage
- 4 of the proposed fine plus mailing costs.
- 5 MS. BECKLEY: So the \$1,000?
- 6 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Yes.
- 7 MR. OKESON: So I have a motion to
- 8 impose the full fine plus mailing costs. Do
- 9 I have a second?
- MS. BECKLEY: I'll second.
- MR. OKESON: I have a second. Any
- 12 discussion?
- MR. KHARBANDA: Could I please -- is
- 14 there an opportunity to speak?
- 15 MR. OKESON: You've had your chance to
- 16 present.
- MS. BECKLEY: So I've seconded it.
- 18 Which means we can discuss this.
- So maybe if -- maybe we can go less
- 20 than the full, which is why we advise people
- 21 in the beginning of this meeting because
- 22 that's what you face. So if you get anything
- less than full, you should still be thankful.
- 24 And I would say that I would be willing to
- 25 consider less than full.

1	MR. OKESON: So correct me if I'm
2	wrong, Counsel Simmons. But we have a motion
3	and second. We would have to vote on that?
4	MS. BECKLEY: We can move to amend.
5	MR. SIMMONS: Any vote on a motion to
6	reduce has to be unanimous to reduce.
7	MR. OKESON: Correct. But we have a
8	motion and a second. Now, I think what I'm
9	hearing is entertaining yeah. I'm just
10	trying to think procedurally.
11	MR. SIMMONS: Well, the commission has
12	an unlimited amending pending motions. But I
13	think it's better to get rid of a motion
14	before you do
15	MR. OKESON: Do I have to take a vote
16	to get rid of the previous motion?
17	MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: I think
18	that's a better way to do it.
19	MR. OKESON: Can I get a vote to
20	dispose of the previously proposed motion?
21	MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Are we voting
22	on the motion or the
23	MR. OKESON: Yeah. We can vote on its
24	passage, and then so I have a motion and a
2.5	second to impose the full penalty plus

- mailing costs. All those in favor signify by
 saying, "Aye."
- MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Aye."
- 4 MR. OKESON: Any opposed?
- 5 ALL TOGETHER: Nay.
- 6 MR. OKESON: Motion fails. Okay.
- 7 MS. BECKLEY: So now we can reopen.
- MR. OKESON: Can I entertain another
- 9 motion?
- MS. BECKLEY: To my opinion, I think
- 11 -- if I could offer the 25 percent. Again,
- 12 it's not about the first medical issue. I
- 13 | would be completely understanding of that.
- 14 It's the ten months after. So I would be
- 15 | willing to make a motion if you're willing to
- 16 accept the 25 percent penalty for the
- 17 first-time offense, I would be willing to
- 18 accept that.
- 19 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: What's the
- 20 point of order over here for a minute
- 21 because -- so a part of this is we got a
- 22 motion to reconsider. So are we considering
- 23 -- so we have a motion to reconsider. And we
- 24 have the substance. So I quess -- I mean, so
- 25 | I will -- maybe I'll try a motion that might

```
1
    pass.
            So I'll -- but I would move, I guess,
2
    that we grant the motion to reconsider.
3
    Which is only the procedural "yes, we will
4
    hear this matter." I just want to make sure.
5
    So I move that we grant the motion to
6
    reconsider.
7
            MR. OKESON: Do I have a second?
8
            MS. WILSON OVERHOLT: Second.
9
            MR. OKESON: All in favor say, "Aye."
10
            ALL TOGETHER:
                            Aye.
11
            MR. OKESON: Opposed, "Nay."
12
            Okay. All right. Now, motion.
13
            MS. BECKLEY: I move that we impose a
14
    fine of 25 percent of the original penalty.
15
             MR. OKESON: Do you want mailing costs
16
17
    on that?
             MS. BECKLEY: And mailing costs.
18
             MR. OKESON: Is there a second?
19
             MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: I will
2.0
     second.
21
             MR. OKESON: Any further discussion?
22
             MS. WILSON OVERHOLT: I think my
23
     further discussion is do we need to close the
24
     committee? Or do we need to put a
```

- 1 stipulation to close it?
- MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: And that's
- 3 his choice. You'll have to keep -- as long
- 4 as it's opened, he has to keep filing reports
- 5 and everything.
- 6 MS. WILSON OVERHOLT: All right.
- 7 MR. OKESON: Any other discussion?
- 8 Having a motion and seconded. Take a vote.
- 9 All those in favor say, "Aye."
- 10 ALL TOGETHER: Aye.
- MR. OKESON: Opposed? None. Motion
- 12 carries.
- MR. KHARBANDA: Okay. Thank you.
- 14 MR. OKESON: You'll be notified as to
- 15 when to pay your fine.
- Will you state your name and spell it
- 17 for the us into the microphone.
- MS. RENSLOW: It's Jessica Renslow,
- 19 J-e-s-s-i-c-a, R-e-n-s-l-o-w.
- MR. OKESON: Committee name?
- 21 MS. RENSLOW: Friends of Jessica
- 22 Renslow.
- MR. OKESON: Cause number?
- MS. TAYLOR: This is on page 76.
- 25 MS. RENSLOW: 2019-7024-116.

So I have a letter from my treasurer.

Can I read that first? She wasn't able to
take off work today. This is my chairperson.

MR. OKESON: Go ahead.

MS. RENSLOW: On the evening of April 20, 2019, the Friends of Jessica Renslow received two large contributions not more than 25 days before convention, primary, or general election. And ending 48 hours before convention, primary, or general election, we had filed our preprimary report that same afternoon.

We took this to mean that we were within the correct time to not have to file a supplemental report as the contributions were donated 19 days before the primary and less than 48 hours after the primary.

Additionally, they were donated after the preprimary report due date of April 20, 2018,, at noon. Primary filings for the report went from January 1st to April 14th of 2018. We thought we were following the 2018 campaign finance manual correctly. It is with great concern and our sincerest apologies that we discovered that we were not

2.0

correctly interpreting this section of the 2018 campaign finance manual.

It was our intention to follow the letter of the law. This is why we recorded two contributions on our 2018 annual report. We should have called the secretary of state's office for clarification on this matter. And it is with the very deepest of regrets that we did not.

It is my hope your commission will understand that the Friends of Jessica Renslow is a new organization. And we know that this was a terrible error, one we will never repeat. I am unable to be there today due to a previous commitment.

Jessica Renslow, our candiate, and Gretchen Sip, the chairwoman of Jessica Renslow, are here on my behalf and the behalf of our organization. Please accept our apologies and consider them when conducting your assessment process. Sincerely, Rose Lige, Treasurer. The Friends of Jessica Renslow.

MR. OKESON: Question for me. So if I could summarize the letter, what I hear you

2.3

- stating is that you simply are asking for mercy on missing --
- MS. RENSLOW: Yeah. We're new to this process. And the committee opened in 2017.
- 5 And with that, because I was not a candidate
- 6 in 2017, we had all of our large item
- 7 contributions due with our annual report.
- 8 And because I was not successful in my
- 9 primary, Representative Hatcher won it.
- So with that, we thought because I was
- 11 not a candidate in the general, that it
- 12 wasn't due in October. And we were very
- 13 surprised to find out it was actually due in
- 14 April since we turned it in with the annual
- 15 report. It was not intentional.
- MS. WILSON OVERHOLT: So in other
- words, ignorance of the law.
- MS. RENSLOW: Yeah. And
- 19 misinterpretation of it. Obviously.
- MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: I was just
- 21 asking -- what's confusing to me about the
- 22 information in front of us -- so what type or
- 23 report (inaudible) and how did --
- MS. TAYLOR: This is for CFA-11. The
- 25 supplemental that's in that box there. She

did file the preprimary late and paid the settlement agreement on that one.

This was a large contribution that was reported on the annual report. The contribution was received inside the preprimary supplemental reporting period, which is the 25 days before the primary election. And it was not recorded on the 11 at that time.

MS. NUSSMEYER: Mr. Chairman, if I may. So I'll let Abbey and Michelle correct me if I'm wrong here.

But when annual reports are filed, our campaign finance system is able to audit to look for contributions of a thousand dollars or more that would have been received within the very distinct window of time before the preprimary and before the preelection reports.

And during those audits is when we will then send notices to committees that it appears that you've disclosed on your annual report a large contribution during this window of time that you should have filed the CFA-11 or the 48-hour contribution notice.

1.5

2.0

2.2

- And that's basically what I'm hearing from
 Abbey is what happened here, is that through
 our audit, we discovered that this large
 contribution report was not filed. Does that
 help you? I hope.

 MS. RENSLOW: And I called immediatel
- MS. RENSLOW: And I called immediately
 as soon as we received it because I was,
 like, very surprised.
 - MR. OKESON: Mr. King, do you have anything you'd like to offer?
- MR. KING: No, Mr. Chairman.

 Co-director Nussmeyer very eloquently
 summarized the procedures we take to identify
 the large supplemental reports.
- Just speaking in general, the purpose is to give the public notice of large contributions coming in shortly before the election, but after the regular reporting period has ended.
- MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: And so was this ever filed or --
- MS. RENSLOW: No. I did not realize
 that I needed to file it because I was not
 technically a candidate in the general
 election, which we thought -- because it was

9

- 1 between the 25 days before the primary and 2 within the 48 hours after the primary that we were in the correct window. But we did not 3 read it correctly. 4 SPEAKER: But you got the notice 5 6 telling you that you needed to file it, 7 that's why? MS. RENSLOW: I got it this year in 8 9 the spring. And then I said is there anything that I should do next? And they 10 11 said to show up at this hearing. 12 MR. OKESON: Is there a motion? 13 MS. BECKLEY: This is what violation? 14 MS. TAYLOR: Her second. MR. OKESON: I'll make a motion. 15 I'd 16 move to impose full plus mailing costs on 17 this matter. Is there a second? 18 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: I'll second.
 - MS. BECKLEY: I guess -- to me, I would not want to offer the 50 percent.

 Because you had the opportunity to come up and plead for the first time. And the way I remember it in the past, we have precedent to

MR. OKESON: There's a second.

discussion?

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Any

allow	УС	ou to	o argue	your	case.	And	then	back
down	to	the	argume	nt nu	mber.			

But I don't know. 75 percent or something would be like a third fine or something like that. A little bit of a break. But, I mean, frankly, the law's the law. You're not entitled to not know the law and use that as an excuse.

So I would be okay with doing a 75 percent fine. I don't know what this table would be -- if anyone at this table that is interested in the 75 percent.

MR. OKESON: We've offered that opportunity at the beginning of the session so --

MS. RENSLOW: I'm sorry. And I know it was a gamble to come up here. But I really wanted to make sure that everybody knew that it was not intentional.

MR. OKESON: I understand. So we're in discussion.

MS. BECKLEY: I hear exactly what you all are saying. I mean, technically if she would have accepted the offer, she would qualify for 50 percent?

2.0

1 MS. TAYLOR: Yes. 2 MS. BECKLEY: So is your committee 3 still open? 4 MS. RENSLOW: It is, yeah. 5 MS. BECKLEY: Okay. So is there a 6 balance? 7 MS. RENSLOW: We are in the negative. 8 MS. BECKLEY: So 75 percent, 100 9 percent, it doesn't matter, right? So 75 --10 I would go for 75 percent. Only because it sends a message that, you know, take the deal 11 12 when you got a chance. 13 Because we warned you about having --14 you have to have a really good excuse. I 15 mean, you can imagine. If everybody came up 16 here, people would've been doing it for a while and said, "I didn't know the law." But 17 having said that, I would be willing to do 75 18 19 percent. 20 MR. OKESON: Okay. So we have a motion for the full penalty and mailing 2.1 22 costs; a second. So we'll need to vote on 23 that. 24 All those in favor say "Aye." 25 Aye.

All those opposed, "Nay." 1 ALL TOGETHER: "Nay." 2 MR. OKESON: Motion fails. 3 MS. BECKLEY: I would move to impose a 4 fine 75 percent of the initial fine. 5 MR. OKESON: I think we have to reopen 6 procedurally. We can just offer another 7 motion? Okay. I'm sorry. Go ahead. 8 MS. BECKLEY: I would move that we 9 impose a fine of the 75 percent of the 10 original fine. 11 MR. OKESON: Mailing costs? 12 MS. BECKLEY: Plus mailing costs. 13 Thank you. 14 MR. OKESON: Having a motion. And a 15 second? 16 SPEAKER: I'll second. 17 MR. OKESON: All those in favor 18 signify by saying, "Aye." 19 ALL TOGETHER: Aye. 2.0 MR. OKESON: All those opposed? 21 Hearing none. The motion carries. Thank 22 23 you. State your name for us. 24 MS. MCMILLAN: Deanna McMillan, 25

- 1 D-e-a-n-n-a, McMillan, M-c-M-i-l-l-a-n. MR. OKESON: The committee name? 2 3 MS. MCMILLAN: Administrative cause 2018-6830-87. 4 5 MR. OKESON: What was the name of the 6 committee? MS. MCMILLAN: Deanna McMillan 7 8 Director Path 2016. 9 MS. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman, on 10 page 9. Cause number 2018-6830-87. 11
- committee was continued at the last hearing 12 for her to close the committee. The 13 commission at that time didn't give us 14 direction of whether once she closed it, if 15 she had to come back or not. So we suggested 16 she come back.
 - MS. TAYLOR: Because you told us on the rest of them when they didn't need to come back, and we felt like she might be singled out. So we wanted to make sure she was back.
- 22 MR. OKESON: Has she closed it?
- 23 MS. THOMPSON: She has closed it.
- 2.4 SPEAKER: So what was supposed to
- 25 happen?

17

18

19

20

MS. MCMILLAN: I was supposed to close 1 it. 2 SPEAKER: She was supposed to close 3 And she did. 4 MR. OKESON: I (inaudible) the 5 conditions for the dismissal. 6 MS. MCMILLAN: I didn't want to take 7 any chances. 8 MR. OKESON: Is there anything else, 9 10 Mr. King? MR. KING: No. 11 SPEAKER: Sorry you had to come back. 12 MR. OKESON: Do I have a motion? 13 MS. MCMILLAN: I appreciate the 14 opportunity to not have to pay. In the last 15 60 days my husband passed away unexpectedly. 16 And I just didn't want to take any chances. 17 MR. OKESON: I thank you for being 18 19 here. Thank you. MS. MCMILLAN: 20 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: I move to 2.1 dismiss. 22 MR. OKESON: Second? 23 SPEAKER: I'll second. 2.4 MR. OKESON: Any discussion? All 25

those in favor vote by saying, "aye." 1 All TOGETHER: 2 "Aye." "Nay?" Hearing none. 3 MR. OKESON: Motion carries. Thank you. Dismissed. 4 5 MR. JACKSON: Phillip Jackson, J-a-c-k-s-o-n, on behalf of Labors Local 41 6 7 Political Fund. Cause number 2019-6463-95. MS. TAYLOR: It's on page 63. Labors 8 9 Local 41 Political Fund. Cause number 2019-6463-95. This is the first time before 10 11 the commission, opposed civil penalty of 12 \$200.49. 13 MR. JACKSON: Our client, Labors Local 41 Political Fund, received correspondence 14 15 stating their form CFA-4 reported receipts 16 and expenditures of a political action 17 committee was received late by your office for the reporting period referenced above. 18 19 The tracking and confirmation of the United States Postal Service states the 20 21 following: The CFA-4 form arrived at the location on Friday, October 19, 2018, prior 22 23 to noon filing deadline. 24 It was confirmed on delivery that the

form was attempted unsuccessfully on October

- 19, 2018, later that same day. The CFA-4 was later accepted by your office early in the morning of Monday, October 22, 2018. Based upon the facts and circumstances outlined above, the submitted form CFA-4 did arrive timely as required by the filing deadline.
 - However, due to process administrative reasons, the office did not acknowledge receipt of these forms until Monday, October 22, 2018.

Therefore, under Indiana code

3-9-4-16, subsection A, subsection 1, the
election division report should be deemed
timely filed as required under Indiana code

3-9-5. As (inaudible) to our client in this
matter and pursuant to Indiana code 3-9-4-19,
we respectfully request a waiver of any
potential penalty based upon circumstances
previously mentioned.

Additionally, in an attempt to resolve this matter prior to the hearing scheduled for July 26, 2019, was made. However, the staff member of the agency confirmed that a formal hearing must still occur.

And also we'd like to have this matter

2.1

dismissed as well.

2.0

2.5

SPEAKER: So let me ask you -- does it say in the code as to how the campaign finance reports are -- or I mean, they have to be received? Is that just the governing line? Which is not like it says it needs to be by certified mailing or anything else?

MR. KOCHEVAR: Indiana code 3-9-5-7, subsection B1, reports must be filed as follows: Hand-delivered reports or reports transmitted by mail must be filed with the appropriate office during regular office hours, not later than noon, seven days after the date of the report.

I will also note, under 3-5-9, for those reports to be filed an actual physical copy either given by hand delivery or mail must have a filed stamp on it to be considered filed.

MR. OKESON: Counsel Simmons?

MR. SIMMONS: Just to add to the citation that has already been made that we have a definition of filing. And the code 35224.5. And certainly, with respect to paper filings, it requires not only a

-	
1	receipt, but a recording of the time/date
2	received by staff on the document itself.
3	MR. OKESON: So what I'm hearing is
4	between the two co-counsels consensus on the
5	fact that discussion of the filing is not
6	correct. The evidence offered here is not
7	accurate. It was not filed timely. Is that
8	what I'm hearing?
9	MR. SIMMONS: Yes. Not according to
10	the definition of filing.
11	SPEAKER: Okay. So now and it
12	wasn't received. You sent it FedEx?
13	MR. JACKSON: I sent it United States
14	Postal Service. We have the tracking number
15	and confirmation number here.
16	MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Okay. So
17	who's did someone have to sign for it?
18	MR. JACKSON: That's what it says. It
19	says on here as of it arrived here on
20	October 19, 2018, at 10:31 a.m. And then
21	unfortunately it says another attempt to
22	deliver later that day. It says, "Delivery
23	attempted. No access to deliver to
24	location."

MS. THOMPSON: What we believe

- happened is it was delivered to our mailroom. 1 And then we didn't receive it that day. 2 3 next -- which would have been Monday -- when we went down and got the mail, it was signed 4 5 for and brought up to us and we file-stamped
 - MS. NUSSMEYER: Mr. Chairman, if I might. It's not our mailroom. It's the division's mailroom.
 - MS. THOMPSON: Oh, sorry. Yeah.
- MS. NUSSMEYER: It is the mailroom for 11 the entire government center complex. And 13 they receive mail, sort it, and then deliver it to the agencies, you know, when they have 14 staff available to do that. 15
 - And our staff actually goes down and checks mailboxes and other things too. maybe the mailroom staff was not there to receive the mail when it arrived.
 - MR. OKESON: Co-director King, do you have anything you would like to offer?
 - MR. KING: No, Mr. Chairman. Just to add, again, that the critical factor under the law is that for the benefit of the public, the document has to be on file in the

it.

6

7

8

9

10

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

election division for inspection and that 1 filing does not legally happen until it's 2 been filed-stamped and is pressed with our 3 office. MR. OKESON: So U.S. Postal Service 5 notations about attempts to deliver are not 6 7 MR. KING: Or to phrase it another 8 way, in many other instances of the law, 9 there's a postmark rule that says filings are 10 timely postmarked but that --11 MR. OKESON: Okay. 12 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: I guess I 13 would say if -- so was it -- the date of 14 delivery to the government center was what? 15 MR. JACKSON: It says 19th. At 16 10:30 a.m. 17 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Which is the 18 due date? 19 MR. JACKSON: Yeah. 20 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Okay. 2.1

guess -- so my concern is that I feel like

this is because -- well, I mean, I know from

experience trying to get things to people and

the government center that, you know, things

22

23

24

- literally can get lost in the mail in this building. And I am concerned that we have people from all over the state, obviously, having to file these reports.
 - And so say that if you put something in the mail, you get delivered, you got proof of delivery that it was actually in this building prior to the due date. But then it depends on well, did election staff happen to come down and check their mailbox? Or did someone from the mail center happen to decide to make a delivery?
 - That concerns me. That's what I hear -- because the thing is that we don't want to require people from Gary to drive down to Indianapolis as a way to ensure that their things are getting filed in the office and stamped.
 - So I just -- I think -- so I think we need to consider -- I understand what the statute says. We're being confronted with something that says that this item was actually delivered in this building prior to the due date. I don't know.

MR. OKESON: Is there evidence to that

1 1

2.4

2.5

- effect? Is there U.S. Postal -
 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: I thought

 that's what you were looking at.

 MR. JACKSON: I sent it also in an

 email, too, just yesterday.

 SPEAKER: Oh, I was looking at the
- 7 envelope.
- MS. NUSSMEYER: Do you have a copy of that that you could share with us?
- MR. JACKSON: I do. Absolutely.
- MR. OKESON: Could you please hand it to co-counsel.
- MS. NUSSMEYER: What made you think it would get here before noon?
- MR. JACKSON: What's that?
- MS. NUSSMEYER: What made you think it would be delivered before noon?
- would be delivered before noon?
- MR. JACKSON: Well, the other thing,
- 19 too, is our client is located in Munster,
- 20 Indiana. So it'd be a pretty large
- 21 (inaudible) for them to try and --
- MS. NUSSMEYER: Right. But why --
- 23 well, they could have sent it by fax. They
- 24 could have filed it online. But what I'm
- asking is, all right, so it wasn't delivered.

- When you mailed it, what made them believe that it was going to be delivered by noon on that day?
 - MR. JACKSON: I honestly don't know that I could answer that accurately. And quite honestly, I mean, I think they were making their best attempt to get it -- because we've actually -- as a CPA, we actually prepared the form for them, sent it to them, and then the transmission situation of what I'm getting at.
- MS. NUSSMEYER: Because I'm not aware
 of the postal service having been Federal
 Express.
- MR. OKESON: Have they had any past actions?
- MS. TAYLOR: No. No. This is their first.
- MS. NUSSMEYER: You know, I mean, I
 wouldn't know. I mean, whatever happens here
 today, you need to go back and tell them -when you're going to mail this certified
 mail, you need it to get here by noon on a
 certain day. That's not the way to go
 because you can't have any guarantee that

4

5

6

7

8

10

1 MS. CELESTINO-HORSESMAN: Delivery, you're right. Delivery wasn't attempted 2 3 until the 19th. What was the due date? 4 MR. JACKSON: The 19th. 5 SPEAKER: 19th. But by noon. MR. OKESON: It's at noon, correct? 6 7 SPEAKER: Yes. 8 MR. OKESON: Co-director King --Co-counsel Simmons, the statute -- will you 9 clarify what time it has to be received upon 10 11 again. MR. SIMMONS: Well, it has to be noon. 12 13 Received and filed. MR. OKESON: Received and filed by 14 15 noon? 16 MR. SIMMONS: Filed as per the 17 definition. 18 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: So that 19 wasn't timely. 20 MS. NUSSMEYER: Mr. Chairman, if I 21 may. 2.2 MR. OKESON: Sure. 23 MS. NUSSMEYER: I'll refer to Mr. King and Co-counsel Simmons might have something 24 further. But I do recall, I believe it was 2.5

it's going to get here by noon. 1 MR. JACKSON: Sure. 2 MR. OKESON: Any other questions? 3 MS. BECKLEY: If I could just ask 4 Mr. Simmons. I think in the past we have 5 negated some of these because of mail issues. 6 But they were like a week-and-a-half issues, 7 correct? Is there precedent for a two-day 8 notice? I mean, he sent it two days before 9 10 it was due. MR. JACKSON: Is there a day for a 11 two-hour notice because --12 MS. NUSSMEYER: Oh, this didn't --13 this says it arrived at unit -- so it arrived 14 at U.S. Postal Service on October 19th at 15 10:31 a.m. 16 And then was delivered here -- they 17 attempted to deliver it at 3:28 p.m. to here. 18 And then when they were unable to do that, 19 they delivered it on the 22nd. So that 10:31 20 time is just saying that it arrived at the 21 postal service here. 22 Oh, my understanding was MR. JACKSON: 2.3 10:30 was when it arrived in the building 24

here.

last year, where a motion was made and passed where the commission did waive a penalty where a piece of mail was delivered to this building, and it arrived before noon.

But I believe the PAC had sent it by overnight mail. And they had an expectation that it would arrive and be in our office by noon. And I believe that part of the conversation was there was a reasonable expectation that the mail would be delivered to the government center and received by our office before noon because they had asked for express overnight delivery. And so I believe that might be a similar issue here except for this was not sent by overnight mail.

MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, I agree entirely with Ms. Nussmeyer's recollection.

If the commission took into consideration the fact that it was entirely reasonable to expect U.S.P.S or private courier delivery by noon if that's what the sender had paid for.

And if it was not -- U.S.P.S or the courier failed in their duty, then that was an extenuating circumstance.

MR. OKESON: Sure.

2.4

1 SPEAKER 1: As opposed to 2 communicating in a way that is not 3 quaranteed. 4 Is that the nature of MR. OKESON: 5 what this was? Was this paid for to be 6 overnighted? 7 SPEAKER 1: No. Certified. 8 MR. OKESON: Certified. 9 I'm going to offer a motion consistent 10 with my last motion that I would move to impose the full fine plus mailing costs for 11 an untimely filing. Is there a second? 12 13 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Second. 14 MR. OKESON: We have a motion to 15 second. Any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying 16 17 "Aye." 18 ALL TOGETHER: Aye." 19 MR. OKESON: All those imposed, "Nay." 20 Hearing none, motion carries. Next. 21 MR. JACKSON: They have to pay the 22 full entire penalty? 23 MR. OKESON: Correct. 24 MR. JACKSON: Okay. 25 MR. OKESON: Thank you. Next.

- MS. DAVIS: Good afternoon. My name
 is Tammy Davis, D-a-v-i-s. And I have two or
 three cause numbers, but don't hold that
 against me, please.

 The first one is 2019-6744-1. The
- The first one is 2019-6744-1. The second is 2019-6744-2. And the last is 2018-6744-76.
- MS. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman, that's on page 5 and page 8.
- MR. OKESON: Five and eight?
- MS. TAYLOR: Five, top of six, and eight.
- MS. THOMPSON: You want to do these
 one at a time? This is a supplemental
 report. 2019-6744-1, has proposed civil
 penalty of \$1,000.50. This committee is --
- this is the first time this committee has come before the commission.
- MS. TAYLOR: And it's closed.
- MR. OKESON: What was that?
- MS. THOMPSON: It's closed.
- MR. OKESON: This is the first time
- 23 before the committee?
- MS. THOMPSON: Yes.
- MR. OKESON: Is there any information

- 1 you'd like to present?
- MS. DAVIS: Other than the fact that
- 3 we know that we provided the information
- 4 late. And listening at how you audit the
- 5 supplemental or the annual reports to flag
- 6 it, we missed it.
- 7 This was our first time -- treasurer's
- 8 first time, my first time being candidate, we
- 9 missed it. I don't have any other excuses.
- MS. NUSSMEYER: So why didn't you take
- 11 the 25 percent offer?
- MS. DAVIS: Because I really wanted to
- 13 come before you and ask for a dismissal of
- 14 it. This was for --
- MS. NUSSMEYER: On the grounds that
- 16 you just didn't know the law?
- MS. DAVIS: I'm sorry?
- 18 MS. NUSSMEYER: On the grounds that
- 19 you just didn't know the law?
- MS. DAVIS: I wouldn't say that we
- 21 didn't know it. We understood it after the
- 22 fact. So we made sure that all of our
- 23 contributions were filed and recorded and
- 24 accounted for. And with the large
- 25 contributions form --

MS. NUSSMEYER: But at the time that 1 this happened, what you're saying, the reason 2 why you didn't do it is because you didn't 3 know the law, right? 4 MS. DAVIS: In part. In part. At one 5 point -- and my treasurer and I -- we met 6 about this about two/three days ago. 7 Unfortunately, she had a family engagement 8 and could not be here today. And so there 9 was a point where we attempted to make the --10 to file the report, and it was in red. 11 did not allow her to click the submit button 12 or something. 13 And then we -- and I'll just say "we" 14 because I'm part responsible for that as 15 well -- forget to call down to the State to 16 say, "Hey. We tried to file the report. 17 It's in red. We're not able to submit it." 18 And it ended up being submitted after 19 the fact. And then we also had to correct 20 one of the addresses for one of the 2.1 contributors, Mr. Boyd. 22

MS. DAVIS: Sure.

MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Could I ask

you a question?

23

24

MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: 1 Were you -- I want to make sure I understand something. 2 Were you here last year for the hearings? 3 was someone from your committee here? 4 5 MS. DAVIS: No. MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: So did you --6 7 so I'm just looking because our records show that it was continued. And I'm trying to 8 9 figure out if that was because a request --MS. TAYLOR: She asked for a 10 11 continuance at that August 2018 hearing for 12 that deliquency. These two are new. 13 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Okay. Okay. MS. DAVIS: No, it's fine. I was 14 15 teaching at a conference. 16 MS. CELESTINO-HORSEMAN: Okay. 17 understand. So there's no money in the bank account? 18 19 MS. DAVIS: There is none. And we closed it. 20 MR. OKESON: Is there a motion on this 21 22 matter? 2.3 SPEAKER: I would make a motion to --SPEAKER: Yeah. I'll make a motion. 24 25 I don't see anything (inaudible) health